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## Summary Table of Survey Implementation and the Survey Population, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

| Survey implementation |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Sample frame | Population census 2012 | Questionnaires | Household |
| Updated | June - July 2015 |  | Women (age 15-49) <br> Children under five |
|  |  |  | Form for Immunization Records <br> at Health Facility |
| Interviewer training | August - September 2015 | Fieldwork | September 2015 - January 2016 |$|$


| Survey population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average household size | 5.1 | Percentage of population living in |  |
|  |  | Urban areas | 39.1 |
| Age 5 | 13.3 | Rural areas | 60.9 |
| Age 18 | 36.4 |  |  |
| Percentage of women age 15-49 years with at least one live birth in the last 2 years | 19.4 | Ashgabat city | 12.1 |
|  |  | Ahal velayat | 13.3 |
|  |  | Balkan velayat | 6.7 |
|  |  | Dashoguz velayat | 23.6 |
|  |  | Lebap velayat | 19.4 |
|  |  | Mary velayat | 24.8 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Housing characteristics |  | Household or personal assets |  |
| Percentage of households with |  | Percentage of households that own |  |
| Electricity | 100.0 | Any type of television | 99.7 |
| Finished floor | 97.1 | A refrigerator | 99.4 |
| Finished roofing | 99.0 | Farm animals/livestock | 55.3 |
| Finished walls | 99.8 |  |  |
|  |  | Percentage of households that have agricultural land | 59.7 |
| Mean number of persons per room used for sleeping | 1.96 | Percentage of households where at least a member has or owns a |  |
|  |  | Mobile phone | 98.6 |
|  |  | Passenger car | 54.5 |

## Summary Table of Findings ${ }^{1}$

## Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) and Millennium Development Goals (MDG) Indicators, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

| CHILD MORTALITY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Early childhood mortality ${ }^{\text {a, } \mathbf{b}}$ |  |  | Value |
| MICS <br> Indicator | Indicator | Description |  |
| 1.1 |  | Neonatal mortality rate | Probability of dying within the first month of life |


| NUTRITION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nutritional status |  |  |  |
| MICS Indicator | Indicator | Description | Value |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { 2.1a } & \text { MDG } 1.8 \\ \text { 2.1b } & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Underweight prevalence <br> (a) Moderate and severe <br> (b) Severe | Percentage of children under age 5 who fall below <br> (a) minus two standard deviations (moderate and severe) <br> (b) minus three standard deviations (severe) <br> of the median weight for age of the WHO standard | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 a \\ & 2.2 b \end{aligned}$ | Stunting prevalence <br> (a) Moderate and severe <br> (b) Severe | Percentage of children under age 5 who fall below <br> (a) minus two standard deviations (moderate and severe) <br> (b) minus three standard deviations (severe) <br> of the median height for age of the WHO standard | $\begin{array}{r} 11.5 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 a \\ & \text { 2.3b } \end{aligned}$ | Wasting prevalence <br> (a) Moderate and severe <br> (b) Severe | Percentage of children under age 5 who fall below <br> (a) minus two standard deviations (moderate and severe) <br> (b) minus three standard deviations (severe) <br> of the median weight for height of the WHO standard | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2.4 | Overweight prevalence | Percentage of children under age 5 who are above two standard deviations of the median weight for height of the WHO standard | 5.9 |
| Breastfeeding and infant feeding |  |  |  |
| 2.5 | Children ever breastfed | Percentage of women with a live birth in the last 2 years who breastfed their last live-born child at any time | 98.5 |
| 2.6 | Early initiation of breastfeeding | Percentage of women with a live birth in the last 2 years who put their last newborn to the breast within one hour of birth | 73.4 |
| 2.7 | Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | Percentage of infants under 6 months of age who are exclusively breastfed | 58.9 |
| 2.8 | Predominant breastfeeding under 6 months | Percentage of infants under 6 months of age who received breast milk as the predominant source of nourishment during the previous day | 81.4 |
| 2.9 | Continued breastfeeding at 1 year | Percentage of children age 12-15 months who received breast milk during the previous day | 64.1 |
| 2.10 | Continued breastfeeding at 2 years | Percentage of children age 20-23 months who received breast milk during the previous day | 19.5 |

[^0]| 2.11 | Median duration of breastfeeding | The age in months when 50 percent of children age 0-35 months did not receive breast milk during the previous day | 16.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.12 | Age-appropriate breastfeeding | Percentage of children age 0-23 months appropriately fed during the previous day | 56.9 |
| 2.13 | Introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods | Percentage of infants age 6-8 months who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods during the previous day | 82.3 |
| 2.14 | Milk feeding frequency for non-breastfed children | Percentage of non-breastfed children age 6-23 months who received at least 2 milk feedings during the previous day | 91.0 |
| 2.15 | Minimum meal frequency | Percentage of children age 6-23 months who received solid, semi-solid and soft foods (plus milk feeds for non-breastfed children) the minimum number of times or more during the previous day | 92.5 |
| 2.16 | Minimum dietary diversity | Percentage of children age 6-23 months who received foods from 4 or more food groups during the previous day | 85.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.17 a \\ & 2.17 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Minimum acceptable diet | (a) Percentage of breastfed children age 6-23 months who had at least the minimum dietary diversity and the minimum meal frequency during the previous day <br> (b) Percentage of non-breastfed children age 6-23 months who received at least 2 milk feedings and had at least the minimum dietary diversity not including milk feeds and the minimum meal frequency during the previous day | 73.3 82.9 |
| 2.18 | Bottle feeding | Percentage of children age 0-23 months who were fed with a bottle during the previous day | 21.8 |
| Salt iodization |  |  |  |
| 2.19 | lodized salt consumption | Percentage of households with salt testing 15 parts per million or more of iodide or iodate | 96.7 |
| Low-birthweight |  |  |  |
| 2.20 | Low-birthweight infants | Percentage of most recent live births in the last 2 years weighing below 2,500 grams at birth | 3.3 |
| 2.21 | Infants weighed at birth | Percentage of most recent live births in the last 2 years who were weighed at birth | 99.3 |

## Child health

| Vaccinations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MICS <br> Indicator | Indicator | Description | Value |
| 3.1 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | Percentage of children age 12-23 months who received BCG vaccine by their first birthday | 99.9 |
| 3.2 | Polio immunization coverage | Percentage of children age 12-23 months who received the third dose of OPV vaccine (OPV3) by their first birthday | 97.9 |
| 3.3 | Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage | Percentage of children age 12-23 months who received the third dose of DPT vaccine (DPT3) by their first birthday | 98.0 |
| 3.4 MDG 4.3 | Measles immunization coverage | Percentage of children age 24-35 months who received measles vaccine by their second birthday | 99.3 |
| 3.5 | Hepatitis B immunization coverage | Percentage of children age 12-23 months who received the third dose ${ }^{2}$ of Hepatitis $B$ vaccine (HepB3²) by their first birthday | 98.0 |
| 3.6 | Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage | Percentage of children age 12-23 months who received the third dose of Hib vaccine (Hib3) by their first birthday | 98.0 |
| 3.8 | Full immunization coverage | Percentage of children age 24-35 months who received all ${ }^{3}$ vaccinations recommended in the national immunization schedule by their first birthday (measles by second birthday) | 95.3 |

[^1]| Diarrhoea |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Children with diarrhoea | Percentage of children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 1.9 |
| 3.10 | Care-seeking for diarrhoea | Percentage of children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider | 51.4 |
| 3.11 | Diarrhoea treatment with oral rehydration salts (ORS) and zinc | Percentage of children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks who received ORS and zinc | 6.6 |
| $3.51^{4}$ | Diarrhoea treatment with oral rehydration therapy (ORT) and continued feeding ${ }^{5}$ | Percentage of children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks who received ORT (ORS packet or increased fluids) and continued feeding during the episode of diarrhoea | 39.4 |
| Acute Respiratory Infection (ARI) symptoms |  |  |  |
| - | Children with ARI symptoms | Percentage of children under age 5 with ARI symptoms in the last 2 weeks | 0.4 |
| 3.13 | Care-seeking for children with ARI symptoms ${ }^{6}$ | Percentage of children under age 5 with ARI symptoms in the last 2 weeks for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider | (*) |
| 3.14 | Antibiotic treatment for children with ARI symptoms | Percentage of children under age 5 with ARI symptoms in the last 2 weeks who received antibiotics | (*) |
| (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |
| Solid fuel use |  |  |  |
| 3.15 | Use of solid fuels for cooking | Percentage of household members in households that use solid fuels as the primary source of domestic energy to cook | 0.0 |
| Fever |  |  |  |
| MICS <br> Indicator | Indicator | Description | Value |
| - | Children with fever | Percentage of children under age 5 with fever in the last 2 weeks | 5.6 |
| 3.20 | Care-seeking for fever | Percentage of children under age 5 with fever in the last 2 weeks for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider | 59.3 |


| WATER AND SANITATION |  | Value |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| MICS <br> Indicator | Indicator | Description | 82.8 |  |
| 4.1 | MDG 7.8 | Use of improved drinking <br> water sources | Percentage of household members using improved sources <br> of drinking water | 69.2 |
| 4.2 | Water treatment | Percentage of household members in households using <br> unimproved drinking water who use an appropriate <br> treatment method | 98.6 |  |
| 4.3 | MDG 7.9 | Use of improved <br> sanitation | Percentage of household members using improved <br> sanitation facilities which are not shared | 60.5 |
| 4.4 | Safe disposal of child's <br> faeces | Percentage of children age 0-2 years whose last stools were <br> disposed of safely |  |  |

[^2]Water and sanitation

| MICS <br> Indicator | Indicator | Description | Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 4.5 | Place for handwashing | Percentage of households with a specific place for hand <br> washing where water and soap are present | 99.4 |
| 4.6 | Availability of soap ${ }^{7}$ | Percentage of households with soap | 99.9 |


| REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contraception and unmet need |  |  |  |
| MICS Indicator | Indicator | Description | Value |
| - | Total fertility rate | Total fertility rate for women age 15-49 years | 3.2 |
| 5.1 MDG 5.4 | Adolescent birth rate | Age-specific fertility rate for women age 15-19 years | 28 |
| 5.2 | Early childbearing | Percentage of women age 20-24 years who had at least one live birth before age 18 | 1.4 |
| 5.3 MDG 5.3 | Contraceptive prevalence rate | Percentage of women age 15-49 years currently married or in union who are using (or whose partner is using) a (modern or traditional) contraceptive method | 50.2 |
| 5.4 MDG 5.6 | Unmet need | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who are currently married or in union who are fecund and want to space their births or limit the number of children they have and who are not currently using contraception | 12.1 |
| Maternal and newborn health |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { 5.5a } & \text { MDG 5.5 } \\ \text { 5.5b } & \text { MDG 5.5 } \end{array}$ | Antenatal care coverage | Percentage of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years who were attended during their last pregnancy that led to a live birth <br> (a) at least once by skilled health personnel <br> (b) at least four times by any provider | $\begin{aligned} & 99.9 \\ & 96.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5.6 | Content of antenatal care | Percentage of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years who had their blood pressure measured and gave urine and blood samples during the last pregnancy that led to a live birth | 99.4 |
| 5.51 | Content of antenatal care (includes ultrasound) | Percentage of women age $15-49$ years with a live birth in the last 2 years who had their blood pressure measured, gave urine and blood samples and had an ultrasound during the last pregnancy that led to a live birth | 97.9 |
| 5.7 MDG 5.2 | Skilled attendant at delivery | Percentage of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years who were attended by skilled health personnel during their most recent live birth | 100.0 |
| 5.8 | Institutional deliveries | Percentage of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years whose most recent live birth was delivered in a health facility | 99.5 |
| 5.9 | Caesarean section | Percentage of women age 15-49 years whose most recent live birth in the last 2 years was delivered by caesarean section | 6.3 |
| Post-natal health checks |  |  |  |
| 5.10 | Post-partum stay in health facility | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who stayed in the health facility for 12 hours or more after the delivery of their most recent live birth in the last 2 years | 99.8 |
| 5.11 | Post-natal health check for the newborn | Percentage of last live births in the last 2 years who received a health check while in facility or at home following delivery, or a post-natal care visit within 2 days after delivery | 99.8 |

[^3]| 5.12 | Post-natal health check <br> for the mother | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who received a health <br> check while in facility or at home following delivery, or a <br> post-natal care visit within 2 days after delivery of their most <br> recent live birth in the last 2 years | 99.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Child development

| MICS <br> Indicator | Indicator | Description | Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6.1 | Attendance to early <br> childhood education | Percentage of children age $36-59$ months who are attending <br> an early childhood education programme | 42.8 |
| 6.2 | Support for learning | Percentage of children age $36-59$ months with whom an <br> adult has engaged in four or more activities to promote <br> learning and school readiness in the last 3 days | 94.4 |
| 6.3 | Father's support for <br> learning | Percentage of children age $36-59$ months whose biological <br> father has engaged in four or more activities to promote <br> learning and school readiness in the last 3 days | 14.8 |
| 6.4 | Mother's support for <br> learning | Percentage of children age $36-59$ months whose biological <br> mother has engaged in four or more activities to promote <br> learning and school readiness in the last 3 days | 80.6 |
| 6.5 | Availability of children's <br> books | Percentage of children under age 5 who have three or more <br> children's books | 48.0 |
| 6.7 | Availability of playthings | Percentage of children under age 5 who play with two or <br> more types of playthings | 53.0 |
| 6.8 | Inadequate care <br> Eercentage of children under age 5 left alone or in the care <br> of another child younger than 10 years of age for more than <br> index hour at least once in the last week | 0.8 |  |

## LITERACY AND EDUCATION ${ }^{8, A}$

| MICS Indicator | Indicator | Description | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.1 MDG 2.3 | Literacy rate among young women | Percentage of young women age 15-24 years who are able to read a short simple statement about everyday life or who attended secondary or higher education | 99.6 |
| 7.2 | School readiness | Percentage of children in first grade of primary school who attended pre-school during the previous school year | 44.1 |
| 7.3 | Net intake rate in primary education | Percentage of children of school-entry age who enter the first grade of primary school ${ }^{19}$ | 94.2 |
| 7.4 MDG 2.1 | Primary school ${ }^{8}$ net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Percentage of children of primary school age currently attending primary or secondary school | 98.1 |
| 7.5 | Secondary school ${ }^{\text {n }}$ net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Percentage of children of secondary school age currently attending secondary school or higher | 98.4 |
| 7.6 MDG 2.2 | Children reaching last grade of primary | Percentage of children entering the first grade of primary school who eventually reach last grade | 100.0 |

[^4]| 7.7 |  | Primary completion rate | Number of children attending the last grade of primary school (excluding repeaters) divided by number of children of primary school completion age (age appropriate to final grade of primary school) | 102.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.8 |  | Transition rate to secondary school | Number of children attending the last grade of primary school during the previous school year who are in the first grade of secondary school during the current school year divided by number of children attending the last grade of primary school during the previous school year | 99.8 |
| 7.9 | MDG 3.1 | Gender parity index (primary school) | Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for girls divided by primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for boys | 0.99 |
| 7.10 | MDG 3.1 | Gender parity index (secondary school) | Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for girls divided by secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for boys | 1.00 |
| ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Data collected during the 2015/2016 school year. <br> ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Primary school consists of grades 1-3. <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Secondary school consists of grades 4-11. |  |  |  |  |


| CHILD PROTECTION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birth registration |  |  |  |
| MICS Indicator | Indicator | Description | Value |
| 8.1 | Birth registration | Percentage of children under age 5 whose births are reported registered | 99.6 |
| Child labour |  |  |  |
| 8.2 | Child labour | Percentage of children age 5-17 years who are involved in child labour | 0.3 |
| Child discipline |  |  |  |
| 8.3 | Violent discipline | Percentage of children age 1-14 years who experienced psychological aggression or physical punishment during the last one month ${ }^{10}$ | 36.6 |
| Early marriage and polygyny |  |  |  |
| 8.4 | Marriage before age 15 | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who were first married or in union before age 15 | 0.2 |
| 8.5 | Marriage before age 18 | Percentage of women age 20-49 years who were first married or in union before age 18 | 5.9 |
| 8.6 | Young women age 15-19 years currently married or in union | Percentage of young women age 15-19 years who are married or in union | 6.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8.8 \mathrm{a} \\ & 8.8 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Spousal age difference | Percentage of young women who are married or in union and whose spouse is 10 or more years older, <br> (a) among women age 15-19 years, <br> (b) among women age 20-24 years | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ |

[^5]Attitudes towards domestic violence

| 8.12 | Attitudes towards domestic violence | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who state that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife in at least one of the following circumstances: (1) she goes out without telling him, (2) she neglects the children, (3) she argues with him, (4) she refuses sex with him, (5) she burns the food | 26.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.51 | Attitudes towards domestic violence (including additional circumstance) | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who state that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife in at least one of the following circumstances: (1) she goes out without telling him, (2) she neglects the children, (3) she argues with him, (4) she refuses sex with him, (5) she burns the food, (6) she does not respect her husband's parents | 35.0 |
| Children's living arrangements |  |  |  |
| 8.13 | Children's living arrangements | Percentage of children age 0-17 years living with neither biological parent | 1.2 |
| 8.14 | Prevalence of children with one or both parents dead | Percentage of children age 0-17 years with one or both biological parents dead | 3.5 |
| 8.15 | Children with at least one parent living abroad | Percentage of children 0-17 years with at least one biological parent living abroad | 0.9 |


| HIV/AIDS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIV/AIDS knowledge and attitudes |  |  |  |
| MICS Indicator | Indicator | Description | Value |
| - | Have heard of AIDS | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who have heard of AIDS | 80.7 |
| 9.1 MDG 6.3 | Knowledge about HIV prevention among young women | Percentage of young women age 15-24 years who correctly identify ways of preventing the sexual transmission of HIV, and who reject major misconceptions about HIV transmission | 25.4 |
| 9.2 | Knowledge of mother-tochild transmission of HIV | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who correctly identify all three means of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | 65.3 |
| 9.3 | Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | Percentage of women age 15-49 years expressing accepting attitudes on all four questions toward people living with HIV | 1.0 |
| HIV testing |  |  |  |
| 9.4 | Women who know where to be tested for HIV | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who state knowledge of a place to be tested for HIV | 64.1 |
| 9.5 | Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who have been tested for HIV in the last 12 months and who know their results | 10.3 |
| 9.7 | HIV counselling during antenatal care | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who had a live birth in the last 2 years and received antenatal care during the pregnancy of their most recent birth, reporting that they received counselling on HIV during antenatal care | 74.6 |
| 9.8 | HIV testing during antenatal care | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who had a live birth in the last 2 years and received antenatal care during the pregnancy of their most recent birth, reporting that they were offered and accepted an HIV test during antenatal care and received their results | 55.6 |

## Orphans

| 9.16 MDG 6.4 | Ratio of school <br> attendance of orphans to <br> school attendance of non- <br> orphans ${ }^{11}$ | Proportion attending school among children age 10-14 years <br> who have lost both parents divided by proportion attending <br> school among children age 10-14 years whose parents are <br> alive and who are living with one or both parents |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad\left(^{*)}\right.$


| AcCESS TO MASS MEDIA AND ICT |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Access to mass media |  | Value |  |
| MICS <br> Indicator | Indicator | Description | 20.7 |
| 10.1 | Exposure to mass media | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who, at least once a <br> week, read a newspaper or magazine, listen to the radio, <br> and watch television |  |
| Use of information/communication technology |  |  |  |
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## Executive Summary

This Final Report is based on the findings of the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS), conducted between September 2015 and January 2016 by the State Committee of Statistics of Turkmenistan. Financial support was provided by the Government of Turkmenistan and United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), with additional support of the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). Technical support was provided by UNICEF.

The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS is a nationally representative survey of 6,101 households, of which 5,974 were found to be occupied. Of these, 5,861 were successfully interviewed for a household response rate of 98 percent. In the interviewed households 7,693 women (age 15-49 years) were identified and 3,785 children under age five. Individual questionnaires were completed for 7,618 women and for 3,765 children. The sample allows for the estimation of some key indicators at the national level, for urban and rural areas, and for 6 regions (Ashgabat city and 5 velayats).

The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS is expected to contribute to the evidence base of several important policies and strategies as well as to form part of the baseline data for the post-2015 era, in particular for monitoring progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

## Low Birth Weight

Overall, 99 percent of babies were weighed at birth and approximately 3 percent of infants are estimated to weigh less than 2,500 grams at birth.

## Nutritional Status

In Turkmenistan, 3 percent of children under the age of five are underweight and 1 percent are classified as severely underweight. 12 percent of children are stunted or too short for their age and 4 percent are wasted or too thin for their height. 6 percent of children are overweight or too heavy for their height.

## Breastfeeding and Infant and Young Child Feeding

In Turkmenistan, 73 percent of babies are breastfed for the first time within one hour of birth, while 94 percent of newborns start breastfeeding within one day of birth. Approximately 59 percent of children age less than six months are exclusively breastfed and 81 percent predominantly breastfed.

93 percent of the children age 6-23 months receive solid, semi-solid and soft foods the minimum number of times and 85 percent of children receive the minimum dietary diversity, or foods from at least 4 food groups. Almost all older (18-23 month old) children ( 98 percent) achieve the minimum dietary diversity compared to younger (6-8 month old) children (44 percent). The overall assessment using the indicator of minimum acceptable diet revealed that 77 percent of children age 6-23 monhs benefit from a diet sufficient in both diversity and frequency.

## Salt Iodization

In almost all interviewed households, salt used for cooking was tested for iodine content by using salt test kits and testing for the presence of potassium iodide or potassium iodate. It was found that

97 percent of household consume sufficiently iodized salt, i.e. salt which was found to contain 15 parts per million (ppm) or more of iodine.

## Vaccinations

The vaccination coverage estimates are predominantly based on vaccination records from health facilities, and to a smaller degree on vaccination cards/passports kept at home and the mother's report of vaccinations received by the child. Since in Turkmenistan all children should receive vaccines for free, there is very high level of coverage regardless of household wealth. The percentage of children age 24-35 monthswho had all the recommended vaccinations by their first birthday (MMR by their second birthday) is 95 percent.

## Care of Illness

Overall, 2 percent of children under five were reported to have had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey, less than 1 percent symptoms of ARI, and 6 percent an episode of fever.

Overall, 67 percent of children with diarrhoea received ORS (oral rehydration salts) or increased fluids. 39 percent of children received recommended treatment (ORT with continued feeding) . Only 2 percent of children did not receive any treatment or drug.

Less than half of mother/caretakers (47 percent) know at least one of the two danger signs of pneumonia - fast and/or difficult breathing.

## Water and Sanitation

Overall, 83 percent of the population uses an improved source of drinking water - 98 percent in urban areas and 73 percent in rural areas. More than half of the population ( 54 percent) uses piped water, 21 percent use source drinking water from a tube-well/bore-hole and 16 percent from a tanker truck (an unimproved source).

The entire population of Turkmenistan use improved sanitation. In rural areas, the population primarily uses ventilated improved pit latrines (68 percent), or pit latrines with slab ( 28 percent). In contrast, the most common facilities in urban areas are flush toilets/pour flush toilets ( 59 percent).

## Fertility

The total fertility rate for the three years preceding the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS, is 3.2 births per woman, this number is slightly higher in rural areas ( 3.3 births per woman) than in urban areas ( 3.0 births per woman). The age-specific fertility rate for women age $15-19$ years is 28 births per 1,000 women.

## Contraception and Unmet Need

Almost all currently married/in-union women have heard of a method of contraception and the mean number of methods known by women is 6 (of 14 methods). While the majority are familiar with the most common traditional and modern methods of contraception, there are modern methods they are less familiar with ( 12 percent for diaphragm, 12 percent for implants, 16 percent for female condom and 20 percent for emergency contraception).

Current use of contraception was reported by half of all ( 50 percent) women currently married or in union. 12 percent of women age 15-49 years currently married or in union, have an unmet need for contraception.

## Antenatal Care, Assistance at Delivery and Post-natal Health Checks

In Turkmenistan, practically all women receive antenatal care (100 percent). The majority of antenatal care is provided by medical doctors (99 percent).

All births (100 percent) occurring in the two years preceding the MICS survey were delivered by skilled personnel, which tells about universal access to skilled care during the birth in Turkmenistan. Doctors assisted with the delivery of 99 percent of births and nurses or midwives assisted with 1 percent. All mothers (100\%) receved health checks following birth while in the medical facility or at home.

Almost all newborns in Turkmenistan receive a health check following birth while in a facility or at home, as well as a health check following discharge.

## Early Childhood Care and Education

In Turkmenistan, 43 percent of children age 36-59 months are attending an organised early childhood education programme. This figure is 70 percent in urban areas, compared to 29 percent in rural areas.

With the majority (94 percent) of children age 36-59 months, an adult household member engaged in four or more activities that promote learning and school readiness during the 3 days preceding the survey. The mean number of activities that adults engaged with children was 5.6. Almost half of children (48 percent) age 0-59 months live in households where at least 3 children's books are present for the child.

In Turkmenistan, 91 percent of children age 36-59 months are developmentally on track.

## School Readiness

Overall, 44 percent of children who are currently attending the first grade of primary school were attending pre-school the previous year. Almost 74 percent of the children in first grade in urban areas had attended pre-school the previous year compared to 26 percent of children living in rural areas.

## Primary and Secondary School Participation

Of children who are of primary school entry age (age 6) in Turkmenistan, 94 percent are attending the first grade of primary school. The percentage of children of primary school age that are attending school is very high ( 98 percent). In Turkmenistan, of all children starting grade one, all will eventually reach grade 3.

The percentage of children of secondary school age (10-17 years) attending secondary school or higher is more than 98 percent. Gender parity for primary school is 0.99 and 1.00 for secondary school.

## Birth Registration

The births of almost all children under five years in Turkmenistan have been registered, indicating equal chances for birth registration.

## Child Labour

In Turkmenistan, among children age 5-11 years less than 1 percent are involved in an economic activity for at least one hour. Among children age 12-14 years, 4 percent are involved in an economic activity for less than 14 hours, while less than 1 percent are involved for 14 hours or more. 7 percent of children age 15-17 years are involved in an economic activity for less than 43 hours while there are no children involved in economic activity for 43 hours or more. Involvement of children in household chores, for all age groups, is below the age-specific threshold that classifies it as child labour. The overall percentage of children age 5-17 years involved in child labour is less than 1 percent.

## Early Marriage

In Turkmenistan, the percentage of women age 15-49 years who were married/in union before age 15 is less than 1 percent. 6 percent of women age 20-49 years were married/in union before age 18.

## Attitudes toward Domestic Violence

Overall, 26 percent of women age 15-49 years in Turkmenistan feel that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife in at least one of five situations. Women who justify a husband's violence, in most cases agree and justify violence in instances when a wife neglects the children ( 20 percent), or argues with him (12 percent) or if she demonstrates her autonomy, exemplified by going out without telling her husband ( 8 percent). Around 3 percent of women believe that wife-beating is justified if the wife refuses to have sex with the husband and a similar percentage, if she burns the food.

## Children's Living Arrangements

In Turkmenistan, 89 percent of children age 0-17 years live with both their parents, 8 percent live with their mothers only and 2 percent live with their fathers only. 1 percent of children live with neither of their biological parents while both of them are alive.

In Turkmenistan, only 1 percent of children age 0-17 have one or both parents living abroad.

## HIV/AIDS

In Turkmenistan, 81 percent of the women age 15-49 years have heard of AIDS. However, the percentage of women who know of both main ways of preventing HIV transmission - having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time - is only 56 percent.

The prevalence of comprehensive knowledge of HIV prevention methods and transmission is 35 percent among women age 15-49 years and 25 percent among women age 15-24 years.

The percentage of women age 15-49 years who know all three ways of mother-to-child transmission of HIV is 65 percent. 64 percent of women know where to be tested for HIV.

## Access to Mass Media and Use of Information/Communication Technology

41 percent of women in Turkmenistan read a newspaper or magazine, 32 percent listen to the radio, and 99 percent watch television at least once a week. Overall, less than 1 percent do not have regular exposure to any of the three media, while almost 100 percent are exposed to at least one and 21 to all the three types of media on a weekly basis.

The survey showed, 86 percent of 15-24 year old women ever used a computer, 58 percent used a computer during the last year and 45 percent used at least once a week during the last month. Overall, 47 percent of women age 15-24 ever used the internet, while 39 percent used during the last year.

## I. Introduction

## Background

This report is based on the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS), conducted in 2015-2016 by the State Committee of Statistics of Turkmenistan, as part of the global MICS programme and with technical support of the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Financial costs of the survey were covered by the Government of Turkmenistan and UNICEF with additional support of the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). The survey provides statistically sound and internationally comparable data essential for developing evidence-based policies and programmes, and for monitoring progress toward national goals and global commitments. Among these global commitments are those emanating from the World Fit for Children Declaration and Plan of Action, the goals of the United Nations General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS, the Education for All Declaration and the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

## A Commitment to Action: National and International Reporting Responsibilities

The governments that signed the Millennium Declaration and the World Fit for Children Declaration and Plan of Action also committed themselves to monitoring progress towards the goals and objectives they contained:
"We will monitor regularly at the national level and, where appropriate, at the regional level and assess progress towards the goals and targets of the present Plan of Action at the national, regional and global levels. Accordingly, we will strengthen our national statistical capacity to collect, analyse and disaggregate data, including by sex, age and other relevant factors that may lead to disparities, and support a wide range of childfocused research. We will enhance international cooperation to support statistical capacity-building efforts and build community capacity for monitoring, assessment and planning." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 60)
"...We will conduct periodic reviews at the national and subnational levels of progress in order to address obstacles more effectively and accelerate actions...." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 61)

The Plan of Action of the World Fit for Children (paragraph 61) also calls for the specific involvement of UNICEF in the preparation of periodic progress reports:
"... As the world's lead agency for children, the United Nations Children's Fund is requested to continue to prepare and disseminate, in close collaboration with Governments, relevant funds, programmes and the specialized agencies of the United Nations system, and all other relevant actors, as appropriate, information on the progress made in the implementation of the Declaration and the Plan of Action."

Similarly, the Millennium Declaration (paragraph 31) calls for periodic reporting on progress:
"...We request the General Assembly to review on a regular basis the progress made in implementing the provisions of this Declaration, and ask the Secretary-General to issue periodic reports for consideration by the General Assembly and as a basis for further action."

The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS results are expected to form part of the baseline data for the post-2015 era, in particular for monitoring progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Improving the standard of living of the population has been a priority of the public policy during the entire period of country independence. Social orientation of economic reforms in the country especially intensified in recent years.

The National Strategy of Economic, Political and Cultural Development of Turkmenistan for the Period until 2020 (endorsed in 2003), provided high dynamics of diversification of economy and socially oriented reforms. The National Program for the Socio-Economic Development of Turkmenistan for 2011-2030 was adopted in May 2010 and the medium-term Programme of the Socio-Economic Development of Turkmenistan for 2012-2016 was adopted in February 2012. These programmes among the main areas of the future socio-economic development of the country provides large investments in the development of a healthy and highly intellectual human capital.

The Government of Turkmenistan in its policy of sustainable socio-economic development gives increasing importance to the issued faced by children and to the implementation of commitments included in related international documents. Long-term programmes and plans of actions for children designed to comprehensively address and resolve children's issues are developed and being implemented. The system of legal protection of children's interests is practically anew created and constantly improved with regard to the new socio-economic condition, taking into account national characteristics and traditions. In particular, the Law "On State Guarantees of Children's Rights" (since May 2014), "On guarantees of the rights of young people at work" (2005), "On protection of the health of the citizens" (2002, new edition since 2005), Code of Turkmenistan "On social protection of the population" (2007), "On the protection and promotion of breastfeeding and requirements for children's food" (2009), "On quality and safety of food products" (2009), "Health Code of Turkmenistan" (2009), "The Labour Code of Turkmenistan", and others. The Convention on the Rights of the Child, the World Declaration and Plan of Action adopted at the World Summit for Children, as well as the MDGs were and remain one of the main benchmarks in the development of the social and economic policies of the Government of Turkmenistan.

The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS is expected to contribute to the evidence base of several other important initiatives, including Committing to Child Survival: A Promise Renewed, a global movement to end child deaths from preventable causes, and the accountability framework proposed by the Commission on Information and Accountability for the Global Strategy for Women's and Children's Health.

This final report presents the results of the indicators and topics covered in the survey.

## Survey Objectives

The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS has as its primary objectives:

- To provide up-to-date information for assessing the situation of children and women in Turkmenistan;
- To generate data for the critical assessment of the progress made in various areas, and to put additional efforts in those areas that require more attention;
- To furnish data needed for monitoring progress toward goals established in the Millennium Declaration and other internationally agreed upon goals, as a basis for future action;
- To collect disaggregated data for the identification of disparities, to allow for evidence based policy-making aimed at social inclusion of the most vulnerable;
- To contribute to the generation of baseline data for the post-2015 agenda;
- To validate data from other sources and the results of focused interventions.


## How to read tables

The tables of this report present data collected through this survey in a standard way, intuitively easy to understand. However, the reader should be aware of the following remarks.

Values in parenthesis indicate that the percentage or proportion is based on only 25-49 unweighted cases and should be treated with caution. An asterisk in tables indicates that the percentage or proportion has been suppressed because it is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases while a dash denotes 0 unweighted cases. 0.0 indicates no cases of an occurance or an insignificant value.

Age groups presented in this report also include those persons that had reached the full age indicated by the upper limit for an age group; for instance, respondents age 15-49 include persons who had fully reached 49 years of age. Similarly, the age group of children age 20-23 months includes those who had fully reached 23 months.

The education category "None" is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and is therefore not shown in the tables.

Also, in the tables and throughout the report, mother's education refers to educational attainment of mothers as well as caretakers of children under 5 , who are the respondents to the under- 5 questionnaire if the mother is deceased or is living elsewhere.

## II. Sample and Survey Methodology

## Sample Design

The sample for the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey was designed to provide estimates for a large number of indicators on the situation of children and women at the national level, for urban and rural areas, and for 6 regions: Ahal, Balkan, Dashoguz, Lebap and Mary velayat and Ashgabat city. The urban and rural areas within each region were identified as the main sampling strata and the sample was selected in two stages. Within each stratum, a specified number of census enumeration areas were selected systematically with probability proportional to size. In order to have a total target sample of 6,200 households, a sample of 310 enumeration areas was selected at the first sampling stage. After a household listing was carried out within the selected enumeration areas, a systematic sample of 20 households was drawn in each sample enumeration area. Five of the selected enumeration areas were not visited because they were inaccessible due to demolition of buildings during the fieldwork period, leading to a sample size of 6,100 households. ${ }^{12}$.

The sample was stratified by region, urban and rural areas, and is not self-weighting. For reporting all survey results, sample weights are used. The sampling procedures take into account the administrative and territorial changes that occurred in 2013 in Ashgabat city and Ahal velayat. A more detailed description of the sample design can be found in Appendix A, Sample Design.

## Questionnaires

Four sets of questionnaires were used in the survey: 1) a household questionnaire which was used to collect basic demographic information on all de jure household members (usual residents), the household, and the dwelling; 2) a questionnaire for individual women administered in each household to all women age 15-49 years; and 3 ) an under-5 questionnaire, administered to mothers (or caretakers) for all children under 5 living in the household, including a questionnaire form for immunization records at health facility for children under 3. The questionnaires included the following modules:

The Household Questionnaire included the following modules:

- List of Household Members
- Education
- Child Labour
- Child Discipline
- Household Characteristics
- Water and Sanitation
- Handwashing
- Salt lodization

The Questionnaire for Individual Women was administered to all women age 15-49 years living in the households, and included the following modules:

[^7]- Woman's Background
- Access to Mass Media and Use of Information/Communication Technology
- Fertility/Birth History
- Desire for Last Birth
- Maternal and Newborn Health
- Post-natal Health Checks
- Illness Symptoms
- Marriage/Union ${ }^{13}$
- Contraception
- Unmet Need
- Attitudes Toward Domestic Violence
- HIV/AIDS

The Questionnaire for Children Under Five was administered to mothers (or caretakers) of children under 5 years of age ${ }^{14}$ living in the households. Normally, the questionnaire was administered to mothers of under-5 children; in cases when the mother was not listed in the household roster, a primary caretaker for the child was identified and interviewed. The questionnaire included the following modules:

- Age
- Birth Registration
- Early Childhood Development
- Breastfeeding and Dietary Intake
- Immunization
- Care of Illness
- Anthropometry

For all children age 0-2 years with a completed Questionnaire for Children Under Five an additional form, the Questionnaire Form For Immunization Records At Health Facility, was used to record vaccinations from the registers at health facilities.

The questionnaires are based on the MICS5 model questionnaire ${ }^{15}$. From the MICS5 model English and Russian version, the questionnaires were customised and translated into the Turkmen language and were pre-tested. A pre-test of the paper version of questionnaires in Russian and Turkmen languages (first pre-test, 12 days) was conducted in Ahal velayat (rural area) and Ashgabat city in July 2015. 200 households were interviewed - 100 using the Turkmen language questionnaires and 100 using Russian language questionnaires. A second pre-test was conducted in August 2015 in 100 households using tablets with revised questionnaires. Based on the results of the pre-tests, modifications were made to the wording and translation of the questionnaires as well as in the application for tablets. A copy of the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS questionnaires is provided in Appendices F1 to F4.

[^8]In addition to the administration of questionnaires, fieldwork teams tested the salt used for cooking in the households for iodine content, observed the place for handwashing, and measured the weights and heights of children age under 5 years. Details and findings of these observations and measurements are provided in the respective sections of the report.

## Training and Fieldwork

Training for the fieldwork was conducted for 30 working days in the period August-September 2015. Training was divided into 2 phases. In the first phase ( 2 weeks), training was conducted using paper questionnaires and in the second phase using tablets. Training included lectures on interviewing techniques and the contents of the questionnaires, and conducting interviews between trainees to gain practice in asking questions, practical work on tablets, such as assigning households by supervisors, sending and receiving assigned households, data collection, error solving. Towards the end of the training period (September 2015), trainees spent two days in practice interviewing in Ashgabat city (urban area) and Ahal velayat (rural area) and one day on the anthropometric measurement in the preschool institutions in Ashgabat city.

The data were collected by 6 teams; each was comprised of 4 interviewers (1 reserve), two drivers, one measurer and a supervisor. Fieldwork began in September 2015 and concluded in January 2016.

## Data Collection and Data Processing

Data were entered using the CSPro software, Version 5.0. Data collection was carried out on tablets by 37 interviewers and 6 supervisors. Using a tablets facilitated many tasks related to control and management, including:

- assigning households to the interviewers,
- receiving collected data from the interviewers,
- checking household questionnaires and individual questionnaires,
- finalising the cluster,
- preparing the data files to be sent to the Central Office.

Procedures and standard programs developed under the global MICS programme and adapted to the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS questionnaire were used throughout. Data processing began simultaneously with data collection in September 2015 and was completed in January 2016. Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software, Version 21. Model syntax and tabulation plans developed by UNICEF were customized and used for this purpose.

Regular monitoring of the data collection and other relevant processes was carried out by UNICEF staff, consultants (both national and international) as well as by management and staff of the State Committee of Statistics (Turkmenstat) responsible for implementation of the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS.

## III. Sample Coverage and the Characteristics of Households and Respondents

## Sample Coverage

Of the $6,100^{16}$ households selected for the sample, one dwelling unit was found to be occupied by two households, leading to a total of 6,101 households in the final sample. Of the 6,101 households, 5,974 were found to be occupied. Of these, 5,861 were successfully interviewed for a household response rate of 98 percent.

In the interviewed households 7,693 women (age 15-49 years) were identified. Of these, 7,618 were successfully interviewed, yielding a response rate of 99 percent within the interviewed households.

There were 3,785 children under age five listed in the household questionnaires. Questionnaires were completed for 3,765 of these children, which corresponds to a response rate of almost 100 percent within interviewed households.

Overall response rates of 97 and 98 percent are calculated for the individual interviews of women and under-5s, respectively (Table HH.1).

[^9]
## Table HH.1: Results of household, women's and under-5 interviews

Number of households, women, and children under 5 by interview results, and household, women's and under-5's response rates, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Total | Area |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ashgabat } \\ & \text { citv } \end{aligned}$ city | Ahal velayat | Balkan velayat | Dashoguz velayat | Lebap velayat | Mary <br> velayat |
| Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampled | 6101 | 3400 | 2701 | 1160 | 900 | 1240 | 880 | 961 | 960 |
| Occupied | 5974 | 3288 | 2686 | 1083 | 900 | 1229 | 877 | 946 | 939 |
| Interviewed | 5861 | 3183 | 2678 | 990 | 899 | 1224 | 873 | 940 | 935 |
| Household response rate | 98.1 | 96.8 | 99.7 | 91.4 | 99.9 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 99.4 | 99.6 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 7693 | 3726 | 3967 | 1131 | 1403 | 1252 | 1306 | 1337 | 1264 |
| Interviewed | 7618 | 3668 | 3950 | 1086 | 1401 | 1251 | 1299 | 1317 | 1264 |
| Women's response rate | 99.0 | 98.4 | 99.6 | 96.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.5 | 98.5 | 100.0 |
| Women's overall response rate | 97.2 | 95.3 | 99.3 | 87.8 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.0 | 97.9 | 99.6 |
| Children under 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 3785 | 1652 | 2133 | 451 | 813 | 511 | 696 | 721 | 593 |
| Mothers/caretakers interviewed | 3765 | 1634 | 2131 | 433 | 813 | 511 | 696 | 719 | 593 |
| Under-5's response rate | 99.5 | 98.9 | 99.9 | 96.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
| Under-5's overall response rate | 97.6 | 95.8 | 99.6 | 87.8 | 99.9 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 99.6 |

Some small differences were observed in household response rates by region and area of residence. Overall, household response rate in urban areas ( 97 percent) was slightly lower than in rural areas (almost 100 percent) mainly due to the response rate in the capital - Ashgabat city, where the response rate was 91 percent. In the other five regions, household response rates were almost 100 percent.

## Characteristics of Households

The weighted age and sex distribution of the survey population is provided in Table HH.2. The distribution is also used to produce the population pyramid in Figure HH.1. In the 5,861 households successfully interviewed in the survey, 29,871 household members were listed. Of these, 14,635 were males, and 15,237 were females.

## Table HH.2: Age distribution of household population by sex

Percent and frequency distribution of the household population by five-year age groups, dependency age groups, and by child (age 0-17 years) and adult populations (age 18 or more), by sex, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Total |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 29871 | 100.0 | 14635 | 100.0 | 15237 | 100.0 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 3979 | 13.3 | 2097 | 14.3 | 1882 | 12.4 |
| 5-9 | 3015 | 10.1 | 1531 | 10.5 | 1484 | 9.7 |
| 10-14 | 2347 | 7.9 | 1174 | 8.0 | 1173 | 7.7 |
| 15-19 | 2335 | 7.8 | 1079 | 7.4 | 1256 | 8.2 |
| 20-24 | 2861 | 9.6 | 1386 | 9.5 | 1475 | 9.7 |
| 25-29 | 2816 | 9.4 | 1394 | 9.5 | 1422 | 9.3 |
| 30-34 | 2370 | 7.9 | 1200 | 8.2 | 1170 | 7.7 |
| 35-39 | 1901 | 6.4 | 907 | 6.2 | 995 | 6.5 |
| 40-44 | 1763 | 5.9 | 883 | 6.0 | 879 | 5.8 |
| 45-49 | 1606 | 5.4 | 795 | 5.4 | 811 | 5.3 |
| 50-54 | 1599 | 5.4 | 734 | 5.0 | 865 | 5.7 |
| 55-59 | 1383 | 4.6 | 611 | 4.2 | 772 | 5.1 |
| 60-64 | 857 | 2.9 | 406 | 2.8 | 451 | 3.0 |
| 65-69 | 441 | 1.5 | 187 | 1.3 | 255 | 1.7 |
| 70-74 | 223 | 0.7 | 101 | 0.7 | 121 | 0.8 |
| 75-79 | 224 | 0.8 | 95 | 0.7 | 129 | 0.8 |
| 80-84 | 89 | 0.3 | 39 | 0.3 | 50 | 0.3 |
| 85+ | 58 | 0.2 | 15 | 0.1 | 43 | 0.3 |
| Missing/DK | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Dependency age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 9341 | 31.3 | 4802 | 32.8 | 4540 | 29.8 |
| 15-64 | 19492 | 65.3 | 9395 | 64.2 | 10097 | 66.3 |
| 65+ | 1036 | 3.5 | 437 | 3.0 | 599 | 3.9 |
| Missing/DK | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Child and adult populations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children age 0-17 years | 10865 | 36.4 | 5599 | 38.3 | 5266 | 34.6 |
| Adults age 18+ years | 19005 | 63.6 | 9035 | 61.7 | 9969 | 65.4 |
| Missing/DK | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |

The current shape of the age pyramid indicates extended type of reproduction of the population in a country with a high percentage of young population and a low percentage of the elderly.

Age group 0-4 years is the largest age group in the population distribution by five-year age groups (13 percent). This is due to noticeable increase of the birth rate over the last few years most probably linked to the adoption of the Code of Turkmenistan "On social protection of the population" in 2007 - lump sum payment on the birth and monthly benefits for child care from birth until six months. The Law of Turkmenistan "On Amendments to the Code of Turkmenistan "On social protection of the Population"" (March 6, 2009) introduced increased child allowance (at birth and for child care) and payment period - from six months to three years.

There is a disproportion in relation between males and females in the age group 15-19 years (Figure DQ. 1 in Appendix D. Data Quality Tables). The percentage of males in this age group is lower due to the used definition for household members - males aged 18-19 years who are in the service of National Armed Forces were not included in household listing (not considered as household members, and service starts at age 18). This affected the overall ratio between the male and female population.

The proportion of children age 0-17 years in total population is 36 percent. It is noted that there is a slight predominance of males in this age group which is associated with a higer proportion of male births.

Figure HH.1: Age and sex distribution of household population, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


[^10]There are some differences when comparing data from the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS and data from the 2012 Census of Population and Housing in Turkmenistan. According to MICS data the percentage of the population under the age of 15 years was 31 percent and 21 percent according to Census data (Figure HH.2). This is expected due to the progressive rise in the birth rate in the country in recent years. Percentage of population age 15-64 years is slightly different, 65 percent form survey data and 70 percent in the 2012 Census, and the lowest difference is for the age group $65+$ years ( 3 percent and 4 percent, respectively).

Figure HH.2: Population distribution by age groups, Turkmenistan


Tables HH.3, HH. 4 and HH. 5 provide basic information on the households, female respondents age $15-49$, and children under-5. Both unweighted and weighted numbers are presented. Such information is essential for the interpretation of findings presented later in the report and provide background information on the representativeness of the survey sample. The remaining tables in this report are presented only with weighted numbers. ${ }^{17}$

Table HH. 3 provides basic background information on the households, including the sex of the household head, region, area, number of household members, education of household head, and language ${ }^{18}$ of the household head are shown in the table. These background characteristics are used in subsequent tables in this report; the figures in the table are also intended to show the numbers of observations by major categories of analysis in the report.

[^11]| Percent and frequency distribution of households by selected characteristics, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted percent | Number of households |  |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Total | 100.0 | 5861 | 5861 |
| Sex of household head |  |  |  |
| Male | 76.0 | 4457 | 4348 |
| Female | 24.0 | 1404 | 1513 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 15.1 | 883 | 990 |
| Ahal velayat | 11.5 | 674 | 899 |
| Balkan velayat | 8.5 | 497 | 1224 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 21.1 | 1236 | 873 |
| Lebap velayat | 18.4 | 1079 | 940 |
| Mary velayat | 25.4 | 1491 | 935 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 44.9 | 2634 | 3183 |
| Rural | 55.1 | 3227 | 2678 |
| Number of household members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5.0 | 291 | 340 |
| 2 | 7.7 | 450 | 512 |
| 3 | 11.9 | 700 | 734 |
| 4 | 18.5 | 1086 | 1078 |
| 5 | 19.9 | 1165 | 1163 |
| 6 | 14.9 | 872 | 840 |
| 7 | 9.0 | 526 | 507 |
| 8 | 4.8 | 284 | 260 |
| 9 | 2.7 | 159 | 143 |
| 10+ | 5.6 | 329 | 284 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |
| None | 0.1 | 3 | 3 |
| Primary | 0.6 | 33 | 28 |
| Secondary | 61.4 | 3598 | 3538 |
| Primary vocational | 7.2 | 422 | 386 |
| Secondary vocational | 15.2 | 889 | 936 |
| Higher | 15.6 | 915 | 970 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 82.8 | 4853 | 4871 |
| Uzbek | 8.1 | 473 | 356 |
| Russian | 7.3 | 426 | 512 |
| Other | 1.9 | 110 | 122 |
| Mean household size | 5.1 | 5861 | 5861 |

The weighted and unweighted total number of households are equal, since sample weights were normalized. The table also shows the weighted mean household size estimated by the survey.

The percentages of households in rural areas is higher ( 55 percent) that in urban areas ( 45 percent). There are differences in percentage distribution of households by regions - from 9 percent in Balkan
velayat 25 to percent in Mary velayat. A largest proportion of household heads are male (76 percent). The distribution of households by number of household members shows that the highest percentages of households consisted of four and five persons (19 percent and 20 percent respectively) followed by households consisting of six persons (15 percent). In 83 percent of households, the Turkmen language is the mother tongue/native language of the household head.

## Characteristics of Female and Male Respondents 15-49 Years of Age and Children Under-5

Tables HH. 4 and HH. 5 provide information on the background characteristics of female respondents 15-49 years of age and of children under age 5 . In all three tables, the total numbers of weighted and unweighted observations are equal, since sample weights have been normalized (standardized). In addition to providing useful information on the background characteristics of women and children under age five, the tables are also intended to show the numbers of observations in each background category. These categories are used in the subsequent tabulations of this report.

| Percent and frequency distribution of women age 15-49 years by selected background characteristics, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted percent | Number of women |  |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Total | 100.0 | 7618 | 7618 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 12.8 | 975 | 1086 |
| Ahal velayat | 13.2 | 1007 | 1401 |
| Balkan velayat | 6.3 | 482 | 1251 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 23.4 | 1779 | 1299 |
| Lebap velayat | 19.1 | 1455 | 1317 |
| Mary velayat | 25.2 | 1920 | 1264 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 39.5 | 3006 | 3668 |
| Rural | 60.5 | 4612 | 3950 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 15.7 | 1197 | 1165 |
| 20-24 | 18.4 | 1400 | 1401 |
| 25-29 | 17.7 | 1351 | 1333 |
| 30-34 | 14.7 | 1117 | 1117 |
| 35-39 | 12.4 | 946 | 960 |
| 40-44 | 11.0 | 835 | 838 |
| 45-49 | 10.1 | 772 | 804 |
| Marital/Union status |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 64.2 | 4887 | 4861 |
| Widowed | 1.6 | 123 | 146 |
| Divorced | 4.1 | 312 | 319 |
| Separated | 0.7 | 56 | 62 |
| Never married/in union | 29.4 | 2240 | 2230 |
| Motherhood and recent births |  |  |  |
| Never gave birth | 35.5 | 2708 | 2686 |
| Ever gave birth | 64.5 | 4910 | 4932 |
| Gave birth in last two years | 19.4 | 1476 | 1467 |
| No birth in last two years | 45.1 | 3435 | 3465 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None | 0.1 | 8 | 6 |
| Primary | 0.1 | 8 | 6 |
| Secondary | 79.9 | 6088 | 6030 |
| Primary vocational | 7.9 | 601 | 595 |
| Secondary vocational | 6.9 | 527 | 547 |
| Higher | 5.1 | 387 | 434 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 20.0 | 1521 | 1139 |
| Second | 19.7 | 1502 | 1253 |
| Middle | 19.6 | 1495 | 1485 |
| Fourth | 19.6 | 1490 | 1738 |
| Richest | 21.1 | 1610 | 2003 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 86.1 | 6563 | 6641 |
| Uzbek | 8.2 | 623 | 482 |
| Russian | 4.1 | 315 | 375 |
| Other | 1.5 | 117 | 120 |

Table HH. 4 provides background characteristics of female respondents, age 15-49 years. The table includes information on the distribution of women according to region, area, age, marital/union status, motherhood status, births in last two years, education ${ }^{19}$, wealth index quintiles ${ }^{20,21}$, and language of the household head.

39 percent of interviewed women age 15-49 years live in urban areas and 61 percent in rural areas. Similar to the household distribution by regions, regional differences in the population of women age 15-49 years are notable - the highest percent of women is found in Mary and Dashoduz velayats ( 25 percent and 23 percent respectively) and the lowest in the Balkan velayat ( 6 percent). Half of all women age 15-49 years belong to the optimal age for giving birth - from 20 to 35 years. Around two-thirds of women ( 64 percent) are currently married/in union, 5 percent are divorced or separated, and 2 percent are widowed. 29 percent of women age $15-49$ years never married. 60 percent of women this age have ever gave birth and of those, every fifth woman (19 percent) gave birth in the last two years. The majority of women age 15-49 years ( 80 percent) have secondary education, 8 percent have primary vocational, 7 percent have secondary vocational and 5 percent have higher education. 86 percent of women lives in the households where Turkmen language is the mother tongue/native language of the household head.

[^12]Background characteristics of children under 5 are presented in Table HH.5. These include the distribution of children by several attributes: sex, region and area, age in months, respondent type, mother's (or caretaker's) education, wealth, and language.

Of the total number of children under 5 years, 53 percent are boys and 47 percent are girls. 65 percent of all children this age live in rural areas and 35 percent live in urban areas. The highest proportion of children under five is in Dashoguz and Mary velayats ( 25 percent and 23 percent respectively) and the lowest in Balkan velayat ( 5 percent). The distribution of children under 5 by single age is almost uniform. 87 percent of children have a mother with secondary education.

## Table HH.5: Under-5's background characteristics

Percent and frequency distribution of children under five years of age by selected characteristics, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Weighted percent | Number of under-5 children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Total | 100.0 | 3765 | 3765 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 52.7 | 1984 | 1982 |
| Female | 47.3 | 1781 | 1783 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 10.2 | 385 | 433 |
| Ahal velayat | 15.3 | 576 | 813 |
| Balkan velayat | 5.2 | 195 | 511 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 25.2 | 950 | 696 |
| Lebap velayat | 20.7 | 780 | 719 |
| Mary velayat | 23.3 | 879 | 593 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 35.2 | 1324 | 1634 |
| Rural | 64.8 | 2441 | 2131 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 0-5 months | 9.1 | 343 | 342 |
| 6-11 months | 10.1 | 380 | 382 |
| 12-23 months | 20.7 | 778 | 787 |
| 24-35 months | 19.8 | 746 | 736 |
| 36-47 months | 20.1 | 758 | 760 |
| 48-59 months | 20.2 | 760 | 758 |
| Respondent to the under-5 questionnaire |  |  |  |
| Mother | 99.0 | 3725 | 3724 |
| Other primary caretaker | 1.0 | 40 | 41 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| None | 0.0 | 1 | 1 |
| Primary | 0.2 | 8 | 6 |
| Secondary | 86.4 | 3252 | 3206 |
| Primary vocational | 6.7 | 251 | 265 |
| Secondary vocational | 3.4 | 128 | 144 |
| Higher | 3.3 | 124 | 143 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 21.9 | 826 | 628 |
| Second | 21.2 | 799 | 686 |
| Middle | 21.1 | 793 | 784 |
| Fourth | 19.6 | 737 | 878 |
| Richest | 16.2 | 610 | 789 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 87.4 | 3291 | 3360 |
| Uzbek | 8.8 | 333 | 259 |
| Russian | 2.0 | 74 | 87 |
| Other | 1.8 | 68 | 59 |

[^13]
## Housing characteristics, asset ownership, and wealth quintiles

Tables HH.6, HH. 7 and HH. 8 provide further details on household level characteristics. HH. 6 presents characteristics of housing, disaggregated by area and region, distributed by whether the dwelling has electricity, the main materials of the flooring, roof, and exterior walls, as well as the number of rooms used for sleeping.

All households in Turkmenistan have electricity (100 percent). The majority of households have a finished floor ( 97 percent). In Ashgabat city, Dashoguz and Mary velayats the percentage of households with a finished floor is 99 percent. The percentages are slightly lower in other regions: in Ahal and Balkan velayats at 96 percent and in Lebap velayat at 82 percent. Almost all households have finished exterior walls. The percentage of households with a finished roof is also very high (99 percent).

The mean number of persons per room used for sleeping is 1.96. In rural areas this number is higher than in urban areas ( 2.06 and 1.84 respectively). Furthermore, there are some differences by region. The mean number of persons per room used for sleeping in Ahal velayat is 2.18 compared to 1.57 in Balkan velayat and 1.79 in Ashgabat city. In other regions, this number is somewhat similar (ranging from 1.96 to 2.06).

| Table HH.6: Housing characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of households by selected housing characteristics, according to area of residence and regions, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Area |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural | Ashgabat city | Ahal velayat | Balkan velayat | Dashoguz velayat | Lebap velayat | Mary velayat |
| Electricity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
| No | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Missing/DK | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Flooring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rudimentary floor | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 1.0 |
| Finished floor | 97.1 | 98.1 | 96.3 | 99.3 | 95.9 | 96.4 | 99.1 | 92.0 | 98.8 |
| Other | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| Missing/DK | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Roof |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rudimentary roofing | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Finished roofing | 99.0 | 99.7 | 98.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 98.5 | 96.2 | 100.0 |
| Other | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Missing/DK | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exterior walls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rudimentary walls | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Finished walls | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.4 | 100.0 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Missing/DK | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rooms used for sleeping |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10.4 | 15.4 | 6.3 | 18.8 | 7.2 | 13.0 | 7.8 | 9.4 | 9.1 |
| 2 | 33.8 | 38.7 | 29.9 | 42.2 | 31.6 | 27.9 | 33.0 | 31.6 | 34.3 |
| 3 or more | 55.7 | 45.8 | 63.7 | 39.0 | 61.2 | 59.0 | 59.3 | 58.7 | 56.7 |
| Missing/DK | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of households | 5861 | 2634 | 3227 | 883 | 674 | 497 | 1236 | 1079 | 1491 |
| Mean number of persons per room used for sleeping | 1.96 | 1.84 | 2.06 | 1.79 | 2.18 | 1.57 | 2.06 | 2.03 | 1.96 |

In Table HH. 7 households are distributed according to ownership of assets by households and by individual household members. This also includes ownership of dwelling.

Almost every household has a television (100 percent), factory carpet (100 percent) and refrigerator (99 percent). Possession of mobile phone by at least one household member is also very high (99 percent).

Most households have a sideboard (84 percent), video recorder or DVD (79 percent), washing machine ( 75 percent), air conditioner and vacuum cleaner (each 74 percent), sewing machine ( 72 percent). Approximately every second household owns a passenger car ( 55 percent), a non-mobile phone (51 percent) and bicycle (48 percent).

There are differences by area of residence and regions. The greatest difference by area of residence were found in the presence of a non-mobile phone ( 85 percent in urban and 22 percent in rural areas). In urban areas every second household has a computer/notebook ( 51 percent), as does one in three households in rural areas ( 35 percent). Availability of air conditioner, washing machine and vacuum cleaner is more characteristic for urban areas (from 87 to 90 percent), whereas the presence of these items in rural areas varies from 60 to 64 percent. Households in Dashoguz velayat compared with other regions are the least likely to have an air conditioner ( 35 percent), washing machine and vacuum cleaner (each 52 percent), whereas the availability of these items in Ashgabat city is very high (from 94 to 98 percent). A handmade carpet (wool or silk) was found in 44 percent of households.

60 percent of households have land that can be used for agriculture and 55 percent have farm animals/livestock. Use of agricultural land and presence of livestock is typical for households in rural areas ( 89 and 82 percent respectively) while those are around 23 percent each in urban areas. 83 percent of households inhabit a dwelling owned by a household member.


Table HH. 8 shows how the household populations in areas and regions are distributed according to household wealth quintiles.

It should be noted that the information provided in this table is not equivalent to information about the level of income of the population and is related to the availability of different items to the household members (described in tables HH. 6 and HH.7).

The distribution of population by Wealth index quintiles is extremely uneven depending on area of residence and region. Half of the household population in urban areas ( 51 percent) belongs to the fifth (richest) wealth index quintile while there are no such households in rural areas. Among the regions, the most favourable situation is in Ashgabat city and Balkan velayat where most of the household population ( 99 and 77 percent respectively) belong to the fourth and fifth quintiles. Distributions by region and area of residence are closely related - all households in Ashgabat city and 82 percent in Balkan velayat are found in urban areas (data calculated separately, not presented in Tables in the Report).

| Table HH.8: Wealth quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of the household population by wealth index quintile, according to area of residence and regions, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of household members |
|  | Poorest | Second | Middle | Fourth | Richest |  |  |
| Total | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 29871 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 39.6 | 51.2 | 100.0 | 11666 |
| Rural | 30.9 | 31.6 | 30.1 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 18206 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 20.6 | 78.8 | 100.0 | 3613 |
| Ahal velayat | 3.3 | 17.7 | 43.4 | 26.5 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 3967 |
| Balkan velayat | 3.0 | 5.6 | 14.2 | 36.3 | 41.0 | 100.0 | 2013 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 48.7 | 21.2 | 8.7 | 14.9 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 7058 |
| Lebap velayat | 17.1 | 27.7 | 21.0 | 18.0 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 5799 |
| Mary velayat | 18.2 | 27.8 | 28.5 | 18.4 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 7421 |

## IV. Child Mortality

One of the overarching goals of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) was to reduce infant and under-five mortality. Specifically, the MDGs called for the reduction of under-five mortality by two-thirds between 1990 and 2015. Monitoring progress towards this goal is an important but challenging objective. The importance of continuing and accelerating progress in reducing child mortality has been reflected in the newly agreed Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), which call upon ending preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of age by 2030. The SDGs specify that all countries should aim to reduce the neonatal mortality rate to at least as low as 12 deaths per 1,000 live births and under-five mortality to at least as low as 25 deaths per 1,000 live births.

Mortality rates presented in this chapter are calculated from information collected in the birth histories of the Women's Questionnaires. All interviewed women were asked whether they had ever given birth, and if yes, they were asked to report the number of sons and daughters who live with them, the number who live elsewhere, and the number who have died. In addition, they were asked to provide a detailed birth history of live births of children in chronological order starting with the firstborn. Women were asked whether births were single or multiple, the sex of the children, the date of birth (month and year), and survival status. Further, for children still alive, they were asked the current age of the child and, if not alive, the age at death. Childhood mortality rates are expressed by conventional age categories and are defined as follows:

- Neonatal mortality (NN): the probability of dying within the first month of life
- Post-neonatal mortality (PNN): the probability of dying after the first month of life but before the first birthday (the difference between infant and neonatal mortality rates)
- Infant mortality $\left({ }_{1} q_{0}\right)$ : the probability of dying between birth and the first birthday
- $\quad$ Child mortality $\left({ }_{4} q_{1}\right)$ : the probability of dying between the first and the fifth birthdays
- Under-five mortality $\left({ }_{5} q_{0}\right)$ : the probability of dying between birth and the fifth birthday

Rates are expressed as deaths per 1,000 live births, except in the case of child mortality, which is expressed as deaths per 1,000 children surviving to age one.

| Neonatal, post-neonatal, Infant, child and under-five mortality rates for five year periods preceding the survey, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Neonatal mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ | Post-neonatal mortality rate ${ }^{2, a}$ | Infant mortality rate ${ }^{3}$ | Child mortality rate ${ }^{4}$ | Under-five mortality rate ${ }^{5}$ |
| Years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 13 | 8 | 21 | 6 | 27 |
| 5-9 | 24 | 14 | 38 | 3 | 41 |
| 10-14 | 15 | 18 | 33 | 2 | 35 |
| a Post-ne |  | dicator 1.1 - Neo ator 1.3 - Post-n .2; MDG indicat ndicator 1.4 - Ch MDG indicator e difference betw | mortality rate <br> atal mortality rat <br> 2 - Infant mortal <br> mortality rate <br> Under-five mor <br> the infant and ne | rate <br> lity rate <br> natal mortality |  |

Table CM. 1 and Figure CM. 1 present neonatal, post-neonatal, infant, child, and under-five mortality rates for the three most recent five-year periods before the survey. Neonatal mortality in the most recent 5 -year period is estimated at 13 per 1,000 live births, while the post-neonatal mortality rate is estimated at 8 per 1,000 live births.

Figure CM.1: Early childhood mortality rates, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


The infant mortality rate in the five years preceding the survey is 21 per 1,000 live births and underfive mortality is 27 deaths per 1,000 live births for the same period, indicating that 78 percent of under-five deaths are infant deaths.

The table and figure also show the mortality trends at the national level, during the last 15 years. Under-five mortality was 35 per 1,000 during the 10-14 year period preceding the survey, 41 per 1,000 during the 5-9 year period preceding the survey and 27 per 1,000 live births during the most recent 5-year period, roughly referring to the years 2011-2015.

## Table CM.2: Early childhood mortality rates by socioeconomic characteristics

|  | Neonatal mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ | Post-neonatal mortality rate ${ }^{2, b}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Infant } \\ \text { mortality } \\ \text { rate }^{3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Child mortality rate ${ }^{4}$ | Under-five mortality rate ${ }^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 13 | 8 | 21 | 6 | 27 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | (18) | (7) | (25) | (6) | (31) |
| Ahal velayat | 14 | 8 | 22 | 8 | 30 |
| Balkan velayat | 2 | 14 | 16 | (0) | (16) |
| Dashoguz velayat | 16 | 5 | 21 | (4) | (25) |
| Lebap velayat | 7 | 9 | 16 | 8 | 24 |
| Mary velayat | 13 | 11 | 24 | (6) | (30) |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7 | 9 | 17 | 5 | 22 |
| Rural | 15 | 8 | 23 | 7 | 30 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 23 | 11 | 33 | (9) | (42) |
| Second | 13 | 6 | 19 | 6 | 24 |
| Middle | 12 | 9 | 21 | 7 | 28 |
| Fourth | 5 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 19 |
| Richest | 7 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 18 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low numb household head" are ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Post-neonatal morta <br> ( ) Figures that are ba | MICS indicato ICS indicator dicator 1.2; M ${ }^{4}$ MICS indica cator 1.5; MDG ases, the backg uted as the diffe weighted cases | 1.1 - Neonatal m <br> - Post-neonatal <br> indicator 4.2 - I <br> 1.4-Child mor <br> ndicator 4.1 - Un <br> und characteristic <br> nce between the children exposed | ity rate tality rate t mortalit rate five morta Mother's ed <br> t and neon | n" and "La <br> mortality ra | ge of |


| Neonatal, post-neonatal, infant, child and under-five mortality rates for the five year period preceding the survey, by demographic characteristics, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Neonatal mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ | Post-neonatal mortality rate ${ }^{2, \text { a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Infant mortality } \\ \text { rate }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Child mortality } \\ \text { rate }^{4} \end{gathered}$ | Under-five mortality rate ${ }^{5}$ |
| Total | 13 | 8 | 21 | 6 | 27 |
| Sex of child |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 17 | 10 | 27 | 7 | 33 |
| Female | 8 | 7 | 15 | 5 | 20 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| 20-34 | 13 | 8 | 21 | 5 | 26 |
| 35-49 | (12) | (13) | (25) | (*) | (*) |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 6 | 18 | 7 | 25 |
| 2-3 | 13 | 9 | 22 | 5 | 27 |
| 4-6 | 13 | 8 | 22 | (7) | (28) |
| 7+ | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| Previous birth interval ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 2 years | 14 | 9 | 22 | 7 | 30 |
| 2 years | 15 | 12 | 27 | (7) | (33) |
| 3 years | (8) | (6) | (14) | (*) | (*) |
| $4+$ years | 9 | 11 | 20 | 2 | 22 |
| a Post-neonatal <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Excludes first o <br> () Figures that a <br> (*) Figures that a | $\begin{array}{r} { }^{1} \text { MICS in } \\ { }^{2} \text { MICS indi } \\ { }^{3} \text { MICS indicator } \\ { }^{4} \text { MICS } \\ { }^{5} \text { MICS indicator } 1.5 \\ \text { es are computed as tt } \\ \text { 250-499 unweighteo } \\ \text { fewer than } 250 \text { unw } \end{array}$ | ator 1.1 - Neonat 1.3-Post-neon MDG indicator 4 cator 1.4 - Child DG indicator 4.1 fference between <br> es of children exp ted cases of child | rtality rate mortality rate nfant mortality r ality rate der-five mortality infant and neonat | mortality rates |  |

Tables CM. 2 and CM. 3 provide estimates of child mortality by socioeconomic and demographic characteristics. At the national level, under-5 mortality rate for boys was 33 per 1,000 live births and 20 per 1,000 live births for girls in 2011-2015. There are no statistically significant differences by regions and area of residence (see Tables SE. 2 - SE. 10 in Appendix C). Figure CM. 2 provides a graphical presentation of these estimates with corresponding 95 percent confidence intervals.

# Figure CM.2: Under-5 mortality rates (for the $0-4$ year period preceding the survey) by area and regions, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 



Figure CM. 3 compares the findings of 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS on under-5 mortality rates with those from other data sources. The most recent data from the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS for under-5 mortality rate (direct method) corresponds with data from the official statistics report of State Committee of Statistics (Turkmenstat) for 2013 based on data from the Civil Registry Office (27 per 1,000 live births). The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS results are lower than previous data and data from other sources which can be associated with potential under-reporting of deaths by respondents.

Figure CM.3: Trend in under-5 mortality rates according to different sources, Turkmenistan

Per 1,000 live births


In addition to inconsistencies between different data sources shown in Figure CM.3, preliminary assessment of mortality data from the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS shows some unusual patterns, a few examples of which are described below.

Ratios of infant mortality to under-five mortality for 2008 and 2003 are high, given the under-five mortality level (Figure CM.4), and the ratio of infant mortality to under-five mortality for 2013 is lower than the ratios for 1998 and 1993 (Figure CM.4).

## Figure CM.4: Ratios of infant to under-five mortality, neonatal to infant mortality and neonatal to under-five mortality, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016



The age pattern of mortality for the most recent period when comparing the survey data to model life tables is not expected for the Turkmenistan model (Figure CM.5). Namely, the age patterns of mortality from the 2000 Turkmenistan DHS and the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS are close to the UN Chilean life table model in general. The observation of the 2015-2016 MICS for the most recent period is closer to the North model, while the patterns of mortality for previous periods, also estimated by this survey, are very much in line with the East Model expected for Turkmenistan. Further characterization of these apparent differences as well as its determinants should be taken up in a more detailed and separate analysis.

Figure CM.5: Model life tables and 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS and 2000 Turkmenistan DHS estimates


The findings of the preliminary data quality assessment of the mortality data from the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS data might suggest potential data quality issues, including underreporting of deaths. It is recommended therefore that the child mortality estimates from the survey are to be used with caution and are used to inform policy and program decisions only in conjunction with data from other sources.

## V. Nutrition

## Low Birth Weight

Weight at birth is a good indicator not only of a mother's health and nutritional status but also the newborn's chances for survival, growth, long-term health and psychosocial development. Low birth weight (defined as less than 2,500 grams) carries a range of grave health risks for children. Babies who were undernourished in the womb face a greatly increased risk of dying during their early days, months and years. Those who survive may have impaired immune function and increased risk of disease; they are likely to remain undernourished, with reduced muscle strength, throughout their lives, and suffer a higher incidence of diabetes and heart disease in later life. Children born with low birth weight also risk a lower IQ and cognitive disabilities, affecting their performance in school and their job opportunities as adults.

In the developing world, low birth weight stems primarily from the mother's poor health and nutrition. Three factors have most impact: the mother's poor nutritional status before conception, short stature (due mostly to under nutrition and infections during her childhood), and poor nutrition during pregnancy. Inadequate weight gain during pregnancy is particularly important since it accounts for a large proportion of foetal growth retardation. Moreover, diseases such as diarrhoea and malaria, which are common in many developing countries, can significantly impair foetal growth if the mother becomes infected while pregnant.

In the industrialized world, cigarette smoking during pregnancy is the leading cause of low birth weight. In developed and developing countries alike, teenagers who give birth when their own bodies have yet to finish growing run a higher risk of bearing low birth weight babies.

One of the major challenges in measuring the incidence of low birth weight is that more than half of infants in the developing world are not weighed at birth. In the past, most estimates of low birth weight for developing countries were based on data compiled from health facilities. However, these estimates are biased for most developing countries because the majority of newborns are not delivered in facilities, and those who are represent only a selected sample of all births.

Because many infants are not weighed at birth and those who are weighed may be a biased sample of all births, the reported birth weights usually cannot be used to estimate the prevalence of low birth weight among all children. Therefore, the percentage of births weighing below 2500 grams is estimated from two items in the questionnaire: the mother's assessment of the child's size at birth (i.e., very small, smaller than average, average, larger than average, very large) and the mother's recall of the child's weight or the weight as recorded on a health card if the child was weighed at birth. ${ }^{22}$

[^14]| Table NU.1: Low birth weight infants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of last live-born children in the last two years that are estimated to have weighed below 2,500 grams at birth and percentage of live births weighed at birth, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent distribution of births by mother's assessment of size at birth |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of live births: |  | Number of last live-born children in the last two years |
|  | Very small | Smaller than average | Average | Larger than average or very large | DK | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } 2,500 \\ \text { grams }^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weighed at birth ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Total | 0.8 | 6.5 | 76.2 | 16.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 99.3 | 1476 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 years | 1.3 | 10.4 | 71.4 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 56 |
| 20-34 years | 0.8 | 6.5 | 76.3 | 16.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 99.2 | 1305 |
| 35-49 years | 0.0 | 4.1 | 77.3 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.3 | 10.1 | 74.6 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 99.2 | 440 |
| 2-3 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 77.3 | 16.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 99.1 | 823 |
| 4-5 | 1.4 | 6.7 | 73.1 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 178 |
| $6+$ | (0.0) | (5.5) | (87.5) | (7.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (2.5) | (100.0) | 35 |
| Region 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 1.3 | 9.1 | 76.1 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 160 |
| Ahal velayat | 0.3 | 2.3 | 87.6 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.0 | 5.7 | 85.8 | 7.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2.5 | 99.0 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 1.4 | 10.0 | 80.1 | 7.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 99.2 | 395 |
| Lebap velayat | 1.1 | 5.5 | 64.0 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 98.9 | 300 |
| Mary velayat | 0.0 | 4.9 | 72.6 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 99.0 | 320 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.8 | 5.9 | 74.8 | 18.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3.1 | 99.5 | 529 |
| Rural | 0.8 | 6.8 | 77.0 | 15.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 99.2 | 947 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | 1 |
| Secondary | 0.9 | 6.8 | 77.1 | 15.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3.4 | 99.4 | 1265 |
| Primary vocational | 0.0 | 4.3 | 68.9 | 26.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 97.8 | 112 |
| Secondary vocational | 0.0 | 5.8 | 73.5 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 50 |
| Higher | 0.0 | 5.4 | 72.5 | 22.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Missing/DK | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | - | - | 0 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.3 | 10.0 | 74.5 | 13.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 4.4 | 99.5 | 322 |
| Second | 1.1 | 5.9 | 75.9 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.4 | 99.4 | 313 |
| Middle | 0.0 | 3.4 | 79.9 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 98.6 | 313 |
| Fourth | 0.3 | 6.4 | 75.1 | 17.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 99.9 | 270 |
| Richest | 1.3 | 6.6 | 75.4 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 99.2 | 259 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 0.6 | 6.5 | 76.3 | 16.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3.1 | 99.4 | 1301 |
| Uzbek | 2.1 | 6.2 | 80.2 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 124 |
| Russian | (0.0) | (8.2) | (67.6) | (24.2) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (2.9) | (100.0) | 27 |
| Other | ${ }^{*}$ ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | ${ }^{*}$ ( $)$ | ${ }^{*}$ *) | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ *) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | 24 |
| Missing/DK | (*) | (*) | (*) | $\left.{ }^{*}\right)$ | (*) | 100.0 | - | - | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown. <br> () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. <br> "-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All medical institutions in Turkmenistan that are providing prenatal and postnatal care are technically equipped for weighing infants. Overall, 99 percent of babies were weighed at birth and approximately 3 percent of infants are estimated to weigh less than 2500 grams at birth (Table NU.1).

## Nutritional Status

Children's nutritional status is a reflection of their overall health. When children have access to an adequate food supply, are not exposed to repeated illness, and are well cared for, they reach their growth potential and are considered well nourished.

Undernutrition is associated with more than half of all child deaths worldwide. Undernourished children are more likely to die from common childhood ailments, and for those who survive, have recurring sicknesses and faltering growth. Three-quarters of children who die from causes related to malnutrition were only mildly or moderately malnourished - showing no outward sign of their vulnerability. The Millennium Development Goal target is to reduce by half the proportion of people who suffer from hunger between 1990 and 2015. A reduction in the prevalence of malnutrition will also assist in the goal to reduce child mortality.

In a well-nourished population, there is a reference distribution of height and weight for children under age five. Under-nourishment in a population can be gauged by comparing children to a reference population. The reference population used in this report is based on the WHO growth standards ${ }^{23}$. Each of the three nutritional status indicators - weight-for-age, height-for-age, and weight-for-height - can be expressed in standard deviation units (z-scores) from the median of the reference population.

Weight-for-age is a measure of both acute and chronic malnutrition. Children whose weight-for-age is more than two standard deviations below the median of the reference population are considered moderately or severely underweight while those whose weight-for-age is more than three standard deviations below the median are classified as severely underweight.

Height-for-age is a measure of linear growth. Children whose height-for-age is more than two standard deviations below the median of the reference population are considered short for their age and are classified as moderately or severely stunted. Those whose height-for-age is more than three standard deviations below the median are classified as severely stunted. Stunting is a reflection of chronic malnutrition as a result of failure to receive adequate nutrition over a long period and recurrent or chronic illness.

Weight-for-height can be used to assess wasting and overweight status. Children whose weight-forheight is more than two standard deviations below the median of the reference population are classified as moderately or severely wasted, while those who fall more than three standard deviations below the median are classified as severely wasted. Wasting is usually the result of a recent nutritional deficiency. The indicator of wasting may exhibit significant seasonal shifts associated with changes in the availability of food or disease prevalence.

[^15]Children whose weight-for-height is more than two standard deviations above the median reference population are classified as moderately or severely overweight.

In MICS, weights and heights of all children under 5 years of age were measured using the anthropometric equipment recommended ${ }^{24}$ by UNICEF. Findings in this section are based on the results of these measurements.

Table NU. 2 shows percentages of children classified into each of the above described categories, based on the anthropometric measurements that were taken during fieldwork. Additionally, the table includes mean z-scores for all three anthropometric indicators.

[^16]Table NU.2: Nutritional status of children
Percentage of children under age 5 by nutritional status according to three anthropometric indices: weight for age, height for age, and weight for height, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.1a and MDG indicator 1.8 - Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe)
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.1b - Underweight prevalence (severe)
${ }^{3}$ MICS indicator 2.2a - Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe)
4 MICS indicator 2.2 b - Stunting prevalence (severe)
${ }^{5}$ MICS indicator 2.3a - Wasting prevalence (moderate and severe)
MICS indicator 2.3b - Wasting prevalence (severe)
7 MICS indicator 2.4 - Overweight prevalence
Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "N
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

Children whose measurements are outside a plausible range are excluded from Table NU.2. Children are excluded from one or more of the anthropometric indicators when their weights and heights have not been measured. For example, if a child has been weighed but his/her height has not been measured, the child is included in underweight calculations, but not in the calculations for stunting and wasting. Percentages of children by age and reasons for exclusion are shown in the data quality Tables DQ.10, DQ.11, and DQ. 12 in Appendix D. The tables show that due to implausible measurements, and/or missing weight and/or height, 1 percent of children have been excluded from calculations of the weight-for-age indicator, 1 percent from the height-for-age indicator, and 2 percent for the weight-for-height indicator. There is no evidence of heaping on age or outtransference of children under-5 that would affect to some extent the representativeness of the anthropometric results (Tables DQ. 3 and DQ.6), however Table DQ. 13 shows some evidence that measurers had a tendency in some cases to avoid rounding both weight and height/length measurements to decimal digits 0 and 5 .

Around 3 percent of children under the age of five in Turkmenistan are underweight and 1 percent are classified as severely underweight (Table NU.2). 12 percent of children are stunted or too short for their age and 4 percent are wasted or too thin for their height. 6 percent of children are overweight or too heavy for their height.

Among child nutrition indicators, the largest regional differences are found in the prevalence of stunting and overweight. The prevalence of stunted children ranges from 7 percent in Ashgabat city to 16 percent in Dashoguz velayat. The percentage of overweighed children is highest in Ahal velayat. Notable differences in the prevalence of underweighted or wasted children by mother's education, area of residence or regions are not observed. The age pattern shows that a higher percentage of children age 0-5 months are underweighted and wasted ( 9 and 15 percent respectively) in comparison to children who are older (Figure NU.1).

Figure NU.1: Underweight, stunted, wasted and overweight children under age 5 (moderate and severe), Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


## Breastfeeding and Infant and Young Child Feeding

Proper feeding of infants and young children can increase their chances of survival; it can also promote optimal growth and development, especially in the critical window from birth to 2 years of age. Breastfeeding for the first few years of life protects children from infection, provides an ideal source of nutrients, and is economical and safe. However, many mothers don't start to breastfeed early enough, do not breastfeed exclusively for the recommended 6 months or stop breastfeeding too soon. There are often pressures to switch to infant formula, which can contribute to growth faltering and micronutrient malnutrition and can be unsafe if hygienic conditions, including safe drinking water are not readily available. Studies have shown that, in addition to continued breastfeeding, consumption of appropriate, adequate and safe solid, semi-solid and soft foods from the age of 6 months onwards leads to better health and growth outcomes, with potential to reduce stunting during the first two years of life. ${ }^{25}$

UNICEF and WHO recommend that infants be breastfed within one hour of birth, breastfed exclusively for the first six months of life and continue to be breastfed up to 2 years of age and beyond. ${ }^{26}$ Starting at 6 months, breastfeeding should be combined with safe, age-appropriate feeding of solid, semi-solid and soft foods. ${ }^{27}$ A summary of key guiding principles ${ }^{28,29}$ for feeding 6-23 month olds is provided in the table below along with proximate measures for these guidelines collected in this survey.

The guiding principles for which proximate measures and indicators exist are:

- continued breastfeeding;
- appropriate frequency of meals (but not energy density); and
- appropriate nutrient content of food.

Feeding frequency is used as proxy for energy intake, requiring children to receive a minimum number of meals/snacks (and milk feeds for non-breastfed children) for their age. Dietary diversity is used to ascertain the adequacy of the nutrient content of the food (not including iron) consumed. For dietary diversity, seven food groups were created for which a child consuming at least four of these is considered to have a better quality diet. In most populations, consumption of at least four food groups means that the child has a high likelihood of consuming at least one animal-source food and at least one fruit or vegetable, in addition to a staple food (grain, root or tuber). ${ }^{30}$

These three dimensions of child feeding are combined into an assessment of the children who received appropriate feeding, using the indicator of "minimum acceptable diet". To have a minimum acceptable diet in the previous day, a child must have received:

- the appropriate number of meals/snacks/milk feeds;
- food items form at least 4 food groups; and
- breastmilk or at least 2 milk feeds (for non-breastfed children).

[^17]| Guiding Principle (age 6-23 <br> months) | Proximate measures | Table |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Continue frequent, on-demand <br> breastfeeding for two years and beyond | Breastfed in the last 24 hours | NU.4 |
|  | Breastfed children <br> Depending on age, two or three meals/snacks provided in the last <br> 24 hours | NU.6 |
| Appropriate frequency and energy density of <br> meals | Non-breastfed children <br> Four meals/snacks and/or milk feeds provided in the last 24 <br> hours | NU.6 |
| Appropriate nutrient content of food | Four food groups ${ }^{31}$ eaten in the last 24 hours | na |
| Appropriate amount of food | No standard indicator exists | na |
| Appropriate consistency of food | No standard indicator exists | na |
| Use of vitamin-mineral supplements or <br> fortified products for infant and mother | No standard indicator exists | NU.9 |
| Practice good hygiene and proper food <br> handling | While it was not possible to develop indicators to fully capture <br> programme guidance, one standard indicator does cover part of <br> the principle: Not feeding with a bottle with a nipple | na |
| Practice responsive feeding, applying the <br> principles of psycho-social care | No standard indicator exists |  |

In Turkmenistan, a national program "For the protection and support of breastfeeding in Turkmenistan" has been operating since 1998. New WHO breastfeeding approaches were introduced in the practice of all medical institutions: antenatal preparation of pregnant women on lactation issues, early initiation of breastfeeding, avoiding use of baby formula and bottles with nipples, mother and child staying in the same room, on-demand breastfeeding and supporting the continuation of exclusive breastfeeding. As a result of the implementation of those principles in practice, 87 percent of maternity wards in the country received the international certificate "Baby friendly hospital". A consistent continuation of the policy in the area of correct and rational infant feeding is the Law of Turkmenistan "On the protection and promotion of breastfeeding and requirements for children's food" (2009) and the Law of Turkmenistan "On the promotion and support of breastfeeding" (2016), which are aimed at providing optimal nutrition, growth and development of children, prevention of diseases and improvement of the health of infants and young children by improving their nutrition. Active promotion of breastfeeding is conducted among the population, and every year in the first week of September "National Breastfeeding Week" is celebrated in the country.

[^18]Table NU.3: Initial breastfeeding
Percentage of last live-born children in the last two years who were ever breastfed, breastfed within one hour of birth, and within one day of birth, and percentage who received a prelacteal feed, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage who were ever breastfed ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage who were first breastfed: |  | Percentage who received a prelacteal feed | Number of last live-born children in the last two years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within one hour of birth ${ }^{2}$ | Within one day of birth |  |  |
| Total | 98.5 | 73.4 | 94.4 | 2.3 | 1476 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 97.3 | 79.6 | 89.7 | 3.6 | 160 |
| Ahal velayat | 98.2 | 47.1 | 96.2 | 2.3 | 226 |
| Balkan velayat | 99.4 | 77.5 | 99.0 | 0.5 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 99.7 | 66.6 | 95.4 | 2.3 | 395 |
| Lebap velayat | 99.6 | 87.9 | 95.8 | 3.3 | 300 |
| Mary velayat | 96.5 | 82.7 | 91.9 | 1.0 | 320 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.7 | 75.7 | 91.8 | 3.9 | 529 |
| Rural | 98.4 | 72.1 | 95.9 | 1.3 | 947 |
| Months since last birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | 98.6 | 71.3 | 94.2 | 2.5 | 730 |
| 12-23 months | 98.4 | 75.4 | 94.6 | 2.1 | 746 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant | 98.5 | 73.4 | 94.4 | 2.3 | 1476 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Health facility | 98.5 | 73.2 | 94.4 | 2.3 | 1468 |
| Public | 98.5 | 73.2 | 94.4 | 2.3 | 1466 |
| Private | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 2 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1 |
| Secondary | 98.4 | 72.9 | 95.1 | 1.9 | 1265 |
| Primary vocational | 99.6 | 75.9 | 89.3 | 5.7 | 112 |
| Secondary vocational | 98.1 | 80.4 | 90.0 | 3.6 | 50 |
| Higher | 98.0 | 72.9 | 91.3 | 2.7 | 46 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 98.0 | 75.2 | 96.0 | 1.2 | 322 |
| Second | 99.0 | 73.8 | 94.8 | 1.6 | 313 |
| Middle | 97.6 | 70.6 | 95.0 | 1.5 | 313 |
| Fourth | 98.7 | 74.1 | 95.5 | 1.7 | 270 |
| Richest | 99.3 | 73.4 | 90.0 | 5.9 | 259 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 98.4 | 73.6 | 94.5 | 2.2 | 1301 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 69.9 | 97.1 | 1.9 | 124 |
| Russian | (95.1) | (67.1) | (78.4) | (9.1) | 27 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 24 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown. <br> ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table NU. 3 is based on mothers' reports of what their last-born child, born in the last two years, was fed in the first few days of life. It indicates the proportion who were ever breastfed, those who were first breastfed within one hour and one day of birth, and those who received a prelacteal feed. ${ }^{32}$

In Turkmenistan, 73 percent of babies are breastfed for the first time within one hour of birth, while 94 percent of newborns start breastfeeding within one day of birth. The findings are presented in Figure NU. 2 by region and area.

[^19]There are no differences in the percentage of children breastfed within one hour by background characteristics with the exception of regions. The percentage of children who are breastfed for the first time within one hour of birth in Ahal velayat (47 percent) is much lower than in other regions.

Figure NU.2: Initiation of breastfeeding, Turkmenistan, 20152016


The set of Infant and Young Child Feeding indicators reported in tables NU. 4 through NU. 8 are based on the mother's report of consumption of food and fluids during the day or night prior to being interviewed. Data are subject to a number of limitations, some related to the respondent's ability to provide a full report on the child's liquid and food intake due to recall errors as well as lack of knowledge in cases where the child was fed by other individuals.

In Table NU.4, breastfeeding status is presented for both Exclusively breastfed and Predominantly breastfed; referring to infants age less than 6 months who are breastfed, distinguished by the former only allowing vitamins, mineral supplements, and medicine and the latter allowing also plain water and non-milk liquids. The table also shows continued breastfeeding of children at 12-15 and 20-23 months of age.


Approximately 59 percent of children age less than six months are exclusively breastfed and 81 percent are predominantly breastfed. By age 12-15 months, 64 percent of children are breastfed and by age 20-23 months 20 percent are breastfed.

Figure NU. 3 shows the detailed pattern of breastfeeding by the child's age in months. At the age 0-1 months, the proportion of children exclusively breastfed is 83 percent while at age $4-5$ months the percentage decreases to 37 percent at which point breastfeeding is increasingly being supplemented with plain water and milk/formula. Only about 15 percent of children are receiving breast milk at age 2 years.

Figure NU.3: Infant feeding patterns by age, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


Table NU. 5 shows the median duration of breastfeeding by selected background characteristics. Among children under age 3 , the median duration is 16.1 months for any breastfeeding, 3.3 months for exclusive breastfeeding, and 5.0 months for predominant breastfeeding. The median duration of any breastfeeding in Ashgabat city is 11.4 months, while children are breastfed longer in other regions: from 14.4 months in Ahal velayat to 19.5 months in Lebap velayat.

| Table NU.5: Duration of breastfeeding |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median duration of any breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding, and predominant breastfeeding among children age 0-35 months, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Median duration (in months) of: |  |  | Number of |
|  | Any breastfeeding ${ }^{1}$ | Exclusive breastfeeding | Predominant breastfeeding | children age 035 months |
| Median | 16.1 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 2247 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 16.0 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 1201 |
| Female | 16.3 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 1046 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 11.4 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 237 |
| Ahal velayat | 14.4 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 353 |
| Balkan velayat | 17.2 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 113 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 17.8 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 593 |
| Lebap velayat | 19.5 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 456 |
| Mary velayat | 15.4 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 496 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 15.4 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 806 |
| Rural | 16.4 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 1441 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | - | - | - | 5 |
| Secondary | 16.0 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 1951 |
| Primary vocational | 15.4 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 155 |
| Secondary vocational | 21.2 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 68 |
| Higher | 16.6 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 66 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 18.2 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 483 |
| Second | 15.5 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 473 |
| Middle | 16.7 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 478 |
| Fourth | 16.0 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 441 |
| Richest | 12.9 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 372 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 15.9 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 1965 |
| Uzbek | 19.6 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 197 |
| Russian | 11.7 | - | 2.4 | 45 |
| Other | (13.8) | (3.9) | (3.9) | 41 |
| Mean | 16.4 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 2247 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown. <br> () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> "-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator. |  |  |  |  |

The age-appropriateness of breastfeeding of children under age 24 months is provided in Table NU.6. Different criteria of feeding are used depending on the age of the child. For infants age 0-5 months, exclusive breastfeeding is considered as age-appropriate feeding, while children age 6-23 months are considered to be appropriately fed if they are receiving breastmilk and solid, semi-solid or soft food. As a result of feeding patterns, 56 percent of children age 6-23 months are being appropriately breastfed and age-appropriate breastfeeding among all children age 0-23 months is at same level (57 percent).

Approximately a third of all children (36 percent) age 0-23 months in Ashgabat city are appropriately breastfeed, whereas values of this indicator are higher in other regions and vary from 54 to 65 percent. Among children age 6-23 months there is correlation with wealth index, 66 percent of the children from the poorest quintile were appropriately breastfeed and only 41 percent of children from the richest wealth quintile.

Table NU.6: Age-appropriate breastfeeding

|  | Children age 0-5 months |  | Children age 6-23 months |  | Children age 0-23 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent exclusively breastfed ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children | Percent currently breastfeeding and receiving solid, semisolid or soft foods | Number of children | Percent appropriatel y breastfed ${ }^{2}$ | Number of children |
| Total | 58.9 | 343 | 56.2 | 1158 | 56.9 | 1501 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 61.0 | 190 | 56.5 | 593 | 57.5 | 783 |
| Female | 56.3 | 153 | 56.0 | 565 | 56.1 | 718 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | (41.3) | 34 | 34.5 | 124 | 36.0 | 158 |
| Ahal velayat | 57.8 | 61 | 52.9 | 172 | 54.2 | 233 |
| Balkan velayat | (75.6) | 14 | 57.3 | 64 | 60.5 | 77 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 56.9 | 106 | 61.0 | 309 | 59.9 | 415 |
| Lebap velayat | 63.4 | 66 | 65.0 | 240 | 64.6 | 306 |
| Mary velayat | (64.4) | 63 | 54.8 | 249 | 56.8 | 312 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 54.7 | 131 | 49.0 | 399 | 50.4 | 531 |
| Rural | 61.5 | 212 | 60.1 | 759 | 60.4 | 971 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | 1 | (*) | 2 | (*) | 3 |
| Secondary | 58.9 | 301 | 56.4 | 1001 | 57.0 | 1303 |
| Primary vocational | (*) | 21 | 51.6 | 84 | 54.1 | 104 |
| Secondary vocational | (*) | 11 | (62.7) | 36 | 60.5 | 47 |
| Higher | (*) | 7 | (56.0) | 35 | 55.2 | 42 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (58.4) | 67 | 66.3 | 262 | 64.7 | 329 |
| Second | 57.5 | 71 | 59.5 | 249 | 59.1 | 320 |
| Middle | 62.5 | 70 | 55.0 | 247 | 56.7 | 316 |
| Fourth | 64.8 | 72 | 54.9 | 212 | 57.4 | 283 |
| Richest | 50.5 | 64 | 41.0 | 189 | 43.4 | 252 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 59.7 | 296 | 56.0 | 1022 | 56.8 | 1318 |
| Uzbek | (57.6) | 40 | 65.6 | 93 | 63.2 | 133 |
| Russian | (*) | 3 | (42.3) | 22 | (36.8) | 25 |
| Other | (*) | 4 | (*) | 21 | (*) | 25 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.7 - Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months <br> ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.12 - Age-appropriate breastfeeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number shown. <br> ( ) Figures that are based <br> (*) Figures that are based | eighted case <br> -49 unweigh wer than 25 | the categ <br> d cases. weighted | None" for the background | characteri | Mother's educ | n" is not |

Overall, 82 percent of infants age 6-8 months received solid, semi-solid, or soft foods at least once during the previous day (Table NU.7).

## Table NU.7: Introduction of solid, semi-solid, or soft foods

Percentage of infants age 6-8 months who received solid, semi-solid, or soft foods during the previous day, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Currently breastfeeding |  | Currently not breastfeeding |  | All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods | Number of children age 6-8 months | Percent receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods | Number of children age 68 months | Percent receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children age 6-8 months |
| Total | 81.4 | 169 | (*) | 16 | 82.3 | 185 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 81.2 | 92 | (*) | 8 | 82.6 | 100 |
| Female | 81.8 | 77 | (*) | 8 | 82.0 | 85 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 81.1 | 55 | (*) | 11 | 84.3 | 66 |
| Rural | 81.6 | 114 | (*) | 5 | 81.3 | 119 |

[^20]93 percent of the children age 6-23 months were receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods the minimum number of times (Table NU.8). The proportion of children receiving the minimum dietary diversity, or foods from at least 4 food groups, was lower ( 85 percent) than that for minimum meal frequency. Almost all older (18-23 month old) children (98 percent) were achieving the minimum dietary diversity compared to younger (6-8 month old) children (44 percent). The overall assessment using the indicator of minimum acceptable diet revealed that 77 percent were benefitting from a diet with a minimum diversity and frequency. The proportion of children age 6-23 months receiving minimum acceptable diet increases with household wealth, ranging from 72 percent of children from the first quintile (poorest) to 85 percent of children from fifth quintile (richest).

Table NU.8: Infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices
Percentage of children age 6-23 months who received appropriate liquids and solid, semi-solid, or soff foods the minimum number of times or more during the previous day, by breastfeeding status, Turkmenistan, 2015 -2016

|  | Currently breastfeeding |  |  |  | Currently not breastfeeding |  |  |  |  | All |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent of children who received: |  |  | Number of children age 623 months | Percent of children who received: |  |  |  | Number of children age 623 months | Percent of children who received: |  |  | Number of children age 623 months |
|  | Minimum dietary diversity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Minimum meal frequency ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Minimum acceptable diet $^{1, c}$ |  | Minimum dietary diversity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Minimum meal frequency ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Minimum acceptable diet $^{2}$, c | $\begin{gathered} \text { At least } 2 \text { milk } \\ \text { feeds }^{3} \end{gathered}$ |  | Minimum dietary diversity ${ }^{4, ~ a ~}$ | Minimum meal frequency $y^{5, b}$ | Minimum acceptable diet $^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| Total | 77.3 | 89.1 | 73.3 | 684 | 96.6 | 97.6 | 82.9 | 91.0 | 457 | 85.2 | 92.5 | 77.1 | 1158 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 75.9 | 89.7 | 72.3 | 353 | 96.1 | 99.4 | 83.7 | 91.3 | 233 | 84.1 | 93.6 | 76.8 | 593 |
| Female | 78.9 | 88.4 | 74.3 | 331 | 97.2 | 95.7 | 82.1 | 90.7 | 224 | 86.3 | 91.4 | 77.5 | 565 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 months | 44.3 | 77.7 | 43.6 | 169 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 15 | 43.6 | 78.7 | 42.7 | 185 |
| 9-11 months | 80.6 | 92.1 | 77.2 | 175 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 18 | 81.9 | 92.9 | 76.6 | 194 |
| 12-17 months | 91.0 | 93.3 | 84.3 | 247 | 98.4 | 98.0 | 82.8 | 90.3 | 165 | 94.1 | 95.1 | 83.7 | 420 |
| 18-23 months | 95.1 | 93.3 | 90.8 | 93 | 99.0 | 97.6 | 86.7 | 91.6 | 260 | 98.0 | 96.5 | 87.8 | 359 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 73.9 | 88.3 | 68.3 | 46 | 95.6 | 97.6 | 86.4 | 95.4 | 76 | 87.7 | 94.1 | 79.6 | 124 |
| Ahal velayat | 69.5 | 95.6 | 69.5 | 95 | 98.1 | 100.0 | 89.0 | 95.0 | 76 | 82.3 | 97.6 | 78.2 | 172 |
| Balkan velayat | 83.5 | 92.6 | 80.8 | 38 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.7 | 92.7 | 25 | 90.2 | 95.5 | 85.5 | 64 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 78.2 | 84.6 | 70.5 | 196 | 96.5 | 92.7 | 81.5 | 94.2 | 109 | 85.0 | 87.5 | 74.4 | 309 |
| Lebap velayat | 85.9 | 89.0 | 84.6 | 168 | 96.9 | 98.6 | 84.4 | 88.9 | 69 | 89.3 | 91.8 | 84.6 | 240 |
| Mary velayat | 70.7 | 90.5 | 65.9 | 142 | 95.4 | 100.0 | 73.9 | 82.4 | 101 | 80.9 | 94.4 | 69.2 | 249 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 81.8 | 92.2 | 79.2 | 206 | 97.1 | 97.2 | 86.5 | 93.1 | 188 | 89.2 | 94.6 | 82.7 | 399 |
| Rural | 75.4 | 87.8 | 70.7 | 478 | 96.3 | 97.9 | 80.4 | 89.6 | 268 | 83.1 | 91.4 | 74.2 | 759 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 1 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1 | (*) | (*) | (*) | 2 |
| Secondary | 76.5 | 88.8 | 72.2 | 591 | 97.4 | 97.3 | 83.3 | 90.7 | 395 | 85.0 | 92.2 | 76.7 | 1001 |
| Primary vocational | (87.2) | (95.6) | (85.1) | 44 | (92.5) | (100.0) | (76.1) | (89.0) | 38 | 89.8 | 97.6 | 80.9 | 84 |
| Secondary vocational | (75.8) | (79.8) | (70.7) | 26 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 9 | (76.2) | (85.1) | (70.2) | 36 |
| Higher | (*) | (*) | (*) | 20 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 13 | (88.1) | (97.2) | (87.6) | 35 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 73.4 | 88.9 | 68.7 | 178 | 96.8 | 96.5 | 78.2 | 88.1 | 78 | 81.0 | 91.2 | 71.6 | 262 |
| Second | 77.8 | 88.8 | 72.2 | 153 | 95.7 | 96.9 | 80.0 | 89.0 | 93 | 84.8 | 91.9 | 75.2 | 249 |
| Middle | 75.7 | 86.1 | 72.5 | 149 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 85.1 | 94.2 | 93 | 83.3 | 91.4 | 77.3 | 247 |
| Fourth | 79.8 | 90.6 | 76.2 | 123 | 96.5 | 96.5 | 82.7 | 88.3 | 87 | 86.8 | 93.1 | 78.9 | 212 |
| Richest | 84.5 | 93.4 | 82.2 | 80 | 97.6 | 97.9 | 87.3 | 94.5 | 106 | 92.1 | 96.0 | 85.1 | 189 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 77.0 | 88.5 | 72.8 | 603 | 96.2 | 98.1 | 82.5 | 90.5 | 405 | 84.8 | 92.3 | 76.7 | 1022 |
| Uzbek | (77.5) | (93.8) | (75.0) | 62 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 28 | 85.0 | 92.5 | 78.1 | 93 |
| Russian | (*) | (*) | (*) | 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 11 | (95.9) | (95.3) | (80.1) | 22 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 12 | (*) | (*) | (*) | 21 |

## 2 MICS indicator 217b - Minimum acceptable diet (non-breastfed) <br> MICS indicator 2.14 - Milk feeding frequency for non-breastfed childre <br> ${ }^{4}$ MICS indicator 2.16 - Minimum dietary diversity

 vitamin-A rich fruits and vegetables, and 7) other fruits and vegetables
 breastfeeding children age 6-23 months it is defined as receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods, or milk feeds, at least 4 times.
 dietary diversity is achieved without counting milk feeds.
) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
${ }_{(*)}$ Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

The continued practice of bottle-feeding is a concern because of the possible contamination due to unsafe water and lack of hygiene in preparation. Table NU. 9 shows that, in Turkmenistan, prevalence of bottle-feeding to the greatest extent depends on the region. Overall, 22 percent of children under 24 months are fed using a bottle with a nipple. The majority of children in Ashgabat city ( 65 percent) are fed using a bottle with a nipple, while such feeding practice is notably less common in other regions. Bottle-feeding is almost two times more common in urban than in rural areas (31 and 17 percent respectively). Percentages increase with the level of mother's education and wealth index.

## Table NU.9: Bottle feeding

| Percentage of children age 0-23 months who were fed with a bottle with a nipple during the previous day, Turkmenistan, 20152016 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of children age 0-23 months fed with a bottle with a nipple ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children age $0-23$ months |
| Total | 21.8 | 1501 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 21.1 | 783 |
| Female | 22.5 | 718 |
| Age |  |  |
| 0-5 months | 16.7 | 343 |
| 6-11 months | 29.9 | 380 |
| 12-23 months | 20.0 | 778 |
| Region |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 65.1 | 158 |
| Ahal velayat | 30.8 | 233 |
| Balkan velayat | 15.4 | 77 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 10.2 | 415 |
| Lebap velayat | 15.1 | 306 |
| Mary velayat | 16.6 | 312 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 30.6 | 531 |
| Rural | 16.9 | 971 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | 3 |
| Secondary | 20.2 | 1303 |
| Primary vocational | 25.7 | 104 |
| Secondary vocational | 40.4 | 47 |
| Higher | 39.6 | 42 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |
| Poorest | 10.3 | 329 |
| Second | 15.8 | 320 |
| Middle | 23.3 | 316 |
| Fourth | 20.4 | 283 |
| Richest | 43.9 | 252 |
| Language of household head |  |  |
| Turkmen | 22.4 | 1318 |
| Uzbek | 7.4 | 133 |
| Russian | (65.6) | 25 |
| Other | (*) | 25 |

$\quad{ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.18 - Bottle feeding
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown.
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

## Salt Iodization

Iodine Deficiency Disorders (IDD) is the world's leading cause of preventable mental retardation and impaired psychomotor development in young children. In its most extreme form, iodine deficiency causes cretinism. It also increases the risks of stillbirth and miscarriage in pregnant women. lodine deficiency is most commonly and visibly associated with goitre. IDD takes its greatest toll in impaired mental growth and development, contributing in turn to poor school performance, reduced intellectual ability, and impaired work performance. The indicator is the percentage of households consuming adequately iodized salt ( $\geq 15$ parts per million).

In order to strengthen the monitoring system for production, distribution and transportation of iodized salt, order №61 was issued by the Ministry of Health and Medical Industry of Turkmenistan on May 6, 2012 "On permanent implementation of laboratory control and monitoring of the quality of iodized salt". In 2013, with the participation of international experts, a nationally representative survey was conducted, and in 2014 Turkmenistan was the first of the CEECIS countries and fourth in the world that, on behalf of UNICEF, WHO and the International Council for Control of Iodine Deficiency Disorder, was awarded the International Certificate for achieving optimal iodine nutrition in the population through salt iodization and sustained elimination of iodine deficiency disorders.

| Table NU.10: lodized salt consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of households by consumption of iodized salt, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of households in which salt was tested | Percent of households with: |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of households in which salt was tested or with no salt |
|  |  |  |  | Salt test result |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number of households | No salt | Not iodized 0 PPM | $\begin{gathered} >0 \text { and }<15 \\ \text { PPM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15+ \\ & \text { PPM }^{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Total | 99.8 | 5861 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 96.7 | 100.0 | 5857 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.2 | 883 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 92.2 | 100.0 | 879 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 674 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 674 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 497 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 99.2 | 100.0 | 497 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 99.9 | 1236 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 1236 |
| Lebap velayat | 99.9 | 1079 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 92.5 | 100.0 | 1079 |
| Mary velayat | 99.9 | 1491 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 98.0 | 100.0 | 1491 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.7 | 2634 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 95.5 | 100.0 | 2630 |
| Rural | 99.9 | 3227 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 97.6 | 100.0 | 3227 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.8 | 1155 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 97.4 | 100.0 | 1155 |
| Second | 100.0 | 1055 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 96.7 | 100.0 | 1055 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 1031 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 97.4 | 100.0 | 1031 |
| Fourth | 99.9 | 1212 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 97.4 | 100.0 | 1211 |
| Richest | 99.5 | 1408 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 1404 |

In almost all households (100 percent), salt used for cooking was tested for iodine content by using salt test kits and testing for the presence of potassium iodide or potassium iodate content. Table NU. 10 shows that in less than 1 percent of households, there was no salt available. These households are included in the denominator of the indicator. In 97 percent of households, salt was found to contain 15 parts per million (ppm) or more of iodine. Use of iodized salt was lowest in Ashgabat city ( 92 percent) and highest in Dashoguz velayat (100 percent). There are no differences in consumption of iodized salt by area of residence (urban, rural) and wealth index.

The consumption of adequately iodized salt is graphically presented in Figure NU. 4 together with the percentage of salt containing less the 15 ppm .

Figure NU.4: Consumption of iodized salt, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


## VI. Child Health

## Vaccinations

The Millennium Development Goal (MDG) 4 is to reduce child mortality by two thirds between 1990 and 2015. Immunization plays a key part in this goal. In addition, the Global Vaccine Action Plan (GVAP) was endorsed by the 194 Member States of the World Health Assembly in May 2012 to achieve the Decade of Vaccines vision by delivering universal access to immunization. Immunization has saved the lives of millions of children in the four decades since the launch of the Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI) in 1974. Worldwide there are still millions of children not reached by routine immunization and as a result, vaccine-preventable diseases cause more than 2 million deaths every year.

The WHO Recommended Routine Immunizations for Children ${ }^{33}$ recommends all children to be vaccinated against tuberculosis, diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus, polio, measles, hepatitis B (HepB), haemophilus influenzae type b , pneumonia/meningitis, rotavirus, and rubella.

All doses in the primary series are recommended to be completed before the child's first birthday, although depending on the epidemiology of disease in a country, the first doses of measles and rubella containing vaccines may be recommended at 12 months or later. The recommended number and timing of most other doses also vary slightly with local epidemiology and may include booster doses later in childhood.

The National Programme of Immunization in Turkmenistan includes the following vaccination of children before the first birthday: birth doses of BCG, Polio (within 2-3 days of life), and Hepatitis B vaccines (within 24 hours of birth); three doses of the Pentavalent vaccine containing DPT, Hepatitis B, and Haemophilus influenzae type $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{Hib})$ antigens, and three doses of the Polio vaccine. At the age of 12-15 months, the child should receive one dose of the MMR vaccine containing measles, mumps, and rubella antigens, and, at the age of 18 months, a fourth dose of DPT and Polio (booster doses). Taking into consideration this vaccination schedule, the estimates for full immunization coverage from the Turkmenistan MICS are based on children age 24-35 months.

Information on vaccination coverage was collected for all children under three years of age. All mothers or caretakers were asked to provide vaccination cards/passport. If the vaccination card/passport for a child was available, interviewers copied vaccination information from the cards/passports onto the MICS questionnaire. If no vaccination card/passport was available for the child, the interviewer proceeded to ask the mother to recall whether or not the child had received each of the vaccinations, and for Polio, DPT, Hepatitis B and Hib, how many doses were received. Information was also obtained from vaccination records at health facilities. Final vaccination coverage estimates were calculated primarily by using information collected from health facility records. If heath facility records were unavailable,

[^21]information from cards/passports kept at home was used, and if this information was unavailable data collected through mother's recall were used for estimating coverage.

Table CH.1: Vaccinations in the first years of life


The percentage of children age 12-23 months and 24-35 months who have received each of the specific vaccinations by source of information (vaccination card/passport or vaccination records at health facilities and mother's recall) is shown in Table CH. 1 and Figure CH.1. The denominators for the table are comprised of children age 12-23 months and 24-35 months so that only children who are old enough to be fully vaccinated are counted. In the first three columns in each panel of the table, the numerator includes all children who were vaccinated at any time before the survey according to the vaccination card/passport or the vaccination records at health facilities or the mother's report. In the last column in each panel, only those children who were vaccinated before their first birthday, as recommended, are included. For children without vaccination cards/passports/records, the proportion of vaccinations given before the first birthday is assumed to be the same as for children with vaccination cards/passports/records.

All children age 12-23 months received the birh dose of HepB as well as the BCG and Polio vaccines that are given during the first 2-3 days of life, while the third doses of Polio, DPT, HepB and Hib were received by 98 percent of children this age. The coverage for the first dose of measles vaccine by 24 months is 99 percent. As a result, the percentage of children who had all the recommended vaccinations by their first birthday (MMR by their second birthday) is very high at 95 percent. The individual coverage figures for children age 24-35 months are generally similar to those age 12-23 months suggesting that immunization coverage has been on average stable in Turkmenistan between 2013 and 2014.

Figure CH.1: Vaccinations by age 12 months (measles by 24 months), Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


Table CH. 2 presents vaccination coverage estimates among children age 12-23 and 24-35 months by background characteristics. The figures indicate children receiving the vaccinations at any time up to the date of the survey, and are based on information from both the vaccination cards/passport or health facility records and mothers'/caretakers' reports. Vaccination cards/passports have been seen by the interviewer for almost all children age 12-23 and children age 24-35 months (Table DQ.15).

## Table CH.2: Vaccinations by background characteristics


a The way HepB doses are labelled in this table differs to the labelling in the vaccination schedule of Turkmenistan
b Measles is administered through the combined measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) vaccine in Turkmenistan.
${ }^{\circ}$ Includes: BCG, Polio3, DPT3, HepB3, Hib3, and Measles (MMR) as per the vaccination schedule in Turkmenistan.
${ }^{\circ}$ Polio4 and DPT4 are booster doses that are not included in full vaccination coverage
${ }^{e}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

There are no differences in coverage with individual vaccines by background characteristics for children age 12-23 months with the exception of children from Ashgabat city and from the richest wealth index quintile. In Asghabat city, comparing with other regions, a higher percentage of children did not receive recommended doses or they did not receive it timely. In particular, the percentage of children age 12-23 months who received the first dose of HepB vaccine is 100 percent while the percentage for the third dose declines to 93 percent. The situation is almost the same for children from the richest wealth index quintile.

Findings on coverage with the DPT-HepB-Hib combination vaccine for children age 12-23 months are presented in Table CH.2A. 99 percent of children age 12-23 months received all three doses of the vaccine against diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus, hepatitis $B$, haemophilus influenzae type $b$ through the DPT-HepB-Hib combination vaccine. The coverage is slightly lower for the children from Ashgabat city and from the richest wealth index quintile.

Table CH.2A: Coverage of the DPT-HepB-Hib combination vaccine
Percentage of children age 12-23 months vaccinated against diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus, hepatitis B and the Hib disease using the DPT-HepB-Hib combination vaccine ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of children age 12-23 months who received: |  |  | Number of children age 12-23 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Pentavalent1 } \\ \text { DPT1-HepB1- } \\ \text { Hib1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pentavalent2 DPT2-HepB2-Hib2 | Pentavalent3 DPT3-HepB3-Hib3 |  |
| Total | 99.5 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 778 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 99.5 | 99.3 | 99.1 | 397 |
| Female | 99.4 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 382 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 96.0 | 93.4 | 92.5 | 81 |
| Ahal velayat | 99.1 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 110 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 211 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 160 |
| Mary velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.5 | 97.3 | 97.0 | 276 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 502 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | 2 |
| Secondary | 99.4 | 98.9 | 98.8 | 679 |
| Primary vocational | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 57 |
| Secondary vocational | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Higher | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | 23 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 178 |
| Second | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 161 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 162 |
| Fourth | 98.8 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 150 |
| Richest | 98.0 | 95.5 | 95.0 | 128 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.4 | 98.9 | 98.8 | 682 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65 |
| Russian | (*) | (*) | (*) | 14 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | 17 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The way HepB doses are labelled in this table differs to the labelling in the vaccination schedule of Turkmenistan, where the birth dose (HepB0) is labelled as HepB1, HepB1 is labelled as HepB2, HepB2 as HepB3 and HepB3 as HepB4. <br> () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |

## Care of Illness

A key strategy for accelerating progress toward MDG 4 is to tackle the diseases that are the leading killers of children under 5. Diarrhoea and pneumonia are two such diseases. The Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Pneumonia and Diarrhoea (GAPPD) aims to end preventable pneumonia and diarrhoea death by reducing mortality from pneumonia to 3 deaths per 1000 live births and mortality from diarrhoea to 1 death per 1000 live births by 2025.

From 2001, Turkmenistan has started the implementation of the program "Integrated Management of Childhood Illness" (IMCI) which focuses on improving primary health care, education and community outreach. One important component of this program is the rational use of medications in most common childhood diseases (use of oral rehydration salts (ORS) with diarrhoea and use of antibiotics for suspected pneumonia).

Table CH. 3 presents the percentage of children under 5 years of age who were reported to have had an episode of diarrhoea, symptoms of acute respiratory infection (ARI), or fever during the 2 weeks preceding the survey. These results are not measures of true prevalence, and should not be used as such, but rather the period-prevalence of those illnesses over a two-week time window.

The definition of a case of diarrhoea or fever, in this survey, was the mother's (or caretaker's) report that the child had such symptoms over the specified period; no other evidence were sought beside the opinion of the mother. A child was considered to have had an episode of ARI if the mother or caretaker reported that the child had, over the specified period, an illness with a cough with rapid or difficult breathing, and whose symptoms were perceived to be due to a problem in the chest or both a problem in the chest and a blocked nose. While this approach is reasonable in the context of a MICS survey, these basically simple case definitions must be kept in mind when interpreting the results, as well as the potential for reporting and recall biases. Further, diarrhoea, fever and ARI are not only seasonal but are also characterized by the often rapid spread of localized outbreaks from one area to another at different points in time. The timing of the survey and the location of the teams might thus considerably affect the results, which must consequently be interpreted with caution. For these reasons, although the periodprevalence over a two-week time window is reported, these data should not be used to assess the epidemiological characteristics of these diseases but rather to obtain denominators for the indicators related to use of health services and treatment.


Overall, 2 percent of under five children were reported to have had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey, less than 1 percent symptoms of ARI, and 6 percent an episode of fever (Table CH.3). There are major differences between regions in the case of fever.

## Diarrhoea

Diarrhoea is a leading cause of death among children under five worldwide. Most diarrhoea-related deaths in children are due to dehydration from loss of large quantities of water and electrolytes from the body in liquid stools. Management of diarrhoea - either through oral rehydration salts (ORS) or a recommended home fluid (RHF) - can prevent many of these deaths. In addition, provision of zinc supplements has been shown to reduce the duration and severity of the illness as well as the risk of
future episodes within the next two or three months. Preventing dehydration and malnutrition by increasing fluid intake and continuing to feed the child are also important strategies for managing diarrhoea.

In the MICS, mothers or caretakers were asked whether their child under age five years had an episode of diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to the survey. In cases where mothers reported that the child had diarrhoea, a series of questions were asked about the treatment of the illness, including what the child had been given to drink and eat during the episode and whether this was more or less than what was usually given to the child.

The overall period-prevalence of diarrhoea in children under 5 years of age is 2 percent (Table CH.3). With the increase of the age of child, the incidence of diarrhoea is gradually reduced from 3 percent for the children age 0-11 months to less than 1 percent for children age 48-59 months.

Table CH.4: Care-seeking during diarrhoea
Percentage of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks for whom advice or treatment was sought ${ }^{\text {a }}$, by source of advice or treatment, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of children with diarrhoea for whom: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Health facilities or providers |  |  |  | A health facility or provider ${ }^{1,}$ d | No advice or treatment sought |  |
|  | Public ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Private | Mobile/Outreach clinic $^{\text {c }}$ | Other source |  |  |  |
| Total | 50.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.4 | 48.6 | 70 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | (56.4) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (56.4) | (43.6) | 42 |
| Female | (40.8) | (3.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (43.8) | (56.2) | 28 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (41.2) | (3.1) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (44.3) | (55.7) | 26 |
| Rural | (55.7) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (55.7) | (44.3) | 44 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 3.10 - Care-seeking for diarrhoea
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to low numbers of denominators, the background characteristics "Region", "Age", "Mother's education", "Wealth index quintile" and "Language of household head" are not shown.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Public health facilities and providers include public pharmacies
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes both public (Mobile/Outreach clinic) and private (Mobile clinic) health facilities
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Includes all public and private health facilities and providers, but excludes public and private pharmacy
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

Table CH. 4 shows the percentage of children with diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey for whom advice or treatment was sought and where. Overall, a health facility or provider was seen in a half of the cases (51 percent), predominantly in the public sector (50 percent).

|  | Drinking practices during diarrhoea Child was given to drink: |  |  |  |  |  | Eating practices during diarrhoea |  |  |  |  |  | Number ofchildrenage $0-59$monthswithdiarrhoeain the lasttwo weeks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Much less | $\begin{gathered} \text { Somewhat } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | About the same | More | Nothing | Total | Much less | Somewhat | About the same | More | Nothing | Total |  |
| Total | 10.1 | 24.3 | 36.5 | 26.5 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 13.2 | 47.0 | 35.7 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 70 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | (10.4) | (22.3) | (37.5) | (28.6) | (1.2) | 100.0 | (15.2) | (37.1) | (44.9) | (0.0) | (2.8) | 100.0 | 42 |
| Female | (9.6) | (27.4) | (34.9) | (23.2) | (4.8) | 100.0 | (10.0) | (62.2) | (21.7) | (3.0) | (3.1) | 100.0 | 28 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (18.2) | (12.7) | (40.2) | (21.9) | (7.0) | 100.0 | (14.4) | (54.2) | (26.4) | (3.1) | (1.9) | 100.0 | 26 |
| Rural | (5.2) | (31.3) | (34.3) | (29.2) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (12.5) | (42.8) | (41.3) | (0.0) | (3.5) | 100.0 | 44 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to low numbers of denominators, the background characteristics "Region", "Age", "Mother's education", "Wealth index quintile" and "Language of household head" are not shown.
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

Table CH. 5 provides statistics on drinking and feeding practices during diarrhoea. 27 percent of under five children with diarrhoea were given more than usual to drink while 71 percent were given the same or less. About 84 percent were given somewhat less, same or more (continued feeding), while 16 percent were given much less or almost nothing.

## Table CH.6: Oral rehydration solutions and zinc

Percentage of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks, and treatment with oral rehydration salts (ORS) and zinc ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of children with diarrhoea who received: |  |  |  |  | Number of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oral rehydration salts (ORS) | Zinc |  |  | ORS and zinc ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  |  | Tablet | Syrup | Any zinc |  |  |
| Total | 47.1 | 3.9 | 9.5 | 10.9 | 6.6 | 70 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | (45.8) | (4.3) | (7.1) | (8.6) | (5.7) | 42 |
| Female | (49.0) | (3.2) | (13.1) | (14.4) | (7.9) | 28 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (68.6) | (5.7) | (6.0) | (9.8) | (8.0) | 26 |
| Rural | (34.2) | (2.8) | (11.5) | (11.5) | (5.7) | 44 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 3.11 - Diarrhoea treatment with oral rehydration salts (ORS) and zinc
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to low numbers of denominators, the background characteristics "Region", "Age", "Mother's education", "Wealth index quintile" and "Language of household head" are not shown.
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

Table CH. 6 shows the percentage of children receiving ORS, various types of recommended homemade fluids and zinc during the episode of diarrhoea. Since children may have been given more than one type of liquid, the percentages do not necessarily add to 100 . About 47 percent received fluids from ORS packets. Additionally, 11 percent received zinc in one form or another. Only 7 percent of children with diarrhoea received ORS and zinc.

## Table CH.7: Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding and other treatments

 Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

 background characteristics "Region", "Age", "Mother's education", "Wealth index quintile" and "Language of household head" are not shown.
 as part of the institutional approach in Turkmenistan.
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

Table CH. 7 provides the proportion of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks who received oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding, and the percentage of children with diarrhoea who received other treatments. Overall, 67 percent of children with diarrhoea received ORS or increased fluids. Combining the information in Table CH. 5 with that of Table CH. 6 on oral rehydration therapy, it is observed that 39 percent of children received ORT and, at the same time, feeding was continued, as is the recommendation. Table CH. 7 also shows the percentage of children having had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey who were given various forms of treatment, leaving about 2 percent of them without any treatment or drug.

## Table CH.8: Source of ORS and zinc

Percentage of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks who were given ORS, and percentage given zinc, by the source of ORS and zinc ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$, Turkmenistan, 20152016

|  | Percentage of children who were given as treatment for diarrhoea: |  | Number of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks | Percentage of children for whom the source of ORS was: |  |  | Number of children age 0-59 months who were given ORS as treatment for diarrhoea in the last two weeks | Percentage of children for whom the source of zinc was: |  |  |  | Number of children age 0-59 months who were given zinc as treatment for diarrhoea in the last two weeks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health facilities or providers | A health facility or provider ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Health facilities or providers |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ORS | zinc |  |  | Public | Private |  | Public | Private | Other source | A health facility or provider ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Total | 47.1 | 10.9 |  | 70 | (93.5) | (6.5) | (100.0) | 33 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | (45.8) | (8.6) | 42 | (*) | (*) | (*) | 19 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 4 |
| Female | (49.0) | (14.4) | 28 | (*) | (*) | (*) | 14 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 4 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (68.6) | (9.8) | 26 | (95.4) | (4.6) | (100.0) | 18 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 3 |
| Rural | (34.2) | (11.5) | 44 | (*) | (*) | (*) | 15 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to low numbers of denominators, the background characteristics "Region", "Age", "Mother's education", "Wealth index quintile" and "Language of household head" are not shown.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes all public and private health facilities and providers
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

Table CH. 8 provides information on the source of ORS and zinc for children who benefitted from these treatments. The main source of ORS is the public sector ( 94 percent).

## Acute Respiratory Infections

Symptoms of ARI are collected during the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS to capture pneumonia disease, the leading cause of death in children under five. Once diagnosed, pneumonia is treated effectively with antibiotics. Studies have shown a limitation in the survey approach of measuring pneumonia because many of the suspected cases identified through surveys are in fact, not true pneumonia. ${ }^{34}$ While this limitation does not affect the level and patterns of care-seeking for suspected pneumonia, it limits the validity of the level of treatment of pneumonia with antibiotics, as reported through household surveys. MICS indicators 3.13 "Care-seeking for children with acute respiratory infection (ARI) symptoms" and 3.14 "Antibiotic treatment for children with ARI symptoms" are not shown in a table in this report because they are based on too few unweighted cases.

[^22]
## Table CH.9: Knowledge of the two danger signs of pneumonia

Percentage of women age 15-49 years who are mothers or caretakers of children under age 5 by symptoms that would cause them to take a child under age 5 immediately to a health facility, and percentage of mothers who recognize fast or difficult breathing as signs for seeking care immediately, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of mothers/caretakers of children age 0-59 months who think that a child should be taken immediately to a health facility if the child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mothers/caretakers who recognize at least one of the two danger signs of pneumonia (fast and/or difficult breathing) | Number of women age 15-49 years who are mothers/caretakers of children under age 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Is not able to drink or breastfeed | Becomes sicker | Develops a fever | Has fast breathing | Has difficult breathing | Has blood in stool | Is drinking poorly | Has other symptoms |  |  |
| Total | 15.3 | 46.3 | 80.1 | 29.0 | 23.6 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 9.7 | 46.7 | 2580 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 17.2 | 53.9 | 88.6 | 20.5 | 17.9 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 21.5 | 34.7 | 280 |
| Ahal velayat | 30.2 | 48.6 | 75.5 | 34.9 | 23.1 | 8.1 | 4.6 | 17.0 | 49.4 | 375 |
| Balkan velayat | 8.9 | 32.1 | 94.0 | 39.5 | 19.3 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 48.0 | 150 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 1.3 | 33.5 | 82.6 | 41.8 | 24.1 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 56.6 | 620 |
| Lebap velayat | 17.1 | 54.4 | 82.7 | 19.5 | 28.6 | 23.1 | 2.9 | 22.2 | 44.3 | 543 |
| Mary velayat | 19.4 | 50.8 | 70.6 | 22.2 | 22.8 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 42.5 | 611 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 15.1 | 46.2 | 85.2 | 28.9 | 24.0 | 8.6 | 2.4 | 11.8 | 46.0 | 947 |
| Rural | 15.4 | 46.4 | 77.1 | 29.0 | 23.4 | 7.8 | 1.5 | 8.5 | 47.2 | 1634 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 3 |
| Secondary | 15.1 | 45.7 | 79.0 | 29.5 | 23.5 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 9.0 | 47.1 | 2183 |
| Primary vocational | 16.6 | 47.3 | 85.9 | 25.2 | 29.4 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 48.1 | 186 |
| Secondary vocational | 22.0 | 50.2 | 86.9 | 28.8 | 20.2 | 11.6 | 1.7 | 10.4 | 43.3 | 108 |
| Higher | 10.7 | 55.2 | 86.6 | 25.6 | 20.4 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 17.2 | 41.4 | 99 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 8.9 | 42.4 | 77.1 | 33.2 | 21.2 | 7.8 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 48.8 | 536 |
| Second | 15.8 | 44.0 | 75.2 | 25.9 | 27.8 | 7.0 | 1.2 | 10.2 | 48.4 | 536 |
| Middle | 21.0 | 49.1 | 77.7 | 27.2 | 21.4 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 10.0 | 43.5 | 541 |
| Fourth | 15.1 | 47.0 | 83.5 | 30.3 | 26.2 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 11.5 | 49.8 | 507 |
| Richest | 15.5 | 49.6 | 88.2 | 28.5 | 21.4 | 8.7 | 2.2 | 12.9 | 42.7 | 460 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 16.5 | 47.5 | 79.5 | 28.0 | 23.7 | 8.6 | 2.1 | 10.5 | 46.2 | 2251 |
| Uzbek | 4.4 | 34.7 | 84.1 | 38.7 | 25.6 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 53.6 | 222 |
| Russian | 14.0 | 48.8 | 92.3 | 26.4 | 20.9 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 20.5 | 43.4 | 66 |
| Other | (10.8) | (39.7) | (73.1) | (34.1) | (14.4) | (5.7) | (0.0) | (1.9) | (44.3) | 42 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

Mothers' knowledge of danger signs is an important determinant of care-seeking behaviour. In the MICS, mothers or caretakers were asked to report symptoms that would cause them to take a child under-five for care immediately at a health facility. Issues related to knowledge of danger signs of pneumonia are presented in Table CH.9. Overall, 47 percent of women know at least one of the two danger signs of pneumonia - fast and/or difficult breathing. The most commonly identified symptom for taking a child to a health facility is if the child develops a fever ( 80 percent). About 29 percent of mothers identified fast breathing and 24 percent difficult breathing as symptoms for taking children immediately to a health care provider. Notable differences are found between regions. Mothers or caretakers from Ashgabat city are less likely to recognise the two danger signs of pneumonia (35 percent) compared to those from velayats.

## Fever

Table CH. 10 provides information on care-seeking behaviour during an episode of fever in the past two weeks.

As shown in Table CH.10, advice was sought from a health facility or a qualified health care provider for 59 percent of children with fever; these services were provided by the public sector. With the increase of household wealth, values for this indicator increase from 42 percent for children in the poorest quintile to 71 percent for children from the richest quintile (data are based on low numbers of unweighted cases and should be interpreted with caution). No advice or treatment was sought in 40 percent of the cases.

Table CH.10: Care-seeking during fever
Percentage of children age 0-59 months with fever in the last two weeks for whom advice or treatment was sought, by source of advice or treatment, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of children for whom: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of children with fever in last two weeks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Advice or treatment was sought from: |  |  |  |  | No advice or treatment sought |  |
|  | Health facilities or providers |  |  | Other source | A health facility or provider ${ }^{1, \mathrm{~b}}$ |  |  |
|  | Public | Private | Mobile/Outreach clinic $^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 59.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 59.3 | 40.1 | 209 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 61.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 61.1 | 38.9 | 114 |
| Female | 57.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 57.0 | 41.6 | 95 |
| Region 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | (40.0) | (3.5) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (40.0) | (60.0) | 30 |
| Ahal velayat | 62.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 62.8 | 37.2 | 64 |
| Balkan velayat | 88.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.0 | 12.0 | 20 |
| Dashoguz velayat | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 32 |
| Lebap velayat | (57.3) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (57.3) | (42.7) | 45 |
| Mary velayat | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 19 |
| Area 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 61.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 61.8 | 38.2 | 85 |
| Rural | 57.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 57.5 | 41.4 | 125 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | (45.5) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (45.5) | (54.5) | 40 |
| 12-23 months | 71.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 71.5 | 28.5 | 56 |
| 24-35 months | 60.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 60.4 | 36.6 | 45 |
| 36-47 months | (44.7) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (44.7) | (55.3) | 32 |
| 48-59 months | (66.8) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (66.8) | (33.2) | 37 |
| Mother's education (0.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 58.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 58.0 | 41.3 | 177 |
| Primary vocational | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Secondary vocational | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 9 |
| Higher | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (41.9) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (3.5) | (41.9) | (54.7) | 39 |
| Second | (56.3) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (56.3) | (43.7) | 34 |
| Middle | 60.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.3 | 39.7 | 45 |
| Fourth | 63.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.8 | 36.2 | 46 |
| Richest | 70.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 70.7 | 29.3 | 46 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 61.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 187 |
| Uzbek | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 13 |
| Russian | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 6 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 3 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ - Care-seeking for fever |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes all public and private health facilities and providers as well as shops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mothers were asked to report all of the medicines given to a child to treat the fever, including both medicines given at home and medicines given or prescribed at a health facility (Table CH.11). The majority of children ( 71 percent) who had a fever in the last two weeks received Paracetamol or Panadol, 38 percent received Ibuprofen or Ibufen and 34 percent received an antibiotic in the form of a pill or syrup. Antibiotic injections and other medicines are less common ( 13 percent and 12 percent respectively).


## Solid Fuel Use

More than 3 billion people around the world rely on solid fuels for their basic energy needs, including cooking and heating. Solid fuels include biomass fuels, such as wood, charcoal, crops or other agricultural waste, dung, shrubs and straw, and coal. Cooking and heating with solid fuels leads to high levels of indoor smoke which contains a complex mix of health-damaging pollutants. The main problem with the use of solid fuels is their incomplete combustion, which produces toxic elements such as carbon monoxide, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, and sulphur dioxide ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ), among others. Use of solid fuels increases the risks of incurring acute respiratory illness, pneumonia, chronic obstructive lung disease, cancer, and possibly tuberculosis, asthma, or cataracts, and may contribute to low birth weight
of babies born to pregnant women exposed to smoke. The primary indicator for monitoring use of solid fuels is the proportion of the population using solid fuels as the primary source of domestic energy for cooking, shown in Table CH. 12.

In Turkmenistan, solid fuels are not used for cooking. The vast majority of household members use natural gas for cooking ( 98 percent). A very small percentage of household members uses other fuels. It should be noted that natural gas and electricity (according to the established average norms) are free of charge for citizens of Turkmenistan since the beginning of 2013, which ensures high availability to the whole population.

Table CH.12: Solid fuel use
Percent distribution of household members according to type of cooking fuel mainly used by the household, and percentage of household members living in households using solid
fuels for cooking, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of household members in households mainly using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Liquefied <br> Petroleum <br> Gas (LPG) | Natural Gas | Kerosene | Solid fuels |  |  | No food cooked in the household | Total | Solid fuels for cooking ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | Electricity |  |  |  | Coal/ Lignite | Wood | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { fuel } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.7 | 0.3 | 98.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 29871 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 10.4 | 0.0 | 89.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3613 |
| Ahal velayat | 0.4 | 0.2 | 99.5 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3967 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.4 | 0.0 | 99.6 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2013 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 0.6 | 0.4 | 99.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 7058 |
| Lebap velayat | 0.6 | 0.2 | 99.3 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 5799 |
| Mary velayat | 0.6 | 0.5 | 98.9 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 7421 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.8 | 0.0 | 96.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11666 |
| Rural | 0.4 | 0.4 | 99.2 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 18206 |
| Education of household head ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 0.0 | 7.5 | 92.5 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 159 |
| Secondary | 0.8 | 0.3 | 98.9 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 18917 |
| Primary vocational | 1.1 | 0.0 | 98.9 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2187 |
| Secondary vocational | 2.2 | 0.1 | 97.7 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 4314 |
| Higher | 5.6 | 0.3 | 94.2 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 4277 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.8 | 0.8 | 98.4 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 5971 |
| Second | 0.4 | 0.6 | 99.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 5979 |
| Middle | 0.1 | 0.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 5973 |
| Fourth | 0.2 | 0.1 | 99.7 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 5978 |
| Richest | 7.1 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 5970 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 1.8 | 0.3 | 97.9 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25457 |
| Uzbek | 0.1 | 0.2 | 99.6 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2714 |
| Russian | 3.8 | 0.0 | 96.2 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1204 |
| Other | 0.9 | 0.0 | 99.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 497 |

[^23]
## VII. Water and Sanitation

Safe drinking water is a basic necessity for good health. Unsafe drinking water can be a significant determinant of diseases such as cholera, typhoid, and schistosomiasis. Drinking water can also be contaminated with chemical and physical contaminants with harmful effects on human health. In addition to preventing disease, improved access to drinking water may be particularly important for women and children, especially in rural areas, who bear the primary responsibility for carrying water, often for long distances. ${ }^{35}$

Inadequate disposal of human excreta and personal hygiene are associated with a range of diseases including diarrhoeal diseases and polio and are important determinants of stunting. Improved sanitation can reduce diarrhoeal disease by more than a third ${ }^{36}$, and can substantially lessen the adverse health impacts of other disorders among millions of children in many countries.

The MDG target $(7, C)$ is to reduce by half, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation.

For more details on water and sanitation and to access some reference documents, please visit data.unicef.org ${ }^{37}$ or the website of the WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply and Sanitation ${ }^{38}$.

## Use of Improved Water Sources

The distribution of the population by main source of drinking water is shown in Table WS.1. The population using improved sources of drinking water are those using any of the following types of supply: piped water (into dwelling, compound, yard or plot, to neighbour, public tap/standpipe), tube well/borehole, protected well, protected spring, and rainwater collection. Bottled water is considered as an improved water source only if the household is using an improved water source for other purposes such as handwashing and cooking.

[^24]Table WS.1: Use of improved water sources

|  | Main source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage using improved sources of drinking water ${ }^{1}$ | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved sources |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unimproved sources |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | Piped water |  |  | Tube- <br> well/ <br> bore- <br> hole | Protected well | Protected spring | Bottled water ${ }^{2}$ | Unprotected well | Unprotected spring | Tanker truck | Cart with tank drum | Surface water | Bottled water $^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Into dwelling | Into yard/plot | To neighbour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 25.4 | 27.2 | 1.5 | 21.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 16.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 82.8 | 29871 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 53.8 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3613 |
| Ahal velayat | 9.0 | 45.3 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 62.1 | 3967 |
| Balkan velayat | 54.5 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 74.0 | 2013 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 27.2 | 49.2 | 5.7 | 16.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 7058 |
| Lebap velayat | 24.3 | 19.2 | 0.2 | 41.5 | 6.6 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.4 | 5799 |
| Mary velayat | 11.5 | 16.9 | 0.4 | 32.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 62.4 | 7421 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 55.8 | 22.8 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 11666 |
| Rural | 5.9 | 30.0 | 2.2 | 31.7 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 25.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 73.2 | 18206 |
| Education of household head ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 16.6 | 57.3 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 92.6 | 159 |
| Secondary | 21.0 | 30.2 | 2.0 | 23.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 18.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81.0 | 18917 |
| Primary vocational | 33.6 | 26.3 | 1.3 | 16.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 84.3 | 2187 |
| Secondary vocational | 34.1 | 23.9 | 1.0 | 17.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 86.8 | 4314 |
| Higher | 32.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 85.4 | 4277 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 7.2 | 44.7 | 5.9 | 28.1 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.5 | 5971 |
| Second | 6.9 | 25.5 | 1.1 | 38.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 22.6 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 75.4 | 5979 |
| Middle | 6.0 | 21.6 | 0.4 | 33.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 64.7 | 5973 |
| Fourth | 42.6 | 35.1 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 84.4 | 5978 |
| Richest | 64.2 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5970 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 22.4 | 27.0 | 1.5 | 22.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 80.9 | 25457 |
| Uzbek | 34.2 | 42.6 | 2.5 | 13.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 2714 |
| Russian | 64.2 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 1204 |
| Other | 33.8 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 77.0 | 497 |

 handwashing.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education of household head" is not shown.

Overall, 83 percent of the population uses an improved source of drinking water - 98 percent in urban areas and 73 percent in rural areas. More than half of the population ( 54 percent) uses piped water, 21 percent use a tube-well/bore-hole and 16 percent use a tanker truck (an unimproved source). Other sources of drinking water represent a small percentage.

The source of drinking water for the population varies strongly by region (Table WS.1). The best situation is in Ashgabat city where the population uses only improved sources of drinking water: piped water into dwelling or into yard/plot ( 61 percent) and bottled water ( 39 percent). A less favourable situation is found in Ahal and Mary velayats, where 38 percent and 36 percent respectively, use drinking water from tanker truck. Also, 26 percent of the household population in the Balkan velayat uses water delivered by tanker truck. The use of tube-well / bore-hole is widespread in two regions: in Lebap velayat (42 percent) and in Mary velayat (32 percent). The main sources are depicted in Figure WS.1.

There are notable differences in the percentage of the population using piped water by area of residence ( 38 percent in rural areas and 79 percent in urban areas).

Figure WS.1: Percent distribution of household members by source of drinking water, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


Use of household water treatment is presented in Table WS.2. Households were asked about ways they may be treating water at home to make it safer to drink. Boiling water, adding bleach or chlorine, using a water filter, and using solar disinfection are considered as appropriate treatment of drinking water. The table shows water treatment by all household members and the percentage of those living in households using unimproved water sources but using appropriate water treatment methods.

In general, 69 percent of household members in households using unimproved drinking water sources use appropriate water treatment methods. Notable differences were found between regions. Use of appropriate water treatment methods are widespread in Lebap velayat ( 90 percent) compared to Ahal velayat where this indicator is at 52 percent.

The most popular water treatment method is boiling and it was used by 72 percent of household members, while letting water stand and settle is at second place with 31 percent. Use of a water filter is not very common ( 5 percent) and among regions is mainly used by the population in Balkan velayat ( 24 percent). In general, 19 percent of the population do not use any water treatment method. Compared to other regions, usage of water treatment methods is less present in Ashgabat city (41 percent). This is probably due to the high percentage of usage of bottled water as the main source of drinking water, which does not require treatment.

Table WS.2: Household water treatment
Percentage of household population by drinking water treatment method used in the household, and for household members living in households where an unimproved drinking water source is used, the percentage who are using an appropriate treatment method, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Water treatment method used in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Boil | Add bleach/ chlorine | Strain through a cloth | Use water filter | Solar disinfection | Let it stand and settle | Other | Missing/DK | Number of household members | Percentage of household members in households using unimproved drinking water sources and using an appropriate water treatment method ${ }^{1}$ | Number of household members in households using unimproved drinking water sources |
| Total | 18.5 | 72.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 30.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 29871 | 69.2 | 5141 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 41.2 | 54.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3613 | - | - |
| Ahal velayat | 31.1 | 54.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3967 | 51.9 | 1506 |
| Balkan velayat | 1.0 | 67.0 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 55.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2013 | 67.4 | 524 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7058 | (57.0) | 54 |
| Lebap velayat | 12.3 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 47.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5799 | 89.7 | 267 |
| Mary velayat | 10.8 | 78.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 40.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7421 | 77.1 | 2792 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 23.2 | 67.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 11666 | 64.2 | 254 |
| Rural | 15.5 | 75.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 36.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18206 | 69.4 | 4887 |
| Main source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improved | 18.1 | 73.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 29.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24730 | na | na |
| Unimproved | 20.6 | 69.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 36.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5141 | 69.2 | 5141 |
| Education of household head ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 25.5 | 67.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 26.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 159 | (*) | 12 |
| Secondary | 18.1 | 73.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 31.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 18917 | 66.6 | 3593 |
| Primary vocational | 14.3 | 77.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 36.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2187 | 84.2 | 343 |
| Secondary vocational | 20.5 | 70.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4314 | 68.2 | 568 |
| Higher | 20.6 | 67.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 4277 | 75.9 | 626 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 16.2 | 79.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 24.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5971 | 68.7 | 626 |
| Second | 14.2 | 76.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 43.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5979 | 70.8 | 1473 |
| Middle | 19.0 | 68.9 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 42.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5973 | 62.1 | 2109 |
| Fourth | 14.8 | 77.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 28.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5978 | 82.9 | 933 |
| Richest | 28.6 | 59.8 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5970 | - | - |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 18.4 | 71.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 25457 | 68.7 | 4875 |
| Uzbek | 16.5 | 82.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2714 | 85.1 | 149 |
| Russian | 28.9 | 61.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 14.4 | 0.1 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1204 | (*) | 3 |
| Other | 14.4 | 66.2 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 2.1 | 40.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 497 | 70.2 | 114 |

na: not applicable
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education of household head" is not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.
"-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator.

The amount of time it takes to obtain water is presented in Table WS. 3 and the person who usually collects the water in Table WS.4. Note that for Table WS.3, household members using water on premises are also shown in this table and for others, the results refer to one roundtrip from home to drinking water source. Information on the number of trips made in one day was not collected.

Table WS. 3 shows that for about 99 percent of the household population, the drinking water source is on premises. The availability of water on premises is associated with greater use, better family hygiene and better health outcomes. For a water collection round trip of 30 minutes or more it has been observed that households carry progressively less water and are likely to compromise on the minimal basic drinking water needs of the household. ${ }^{39}$ For less than 1 percent of the household population, it takes the household more than 30 minutes to go to the water source, collect water and come back. Less than 1 percent of those using an improved drinking water source spend 30 minutes or more per round trip. The most notable difference is for users of unimproved drinking water sources who live in households spending less than 30 minutes to go to source of drinking water, by language of household head - from less than 1 percent of household members living in households in which the mother tongue of the household head is Turkmen, Uzbek or Russian to 11 percent of household members who are not from these three groups.

[^25]| Percent distribution of household population according to time to go to source of drinking water, get water and return, for users of improved and unimproved drinking water sources, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time to source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Users of improved drinking water sources |  |  | Users of unimproved drinking water sources |  |  |  | Total | Number of household members |
|  | Water on premises | Less than 30 minutes | 30 minutes or more | Water on premises | Less than 30 minutes | 30 minutes or more | Missing/DK |  |  |
| Total | 82.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 16.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 29871 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3613 |
| Ahal velayat | 62.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3967 |
| Balkan velayat | 74.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2013 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 98.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7058 |
| Lebap velayat | 94.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5799 |
| Mary velayat | 62.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7421 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 97.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 11666 |
| Rural | 72.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 25.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 18206 |
| Education of household head ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 92.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Secondary | 80.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 17.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 18917 |
| Primary vocational | 83.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2187 |
| Secondary vocational | 86.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4314 |
| Higher | 85.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4277 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 87.5 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 8.8 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5971 |
| Second | 74.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5979 |
| Middle | 64.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5973 |
| Fourth | 84.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5978 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5970 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 80.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 25457 |
| Uzbek | 94.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2714 |
| Russian | 99.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1204 |
| Other | 77.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 497 |

Table WS. 4 shows that for more than half of the households ( 55 percent), an adult male usually collects drinking water when the source is not on the premises. Adult women collect water in 45 percent of such cases. There are no cases when female or male children under age 15 collect water.

| Table WS.4: Person collecting water |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households without drinking water on premises, and percent distribution of households without drinking water on premises according to the person usually collecting drinking water used in the household, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of households without drinking water on premises |  | Person usually collecting drinking water |  |  | Number of households without drinking water on premises |
|  |  | Number of households | Adult woman | Adult <br> man | Total |  |
| Total | 1.4 | 5861 | 45.1 | 54.9 | 100.0 | 84 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 0.0 | 883 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | - |
| Ahal velayat | 0.3 | 674 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 2 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.0 | 497 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | - |
| Dashoguz velayat | 2.2 | 1236 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 27 |
| Lebap velayat | 3.9 | 1079 | (55.4) | (44.6) | 100.0 | 42 |
| Mary velayat | 0.9 | 1491 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 13 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.1 | 2634 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 2 |
| Rural | 2.5 | 3227 | 46.4 | 53.6 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Education of household head ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (0.0) | 33 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | - |
| Secondary | 1.8 | 3598 | 43.8 | 56.2 | 100.0 | 63 |
| Primary vocational | 2.0 | 422 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 8 |
| Secondary vocational | 1.0 | 889 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | 100.0 | 9 |
| Higher | 0.4 | 915 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 4 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 4.0 | 1155 | (45.6) | (54.4) | 100.0 | 46 |
| Second | 2.6 | 1055 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | 100.0 | 27 |
| Middle | 1.0 | 1031 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | $\left.{ }^{*}\right)$ | 100.0 | 11 |
| Fourth | 0.0 | 1212 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | 100.0 | - |
| Richest | 0.0 | 1408 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | - |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 1.3 | 4853 | 44.5 | 55.5 | 100.0 | 62 |
| Uzbek | 2.7 | 473 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 13 |
| Russian | 0.3 | 426 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 100.0 | 1 |
| Other | 7.8 | 110 | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 9 |
| ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. <br> "-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Use of Improved Sanitation

An improved sanitation facility is defined as one that hygienically separates human excreta from human contact. Improved sanitation facilities for excreta disposal include flush or pour flush to a piped sewer system, septic tank, or pit latrine; ventilated improved pit latrine, pit latrine with slab, and use of a composting toilet. The data on the use of improved sanitation facilities in Turkmenistan are provided in Table WS.5.

The entire population of Turkmenistan lives in households using improved sanitation facilities (Table WS.5). The table indicates that the type of improved sanitation facilities used is strongly correlated with wealth and is different between regions and area of residence. In rural areas, the population primarily uses a ventilated improved pit latrine ( 68 percent), or a pit latrine with slab ( 28 percent). In contrast, the most common facilities in urban areas are flush toilets with connection to a sewage system, septic tank or pit latrine ( 59 percent). In Ashgabat city, flush toilets with connection to a sewage system or septic tank are used by 83 percent of household members and 54 percent in Balkan velayat, whereas this indicator in other regions (predominantly rural areas) is from 2 percent in Ahal velayat to 19 percent in Lebap velayat. There are notable differences in percentages of household members using flush toilets with connection to a sewage system by wealth index (around 2 percent in the first three quintiles, 24 percent in fourth quintile and 81 percent in the richest quintile) as well as by language of household head ( 93 percent of household members living in households where the language of the household head is Russian and ranging from 15 percent to 32 percent for all other categories).

Table WS.5: Types of sanitation facilities
Percent distribution of household population according to type of toilet facility ${ }^{\text {a }}$ used by the household, Turkmenistan, 2015-
2016

|  | Type of toilet facility used by household |  |  |  |  | Open defecation (no facility, bush, field) | Total | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved sanitation facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flush/Pour flush to: |  |  |  | Pit |  |  |  |
|  | Piped sewer system | Septic tank | Pit <br> latrine | Ventilated improved pit latrine | latrine with slab |  |  |  |
| Total | 22.0 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 55.4 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 29871 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 82.1 | 0.8 | 10.1 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3613 |
| Ahal velayat | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 82.4 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3967 |
| Balkan velayat | 53.8 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 42.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2013 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 11.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 66.9 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7058 |
| Lebap velayat | 18.5 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 32.8 | 44.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5799 |
| Mary velayat | 8.6 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 75.0 | 11.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 7421 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 54.0 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 36.2 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 11666 |
| Rural | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 67.6 | 28.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 18206 |
| Education of household head ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 15.0 | 5.3 | 10.7 | 38.3 | 30.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Secondary | 15.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 58.8 | 22.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 18917 |
| Primary vocational | 23.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 57.2 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2187 |
| Secondary vocational | 33.5 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 48.9 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4314 |
| Higher | 39.7 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 46.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4277 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.6 | 39.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5971 |
| Second | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 66.4 | 30.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5979 |
| Middle | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 76.9 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5973 |
| Fourth | 23.6 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 60.5 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5978 |
| Richest | 80.8 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 14.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5970 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 19.2 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 56.4 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 25457 |
| Uzbek | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 70.4 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2714 |
| Russian | 92.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1204 |
| Other | 32.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.2 | 33.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 497 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The number of household members who use unimproved sanitation facility is 0 unweighted cases for all categories.
Therefore, the part of Table WS. 5 showing use of unimproved sanitation facilities is not shown.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education of household head" is not shown.

The MDGs and the WHO / UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme (JMP) for Water Supply and Sanitation classify otherwise acceptable sanitation facilities which are public or shared between two or more households as unimproved. In the context of this report and as an MDG indicator, "use of improved sanitation" refers to improved sanitation facilities, which are not public or shared with other households. Data on the use of improved sanitation are presented in Tables WS. 6 and WS.7.

As shown in Table WS.6, only 1 percent of households use an improved toilet facility that is public or shared with other households. In urban households use of an improved toilet facility that is public or shared with other households is about 3 percent and in rural households less than 1 percent.

| Percent distribution of household population by use of private and public sanitation facilities and use of shared facilities, by users of improved and unimproved sanitation facilities ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Users of improved sanitation facilities |  |  |  | Open defecation (no facility, bush, field) | Total | Number of household members |
|  |  |  | Shared by |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not shared | Public facility | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { households } \\ & \text { or less } \end{aligned}$ | More than 5 households |  |  |  |
| Total | 98.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 29871 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3613 |
| Ahal velayat | 99.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3967 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2013 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 98.0 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7058 |
| Lebap velayat | 98.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5799 |
| Mary velayat | 98.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 7421 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 97.4 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 11666 |
| Rural | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 18206 |
| Education of household head ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 99.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Secondary | 98.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 18917 |
| Primary vocational | 96.6 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2187 |
| Secondary vocational | 99.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4314 |
| Higher | 98.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4277 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 98.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5971 |
| Second | 99.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5979 |
| Middle | 99.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5973 |
| Fourth | 96.7 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5978 |
| Richest | 99.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5970 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 98.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 25457 |
| Uzbek | 99.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2714 |
| Russian | 98.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1204 |
| Other | 99.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 497 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The number of household members who use unimproved sanitation facility is 0 unweighted cases for all categories. Therefore, the percentages of household members using unimproved sanitation facilities are not shown in Table WS. 6
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education of household head" is not shown.

Having access to both an improved drinking water source and an improved sanitation facility brings the largest public health benefits to a household. ${ }^{40}$ In its 2008 report ${ }^{41}$, the JMP developed a new way of presenting the access figures, by disaggregating and refining the data on drinking-water and sanitation and reflecting them in "ladder" format. This ladder allows a disaggregated analysis of trends in a three rung ladder for drinking-water and a four-rung ladder for sanitation. For sanitation, this gives an understanding of the proportion of population with no sanitation facilities at all - who revert to open defecation, of those reliant on technologies defined by JMP as "unimproved," of those sharing sanitation facilities of otherwise acceptable technology, and those using "improved" sanitation facilities.

Table WS. 7 presents the percentages of household population by these drinking water and sanitation ladders. The table also shows the percentage of household members using both improved

[^26]sources of drinking water ${ }^{42}$ and an improved sanitary means of excreta disposal. 81 percent of the household population in Turkmenistan has access to improved water sources and improved sanitation, 95 percent in urban areas and 73 percent in rural areas. Differences by background characteristics practically corresponds to the differences with the use of improved sources of drinking water since the values for the improved sanitation indicator are very high and range from 97 percent to 100 percent. These results are presented by wealth quintiles in Figure WS.2.

[^27]Table WS.7: Drinking water and sanitation ladders
Percentage of household population by drinking water and sanitation ladders, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of household population using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved drinking water ${ }^{1, \mathrm{a}}$ |  | Unimproved drinking water | Total | Improved sanitation ${ }^{2}$ | Unimproved sanitation ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Total | Improved drinking water sources and improved sanitation |  |
|  | Piped into dwelling, plot or yard | Other improved |  |  |  | Shared improved facilities | Open defecation |  |  |  |
| Total | 58.3 | 24.5 | 17.2 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81.4 | 29871 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 3613 |
| Ahal velayat | 54.4 | 7.7 | 37.9 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 61.9 | 3967 |
| Balkan velayat | 73.5 | 0.5 | 26.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 74.0 | 2013 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 76.3 | 22.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 7058 |
| Lebap velayat | 44.9 | 50.5 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93.6 | 5799 |
| Mary velayat | 29.2 | 33.2 | 37.6 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 60.9 | 7421 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 93.0 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 11666 |
| Rural | 36.1 | 37.1 | 26.8 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 72.6 | 18206 |
| Education of household head ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 77.3 | 15.3 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 91.9 | 159 |
| Secondary | 53.9 | 27.1 | 19.0 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 79.7 | 18917 |
| Primary vocational | 63.2 | 21.1 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81.0 | 2187 |
| Secondary vocational | 67.8 | 19.0 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 85.9 | 4314 |
| Higher | 65.0 | 20.4 | 14.6 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 84.2 | 4277 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 51.9 | 37.6 | 10.5 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 88.3 | 5971 |
| Second | 32.6 | 42.8 | 24.6 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 74.7 | 5979 |
| Middle | 28.0 | 36.7 | 35.3 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 63.8 | 5973 |
| Fourth | 78.9 | 5.5 | 15.6 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81.1 | 5978 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 5970 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 54.5 | 26.4 | 19.1 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 79.4 | 25457 |
| Uzbek | 77.2 | 17.3 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.0 | 2714 |
| Russian | 98.0 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 1204 |
| Other | 53.2 | 23.8 | 23.0 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 76.1 | 497 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Those indicating bottled <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The number of househ facilities are not shown in <br> ${ }^{c}$ Due to the low number | ater as the main members who us able WS. 7 . unweighted case | e of drinki improved <br> category | dicator 4.1; M ICS indicato ter are distrib tion facility is " for the back | ndicato MDG in ccordin eighted <br> d chara | e of improv .9- Use of water source all categori <br> Education of | drinking w roved sani d for other Therefore, usehold hea | sources on <br> oses such percentages <br> is not shown | king and | dwashing. bers using unimpro | sanitation |

Figure WS.2: Use of improved drinking water sources and improved sanitation facilities by household members, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


Safe disposal of a child's faeces is disposing of the stool, by the child using a toilet or by rinsing the stool into a toilet or latrine. Putting disposable diapers with solid waste, a very common practice throughout the world has thus far been classified as an inadequate means of disposal of child faeces for concerns about poor disposal of solid waste itself. This classification is currently under review. Disposal of faeces of children 0-2 years of age is presented in Table WS.8. For 61 percent of children age $0-2$ years last stools were disposed of safely. Regional differences are most notable. In more than half of the cases ( 55 percent) child's faeces were put/flushed into a toilet or latrine and there were 5 percent of children who used the toilet/latrine. For 34 percent children child's faeces were thrown into the garbage (inadequate means of disposal). Other methods are not widespread. In Dashoguz velayat, faeces were disposed safely only for 19 percent of children age $0-2$ years, compared with Ashgabat city and Lebap velayat with 87 percent and 89 percent respectively.

Table WS.8: Disposal of child's faeces
Percent distribution of children age 0-2 years according to place of disposal of child's faeces, and the percentage of children age 0-2 years whose stools were disposed of safely the last time the child passed stools, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Place of disposal of child's faeces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of children whose last stools were disposed of safely ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children age 0-2 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Child used toilet/latrine | Put/rinsed into toilet or latrine | Put/rinsed into drain or ditch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thrown } \\ & \text { into } \\ & \text { garbage } \end{aligned}$ | Buried | Left in the open | Other | Missing/DK | Total |  |  |
| Total | 5.3 | 55.2 | 5.5 | 33.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 60.5 | 2274 |
| Type of sanitation facility used by household members ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improved | 5.3 | 55.2 | 5.5 | 33.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 60.5 | 2270 |
| Open defecation | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | 4 |
| Region 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 1.7 | 85.1 | 1.4 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 86.7 | 237 |
| Ahal velayat | 4.8 | 76.7 | 6.3 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 81.5 | 355 |
| Balkan velayat | 18.2 | 34.0 | 0.4 | 47.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 52.3 | 113 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 1.1 | 18.0 | 0.4 | 80.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 600 |
| Lebap velayat | 1.2 | 87.5 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 88.7 | 459 |
| Mary velayat | 12.9 | 45.7 | 15.8 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 58.6 | 510 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.9 | 67.3 | 5.7 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 71.2 | 818 |
| Rural | 6.0 | 48.4 | 5.4 | 39.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 54.4 | 1456 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | 5 |
| Secondary | 5.3 | 53.2 | 5.4 | 35.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 58.5 | 1977 |
| Primary vocational | 5.1 | 70.4 | 4.0 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 75.5 | 155 |
| Secondary vocational | 3.8 | 66.7 | 4.9 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 70.6 | 68 |
| Higher | 8.0 | 69.3 | 8.7 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 77.3 | 67 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 5.2 | 30.1 | 5.1 | 58.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 35.4 | 488 |
| Second | 3.7 | 46.7 | 6.0 | 43.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 50.5 | 479 |
| Middle | 7.8 | 65.7 | 6.1 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 73.5 | 484 |
| Fourth | 5.4 | 63.9 | 5.5 | 24.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 69.3 | 450 |
| Richest | 3.8 | 74.8 | 4.7 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 78.5 | 373 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 5.5 | 59.5 | 5.4 | 29.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 65.0 | 1989 |
| Uzbek | 0.7 | 13.6 | 1.2 | 84.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 198 |
| Russian | 13.9 | 66.5 | 4.4 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 80.4 | 46 |
| Other | (7.1) | (34.0) | (32.7) | (26.2) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (41.2) | 41 |

${ }^{a}$ The number of household members who use unimproved sanitation facility is 0 unweighted cases for all categories. Therefore, the percentages of household members using unimproved sanitation facilities are not shown in Table WS.8.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother’s education" is not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

## Handwashing

Handwashing with water and soap is the most cost effective health intervention to reduce both the incidence of diarrhoea and pneumonia in children under five ${ }^{43}$. It is most effective when done using water and soap after visiting a toilet or cleaning a child, before eating or handling food and, before feeding a child. Monitoring correct handwashing behaviour at these critical times is challenging. A reliable alternative to observations or self-reported behaviour is assessing the likelihood that correct handwashing behaviour takes place by asking if a household has a specific place where people wash their hands and, if yes, observing whether water and soap are available at this place ${ }^{44}$.

In almost all households in Turkmenistan (100 percent), the interviewers were able to observe a specific place for handwashing (Table WS.9). The percentage of households with a specific place for handwashing where water and soap are present is very high (99 percent). Overall, all households have soap in the dwelling (Table WS.10).

[^28]
## Table WS.9: Water and soap at place for handwashing

Percentage of households where place for handwashing was observed, percentage with no specific place for handwashing, and percent distribution of households by availability of water and soap at specific place for handwashing, Turkmenistan, 2015-201

|  | Percentage of households: |  |  | Place for handwashing observed |  |  | No specific place for handwashing in the dwelling, yard, or plot | Total | Percentage of households with a specific place for handwashing where water and soap are present ${ }^{1}$ | Number of households where place for handwashing was observed or with no specific place for handwashing in the dwelling, yard, or plot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Where place | With no specific place for |  | Water is available and: |  | Water is not available and: |  |  |  |  |
|  | handwashing <br> was observed | in the dwelling, yard, or plot | Number of households | Soap present | No soap present | Soap present |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 99.5 | 0.3 | 5861 | 99.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 5849 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.3 | 0.0 | 883 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 877 |
| Ahal velayat | 99.7 | 0.2 | 674 | 99.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 673 |
| Balkan velayat | 99.8 | 0.2 | 497 | 99.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 497 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 99.5 | 0.3 | 1236 | 99.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 1234 |
| Lebap velayat | 99.1 | 0.7 | 1079 | 97.9 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 1077 |
| Mary velayat | 99.9 | 0.1 | 1491 | 99.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 1491 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.5 | 0.1 | 2634 | 99.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 2624 |
| Rural | 99.6 | 0.4 | 3227 | 99.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 3225 |
| Education of household head ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (100.0) | (0.0) | 33 | (100.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | 33 |
| Secondary | 99.6 | 0.3 | 3598 | 99.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 3593 |
| Primary vocational Secondary | 99.3 | 0.7 | 422 | 99.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 422 |
|  | 99.7 | 0.2 | 889 | 99.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 888 |
| Higher | 99.4 | 0.1 | 915 | 99.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 910 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.1 | 0.9 | 1155 | 97.8 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 1154 |
| Second | 99.7 | 0.1 | 1055 | 99.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 1053 |
| Middle | 99.8 | 0.2 | 1031 | 99.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 1031 |
| Fourth | 99.5 | 0.1 | 1212 | 99.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 1206 |
| Richest | 99.7 | 0.0 | 1408 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1404 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.6 | 0.3 | 4853 | 99.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 4845 |
| Uzbek | 99.8 | 0.2 | 473 | 99.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 473 |
| Russian | 99.3 | 0.0 | 426 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 423 |
| Other | 98.7 | 0.0 | 110 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 108 |

[^29]() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table WS.10: Availability of soap

|  | Place for handwashing observed |  |  |  | Place for handwashing not observed |  |  | Total | Percentage of households with soap anywhere in the dwelling ${ }^{1}$ | Number of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Soap observed | Soap not observed at place for handwashing |  |  | Soap shown | No soap in household | Not able/Does not want to show soap |  |  |  |
|  |  | Soap shown | No soap in household | Not able/Does not want to show soap |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 99.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 5861 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 883 |
| Ahal velayat | 99.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 674 |
| Balkan velayat | 99.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 497 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 99.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1236 |
| Lebap velayat | 98.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 1079 |
| Mary velayat | 99.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 1491 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 2634 |
| Rural | 99.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 3227 |
| Education of household head ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (100.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | 33 |
| Secondary | 99.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 3598 |
| Primary vocational | 99.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 422 |
| Secondary vocational | 99.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 889 |
| Higher | 99.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 915 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 97.9 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 1155 |
| Second | 99.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 1055 |
| Middle | 99.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1031 |
| Fourth | 99.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 1212 |
| Richest | 99.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1408 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 4853 |
| Uzbek | 99.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 473 |
| Russian | 99.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 426 |
| Other | 98.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 110 |

Other $98.7 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.0{ }^{1}$ MICS indicator $4.6-$ Availability of soap
a The indicator name has been changed from the standard "MICS indicator 4.6 - Availability of soap or other cleansing agent" since other cleansing agents such as ash, mud or sand are not applicable for Turkmenistan and therefore have not been included in the Household Questionnaire
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education of household head" is not shown.
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

## VIII. Reproductive Health

## Fertility

Measures of current fertility are presented in Table RH. 1 for the three-year period preceding the survey. A three-year period was chosen for calculating these rates to provide the most current information while also allowing the rates to be calculated for a sufficient number of cases so as not to compromise the statistical precision of the estimates. Age-specific fertility rates (ASFRs), expressed as the number of births per 1,000 women in a specified age group, show the age pattern of fertility. Numerators for ASFRs are calculated by identifying live births that occurred in the threeyear period preceding the survey classified according to the age of the mother (in five-year age groups) at the time of the child's birth. The denominators of the rates represent the number of woman-years lived by the survey respondents in each of the five-year age groups during the specified period. The total fertility rate (TFR) is a synthetic measure that denotes the number of live births a woman would have if she were subject to the current age-specific fertility rates throughout her reproductive years (15-49 years). The general fertility rate (GFR) is the number of live births occurring during the specified period per 1,000 women age 15-49. The crude birth rate (CBR) is the number of live births per 1,000 population during the specified period.

Table RH.1: Fertility rates

| Adolescent birth rate, age-specific and total fertility rates, the general fertility rate, and the crude birth rate for the three-year period preceding the survey, by area, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 ${ }^{1}$ | 35 | 25 | 28 |
| 20-24 | 168 | 202 | 190 |
| 25-29 | 193 | 230 | 217 |
| 30-34 | 133 | 138 | 136 |
| 35-39 | 53 | 53 | 53 |
| 40-44 | 15 | 8 | 11 |
| 45-49 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TFR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| GFR ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 97 | 114 | 107 |
| CBR ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 25 | 30 | 28 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ TFR: Total fertility rate expressed per woman age 15-49 years <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ GFR: General fertility rate expressed per 1,000 women age 15-49 years <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ CBR: Crude birth rate expressed per 1,000 population |  |  |  |

Table RH. 1 shows current fertility in Turkmenistan at the national level and by urban-rural area. The TFR for the three years preceding the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS, is 3.2 births per woman, the rate is slightly higher in rural areas ( 3.3 births per woman) than in urban areas ( 3.0 births per woman). As the ASFRs show, higher rural fertility is mainly due to two age groups - 20-24 years and 25-29 years. These results are shown in Figure RH. 1 as well.

Figure RH.1: Age-specific fertility rates by area, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


Rates refer to the three years period preceding the survey

The overall age pattern of fertility, as reflected in the ASFRs, indicates that fertility is low among adolescents, increases to a peak of 217 births per 1,000 among women age 25-29, and declines thereafter.

Table RH. 2 shows adolescent birth rates and total fertility rates. The adolescent birth rate (agespecific fertility rate for women age 15-19) is defined as the number of births to women age 15-19 years during the three year period preceding the survey, divided by the average number of women age 15-19 (number of women-years lived between ages 15 through 19, inclusive) during the same period, expressed per 1,000 women.

| Adolescent birth rates and total fertility rates for the three-year period preceding the survey, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adolescent birth rate ${ }^{1}$ (Age-specific fertility rate for women age 15-19 years) |  | Total fertility rate |
| Total | 28 | 3.2 |
| Region |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 37 | (2.8) |
| Ahal velayat | 46 | 3.5 |
| Balkan velayat | 10 | 2.7 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 23 | 3.7 |
| Lebap velayat | 35 | 3.1 |
| Mary velayat | 21 | 2.8 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) |
| Secondary | 30 | 3.4 |
| Primary vocational | 20 | (*) |
| Secondary vocational | (*) | (*) |
| Higher | (*) | (*) |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |
| Poorest | 24 | (3.7) |
| Second | 22 | (3.3) |
| Middle | 33 | 3.2 |
| Fourth | 33 | 3.2 |
| Richest | 31 | 2.5 |
| Language of household head |  |  |
| Turkmen | 28 | 3.2 |
| Uzbek | (25) | (*) |
| Russian | (22) | (*) |
| Other | (*) | (*) |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number <br> () Figures that are based <br> (*) Figures that are based | dicator 5.1; MDG indicator 5.4 - Adolesce ases, the category "None" for the background weighted person-years of exposure. 125 unweighted person-years of exposure. | c "Education" is n |

The age-specific fertility rate for women age 15-19 years is 28 births per 1,000 women with notable differences by regions. In Ahal velayat, women in the adolescent period are more likely to have a birth ( 46 births per 1,000 women) compared to women from Balkan velayat ( 10 births per 1,000 women). Adolescent birth rate is 1.4 times higher in rural compared to urban areas ( 35 births per 1,000 and 25 births per 1,000 women). Table RH. 2 also suggests that fertility rates vary not only by regions but also by household wealth. The total fertility rate is above the national average in two regions, in Dashoguz velayat ( 3.7 births per woman) and in Ahal velayat ( 3.5 births per woman), while rates in other regions vary from 2.7 to 3.1 births per woman. With the increase of wealth status, the fertility rate decreases from 3.7 births per woman ${ }^{45}$ in the poorest quintile to 2.5 births per woman in the richest quintile.

[^30]Table RH. 3 presents some early childbearing ${ }^{46}$ indicators for women age 15-19 and 20-24 while Table RH. 4 presents the trends for early childbearing.

| Table RH.3: Early childbearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women age 15-19 years who have had a live birth, are pregnant with the first child, have begun childbearing, and who have had a live birth before age 15 , and percentage of women age $20-24$ years who have had a live birth before age 18, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of women age 15-19 years who: |  |  |  | Number of women age 1519 years | Percentage of women age 20-24 years who have had a live birth before age $18^{1}$ | Number of women age 2024 years |
|  | Have had a live birth | Are pregnant with first child | Have begun childbearing | Have had a live birth before age 15 |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.8 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1197 | 1.4 | 1400 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 1.9 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 144 | 0.4 | 163 |
| Ahal velayat | 5.0 | 1.2 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 133 | 2.9 | 226 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 75 | 1.0 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 3.2 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 310 | 0.0 | 288 |
| Lebap velayat | 2.8 | 2.1 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 220 | 2.8 | 286 |
| Mary velayat | 2.5 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 315 | 0.9 | 362 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.7 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 432 | 1.9 | 519 |
| Rural | 3.5 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 765 | 1.1 | 881 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 3 | (*) | 3 |
| Secondary | 2.9 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 1119 | 1.7 | 1105 |
| Primary vocational | (2.8) | (2.7) | (5.5) | (0.0) | 43 | 0.4 | 154 |
| Secondary vocational | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 17 | 0.0 | 73 |
| Higher | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 | 0.0 | 60 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 2.7 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 272 | 1.0 | 225 |
| Second | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 250 | 1.2 | 297 |
| Middle | 4.5 | 2.8 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 228 | 1.0 | 326 |
| Fourth | 2.4 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 220 | 1.9 | 280 |
| Richest | 1.4 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 227 | 1.9 | 272 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 2.7 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1040 | 1.5 | 1241 |
| Uzbek | 5.1 | 1.3 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 97 | 0.0 | 97 |
| Russian | (2.4) | (0.0) | (2.4) | (0.0) | 41 | (3.5) | 37 |
| Other | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | (*) | 19 | (*) | 26 |
| a Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown. <br> ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^31]
## Table RH.4: Trends in early childbearing

Percentage of women who have had a live birth, by age 15 and 18, by area and age group, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Urban |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  | All |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 15 | Number of women age 15-49 years | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 18 | Number of women age 20-49 years | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 15 | Number of women age 15-49 years | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 18 | Number of women age 20-49 years | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 15 | Number of women age 15-49 years | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 18 | Number of women age 20-49 years |
| Total | 0.1 | 3006 | 2.0 | 2574 | 0.0 | 4612 | 1.5 | 3847 | 0.1 | 7618 | 1.7 | 6421 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 432 | na | na | 0.0 | 765 | na | na | 0.0 | 1197 | na | na |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 519 | 1.9 | 519 | 0.0 | 881 | 1.1 | 881 | 0.0 | 1400 | 1.4 | 1400 |
| 25-29 | 0.0 | 474 | 1.6 | 474 | 0.0 | 876 | 1.0 | 876 | 0.0 | 1351 | 1.2 | 1351 |
| 30-34 | 0.3 | 469 | 3.4 | 469 | 0.0 | 648 | 2.4 | 648 | 0.1 | 1117 | 2.8 | 1117 |
| 35-39 | 0.2 | 398 | 2.0 | 398 | 0.0 | 548 | 3.2 | 548 | 0.1 | 946 | 2.7 | 946 |
| 40-44 | 0.0 | 378 | 1.5 | 378 | 0.3 | 456 | 1.6 | 456 | 0.2 | 835 | 1.5 | 835 |
| 45-49 | 0.2 | 335 | 0.9 | 335 | 0.0 | 438 | 0.4 | 438 | 0.1 | 772 | 0.6 | 772 |
| na: not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As shown in Table RH.3, around 3 percent of women age 15-19 have already had a birth and about 1 percent are pregnant with their first child. The table also presents that about 1 percent of women age 20-24 have had a live birth before age 18, mainly women with secondary education.

Table RH. 4 suggests that early childbearing before age 15 is not common in Turkmenistan, with no major changes over time. While percentages of women who have had a live birth before age 18 are generally low, there still seems to have been a slight decline in childbearing before age 18 over the last 15 years.

## Contraception

Appropriate family planning is important to the health of women and children by: 1) preventing pregnancies that are too early or too late; 2) extending the period between births; and 3) limiting the total number of children. Access by all couples to information and services to prevent pregnancies that are too early, too closely spaced, too late or too many is critical.

Table RH.4A shows the proportions of women age 15-49 ever married or in union and women age 15-49 currently married or in union, who have heard of any contraceptive method, by specific method. The data show that almost all women have heard of a contraceptive method and the mean number of methods known by women is 6 (of 14 methods). While the majority are familiar with the most common traditional and modern methods of contraception, there are modern methods they are less familiar with ( 12 percent for diaphragm, 12 percent for implants, 16 percent for female condom and 20 percent for emergency contraception).

Table RH.4A: Knowledge of specific contraceptive methods

| Percentage of women age 15-49 years ever married or in union and percentage of women age 15-49 years currently married or <br> in union who have heard of any contraceptive method, by specific method, Turkmenistan 2015-2016 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Currently married or in |
| union |  |  |

Table RH.4B provides information on knowledge of contraceptive methods for women age 15-49 currently married or in union, by background characteristics. The awareness level is very high with no major differences observed among different background characteristics.

| Percentage of women age 15-49 years currently married or in union who have heard of at least one contraceptive method and who have heard of at least one modern method, by background characteristics, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any method | Any modern method ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number of women age 1549 currently married or in union |
| Total | 99.8 | 99.8 | 4887 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 100.0 | 564 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 691 |
| Balkan velayat | 99.9 | 99.9 | 289 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1136 |
| Lebap velayat | 99.8 | 99.8 | 953 |
| Mary velayat | 99.3 | 99.3 | 1254 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1803 |
| Rural | 99.6 | 99.6 | 3084 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 72 |
| 20-24 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 681 |
| 25-29 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 1049 |
| 30-34 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 946 |
| 35-39 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 808 |
| 40-44 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 693 |
| 45-49 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 638 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | 3 |
| Secondary | 99.7 | 99.7 | 3938 |
| Primary vocational | 100.0 | 100.0 | 361 |
| Secondary vocational | 100.0 | 100.0 | 356 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.3 | 99.3 | 986 |
| Second | 99.8 | 99.8 | 1023 |
| Middle | 99.9 | 99.9 | 1007 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 100.0 | 943 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 929 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.8 | 99.8 | 4236 |
| Uzbek | 99.7 | 99.7 | 441 |
| Russian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 142 |
| Other | 95.9 | 95.9 | 68 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Female sterilization, male sterilization, pill, IUD, injectables, implants, male condom, female condom, emergency contraception, and other modern methods. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. $_{\text {. }}$ |  |  |  |

## Table RH.5: Use of contraception


${ }^{\text {a }}$ to ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 5.3; MDG indicator 5.3-Contraceptive prevalence rate
ne" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
${ }^{*}$ ) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

Current use of contraception was reported by half of all ( 50 percent) women currently married or in union ${ }^{47}$ (Table RH.5). The most popular method and predominant method is the IUD which is used by 44 percent of married women in Turkmenistan. Between 1 percent and 3 percent of married women reported the use of the pill, male condom and withdrawal. Other methods are less likely to be used.

Contraceptive prevalence is the same in urban and rural areas and ranges by regions from 40 percent in Dashoguz velayat to 58 percent in Ahal velayat. The findings by region and area are depicted in Figure RH.2. Adolescents are far less likely to use contraception than older women. Only 2 percent of women age 15-19 married or in union currently use a method of contraception compared to 22 percent of 20-24 year olds, while the use of contraception among older women ranges from 41 percent to 70 percent. Women with two or more children are more likely to use a modern method of contraception than those with no or one child. Women's education level is not associated with contraceptive prevalence.

Figure RH.2: Differentials in contraceptive use, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


[^32]
## Unmet Need

Unmet need for contraception refers to fecund women who are married or in union and are not using any method of contraception, but who wish to postpone the next birth (spacing) or who wish to stop childbearing altogether (limiting). Unmet need is identified in MICS by using a set of questions eliciting current behaviours and preferences pertaining to contraceptive use, fecundity, and fertility preferences.

Table RH. 6 shows the levels of met need for contraception, unmet need, and the demand for contraception satisfied.

Unmet need for spacing is defined as the percentage of women who are married or in union and are not using a method of contraception AND

- are not pregnant, and not postpartum amenorrheic ${ }^{48}$, and are fecund ${ }^{49}$, and say they want to wait two or more years for their next birth OR
- are not pregnant, and not postpartum amenorrheic, and are fecund, and unsure whether they want another child OR
- are pregnant, and say that pregnancy was mistimed: would have wanted to wait OR
- are postpartum amenorrheic, and say that the birth was mistimed: would have wanted to wait.

Unmet need for limiting is defined as percentage of women who are married or in union and are not using a method of contraception AND

- are not pregnant, and not postpartum amenorrheic, and are fecund, and say they do not want any more children OR
- are pregnant, and say they did not want to have a child OR
- are postpartum amenorrheic, and say that they did not want the birth.

Total unmet need for contraception is the sum of unmet need for spacing and unmet need for limiting. In Turkmenistan, 12 percent of women age 15-49 years currently married or in union, have an unmet need for contraception. Around 7 percent of all women have an unmet need for spacing and 5 percent have an unmet need for limiting. As expected, younger women ( $15-34$ years old) have higher unmet need for spacing while older age groups of women ( $35-49$ years old) have slightly higher unmet need for limiting.

This indicator is also known as unmet need for family planning and is one of the indicators used to track progress toward the Millennium Development Goal 5 of improving maternal health.

[^33]Table RH.6: Unmet need for contraception

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.
"-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator.

Met need for limiting includes women married or in union who are using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method ${ }^{50}$, and who want no more children, are using male or female sterilization, or declare themselves as infecund. Met need for spacing includes women who are using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method, and who want to have another child, or are undecided whether to have another child. The total of met need for spacing and limiting adds up to the total met need for contraception.

According to the survey data, 17 percent of women age 15-49 years have a met need for spacing. The met need for spacing is the highest in the age groups 25-29 years and 30-34 years ( 30 percent and 27 percent respectively). One in three women ( 33 percent) have a met need for limiting with the highest values for women age 35-49 years, where values vary from 47 percent to 68 percent. Table RH. 6 shows that 50 percent of women in Turkmenistan have a total met need for contraception.

Using information on contraception and unmet need, the percentage of demand for contraception satisfied is also estimated from the MICS data. The percentage of demand satisfied is defined as the proportion of women currently married or in union who are currently using contraception, over the total demand for contraception. The total demand for contraception includes women who currently have an unmet need (for spacing or limiting), plus those who are currently using contraception.

In Turkmenistan, the percentage of demand for contraception satisfied among women age 15-49 currently married or in union is high (81 percent).

Table RH. 6 shows that the total met need is more than 4 times higher than the total unmet need for family planning. Unmet need is not associated with wealth and reach a minimum value among women with higher education ( 9 percent). The table also highlights that the total demand for family planning satisfied is highest in Ahal velayat ( 89 percent) and the lowest in Balkan and Dashoguz velayats (74 percent each).

[^34]
## Antenatal Care

The antenatal period presents important opportunities for reaching pregnant women with a number of interventions that may be vital to their health and well-being and that of their infants. Better understanding of foetal growth and development and its relationship to the mother's health has resulted in increased attention to the potential of antenatal care as an intervention to improve both maternal and newborn health. For example, antenatal care can be used to inform women and families about risks and symptoms in pregnancy and about the risks of labour and delivery, and therefore it may provide the route for ensuring that pregnant women do, in practice, deliver with the assistance of a skilled health care provider. Antenatal visits also provide an opportunity to supply information on birth spacing, which is recognized as an important factor in improving infant survival. Tetanus immunization during pregnancy can be life-saving for both the mother and the infant. The prevention and treatment of malaria among pregnant women, management of anaemia during pregnancy and treatment of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) can significantly improve foetal outcomes and improve maternal health. Adverse outcomes such as low birth weight can be reduced through a combination of interventions to improve women's nutritional status and prevent infections (e.g., malaria and STIs) during pregnancy. More recently, the potential of the antenatal care as an entry point for HIV prevention and care, in particular for the prevention of HIV transmission from mother to child, has led to renewed interest in access to and use of antenatal services.

WHO recommends a minimum of four antenatal visits based on a review of the effectiveness of different models of antenatal care. WHO guidelines are specific on the content on antenatal care visits, which include:

- Blood pressure measurement
- Urine testing for bacteriuria and proteinuria
- Blood testing to detect syphilis and severe anaemia
- Weight/height measurement (optional).

It is of crucial importance for pregnant women to start attending antenatal care visits as early in pregnancy as possible in order to prevent and detect pregnancy conditions that could affect both the woman and her baby. Antenatal care should continue throughout the entire pregnancy.

Antenatal care coverage indicators (at least one visit with a skilled provider and 4 or more visits with any providers) are used to track progress toward the Millennium Development Goal 5 of improving maternal health.

| Percent distribution of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last two years by antenatal care provider during the pregnancy for the last birth, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provider of antenatal care ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ Midwife | Feldsher | No antenatal care | Total | Any skilled provider ${ }^{1, \mathrm{~b}}$ | Number of women with a live birth in the last two years |
| Total | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 1476 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 160 |
| Ahal velayat | 99.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Balkan velayat | 92.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 395 |
| Lebap velayat | 98.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 300 |
| Mary velayat | 98.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 320 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 529 |
| Rural | 98.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 947 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 56 |
| 20-34 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 1305 |
| 35-49 | 98.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 100.0 | (*) | 1 |
| Secondary | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 1265 |
| Primary vocational | 98.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Secondary vocational | 99.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 98.1 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 322 |
| Second | 99.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Middle | 98.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Fourth | 99.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 270 |
| Richest | 99.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 259 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1301 |
| Uzbek | 97.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 124 |
| Russian | (100.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | 27 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | 24 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Only the most qualified <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Skilled providers includ <br> ${ }^{c}$ Due to the low number <br> () Figures that are based <br> (*) Figures that are base | MICS ind <br> ider is con dical doct weighted 25-49 unv fewer than | or 5.5a; ered in ca Nurse/Mi es, the c <br> hted cas unweigh | G indicato where mor and Feld ory "None cases. | . 5 - Antena than one provi r. <br> the backgr | care der wa <br> und cha | rage <br> ported. <br> eristic "Educ | ion" is not shown. |

The type of personnel providing antenatal care to women age 15-49 years who gave birth in the two years preceding is presented in Table RH.7. The results show that in Turkmenistan, almost all women receive antenatal care (100 percent). The majority of antenatal care is provided by medical doctors (99 percent).

| Percent distribution of women who had: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent distribution of women by number of months pregnant at the time of first antenatal care visit |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women with a live birth in the last two years | Median months pregnant at first ANC visit | Number of women with a live birth in the last two years who had at least one ANC visit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | care visits | One visit | Two visits | Three visits | more visits ${ }^{1}$ | Missing/DK | Total | care visits | First trimester | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-5 } \\ \text { months } \end{gathered}$ | 6-7 months | $\begin{gathered} 8+ \\ \text { months } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 96.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 88.6 | 9.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1476 | 2.1 | 1474 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 96.7 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 160 | 2.0 | 160 |
| Ahal velayat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 226 | 2.0 | 226 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 98.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 88.1 | 11.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 75 | 2.0 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 95.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 395 | 2.0 | 395 |
| Lebap velayat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 98.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 81.6 | 15.4 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 300 | 2.5 | 300 |
| Mary velayat | 0.5 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 90.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 80.4 | 14.4 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 320 | 3.0 | 318 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 98.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 91.1 | 7.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 529 | 2.0 | 529 |
| Rural | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 95.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 87.2 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 947 | 2.5 | 945 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 97.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 88.7 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 56 | 3.0 | 56 |
| 20-34 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 96.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 88.6 | 9.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1305 | 2.1 | 1303 |
| 35-49 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 96.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 89.0 | 7.4 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 115 | 2.5 | 115 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 1 | (*) | 1 |
| Secondary | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 96.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 88.2 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1265 | 2.1 | 1264 |
| Primary vocational | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 89.9 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 112 | 2.1 | 112 |
| Secondary vocational | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 99.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 50 | 2.0 | 50 |
| Higher | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 96.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 46 | 2.0 | 46 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 5.8 | 91.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 86.6 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 322 | 2.8 | 320 |
| Second | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 95.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 88.8 | 10.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 313 | 2.3 | 313 |
| Middle | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 98.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 87.3 | 10.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 313 | 2.3 | 313 |
| Fourth | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 98.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 87.3 | 11.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 270 | 2.1 | 270 |
| Richest | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 98.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 94.0 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 259 | 2.0 | 259 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 97.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 88.8 | 9.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1301 | 2.1 | 1301 |
| Uzbek | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 96.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 124 | 2.0 | 124 |
| Russian | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (100.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (0.0) | (94.3) | (5.7) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 27 | (2.0) | 27 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 24 | (*) | 22 |

[^35]Table RH. 8 shows the number of antenatal care visits during the latest pregnancy that took place within the two years preceding the survey, regardless of provider, by selected characteristics. The majority of mothers ( 96 percent) received antenatal care at least four times. 92 percent of the women living in poorest households reported four or more antenatal care visits compared to 99 percent among those living in richest households. The percentage is slightly lower in Mary velayat (91 percent) compared to other regions.

Table RH. 8 also provides information about the timing of the first antenatal care visit. Overall, 89 percent of women with a live birth in the last two years had their first antenatal care visit during the first trimester of their last pregnancy, with a median of 2.1 months of pregnancy at the first visit among those who received antenatal care. The greater proportion of women who had their first antenatal care visit after the first trimester of their last pregnancy was found in Lebap and Mary velayats (18 percent and 19 percent respectively). Median months of pregnancy at first antenatal care visit decreases with the increase of the household wealth, from 2.8 months in the poorest quintile to 2.0 months in the richest quintile.

## Table RH.9: Content of antenatal care

Percentage of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last two years who, at least once, had their blood pressure measured, urine sample taken, blood sample taken and ultrasound conducted as part of antenatal care, during the pregnancy for the last birth, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of women who, during the pregnancy of their last birth, had: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Blood pressure measured | Urine sample taken | Blood sample taken | Blood pressure measured, urine and blood sample taken ${ }^{1}$ | Ultrasound conducted | Blood pressure measured, urine and blood sample taken and ultrasound conducted ${ }^{2}$ | women <br> with a live birth in the last two years |
| Total | 99.8 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 99.4 | 98.2 | 97.9 | 1476 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 160 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 226 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 98.5 | 97.3 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 395 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.4 | 300 |
| Mary velayat | 99.1 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 92.8 | 92.8 | 320 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.2 | 529 |
| Rural | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 97.5 | 97.2 | 947 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 56 |
| 20-34 | 99.8 | 99.6 | 99.4 | 99.3 | 98.1 | 97.7 | 1305 |
| 35-49 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1 |
| Secondary | 99.9 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.4 | 98.2 | 97.8 | 1265 |
| Primary vocational | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Secondary vocational | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.1 | 98.7 | 98.3 | 98.3 | 96.5 | 96.1 | 322 |
| Second | 100.0 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 98.9 | 98.5 | 313 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 98.2 | 97.9 | 313 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 98.0 | 97.7 | 270 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 259 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 98.5 | 98.4 | 1301 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 99.2 | 98.9 | 98.1 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 124 |
| Russian | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | 27 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 24 |

$$
{ }^{1} \text { MICS indicator } 5.6 \text { - Content of antenatal care }
$$

${ }^{2}$ Survey-specific indicator 5.51 - Content of antenatal care (includes ultrasound)
a Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
() Figures that are based on $25-49$ unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

The coverage of key services that pregnant women are expected to receive during antenatal care are shown in Table RH.9. Among those women who had a live birth during the two years preceding the survey, almost all women (more than 99 percent) reported that a blood sample was taken during antenatal care visits, their blood pressure was checked and urine specimen was taken. Overall, 98 percent of women received the recommended content of antenatal care as defined by the surveyspecific indicator (which includes ultrasound as well), 99 percent in urban areas and 97 percent in rural areas. A somewhat lower percentage is recorded in Mary velayat (93 percent).

## Assistance at Delivery

About three quarters of all maternal deaths occur due to direct obstetric causes. ${ }^{51}$ The single most critical intervention for safe motherhood is to ensure that a competent health worker with midwifery skills is present at every birth, and in case of emergency that transport is available to a referral facility for obstetric care. The skilled attendant at delivery indicator is used to track progress toward the Millennium Development Goal 5 of improving maternal health.

The MICS included a number of questions to assess the proportion of births attended by a skilled attendant. In Turkmenistan, a skilled attendant includes a doctor, nurse, midwife, or feldsher.

[^36]| Percent distribution of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last two years by person providing assistance at delivery, and percentage of births delivered by C-section, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person assisting at delivery |  | Total | Delivery assisted by any skilled attendant ${ }^{1, a}$ | Percent delivered by C-section |  |  | Number of women who had a live birth in the last two years |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ Midwife |  |  | Decided before onset of labour pains | Decided after onset of labour pains | Total ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Total | 98.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 1476 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 9.8 | 160 |
| Ahal velayat | 99.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 226 |
| Balkan velayat | 98.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 6.1 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 395 |
| Lebap velayat | 98.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 300 |
| Mary velayat | 97.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 320 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 7.8 | 529 |
| Rural | 98.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 5.4 | 947 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 56 |
| 20-34 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 1305 |
| 35-49 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 9.3 | 115 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Health facility | 98.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 1468 |
| Public | 98.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 1466 |
| Private | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 2 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 1 |
| Secondary | 98.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 6.1 | 1265 |
| Primary vocational | 98.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 112 |
| Secondary vocational | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 50 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 10.6 | 46 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 322 |
| Second | 98.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 7.5 | 313 |
| Middle | 97.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 6.8 | 313 |
| Fourth | 98.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 270 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 8.1 | 259 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 1301 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 124 |
| Russian | (100.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | (4.9) | (6.5) | (11.5) | 27 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 24 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Skilled attendants inclu <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to the low number <br> () Figures that are based <br> (*) Figures that are base |  |  | indica ator 5.9 wife and gory "N | 5.2-Skilled <br> - Caesarean <br> Feldsher. <br> ne" for the back | attendant ection <br> ground ch | delivery <br> cteristic "E | cation" | not shown. |

All births (100 percent) occurring in the two years preceding the MICS survey were delivered by skilled personnel, which tells about universal access to skilled care during the birth in Turkmenistan (Table RH.10). Doctors assisted with the delivery of 99 percent of births and nurses or midwives assisted with 1 percent.

Table RH. 10 also shows information on women who delivered by caesarian section (C-section) and provides additional information on the timing of the decision to conduct a C-section (before labour pains began or after) in order to better assess if such decisions are mostly driven by medical or nonmedical reasons.

Overall, 6 percent of women who delivered in the last two years had a C-section; and for the same percent of women, the decision was taken before the onset of labour pains and after ( 3 percent each). Approximately one it ten women in Ashgabat city ( 10 percent) delivered the baby by C-section compared to other regions where those percentages range from 5 percent to 7 percent. This method was most often used among women with higher education (11 percent).

## Place of Delivery

Increasing the proportion of births that are delivered in health facilities is an important factor in reducing the health risks to both the mother and the baby. Proper medical attention and hygienic conditions during delivery can reduce the risks of complications and infection that can cause morbidity and mortality to either the mother or the baby. Table RH. 11 presents the percent distribution of women age 15-49 who had a live birth in the two years preceding the survey by place of delivery, and the percentage of births delivered in a health facility, according to background characteristics.


Almost all births (100 percent) in Turkmenistan are delivered in a health facility; 99 percent of deliveries occur in public sector facilities and less than 1 percent in private sector facilities. Less than 1 percent of births take place at home. Deliveries in health facilities are equally accessible to all women, regardless of the wealth level or other background characteristics.

## Post-natal Health Checks

The time of birth and immediately after is a critical window of opportunity to deliver lifesaving interventions for both the mother and newborn. Across the world, approximately 3 million newborns annually die in the first month of life ${ }^{52}$ and the majority of these deaths occur within a day or two of birth ${ }^{53}$, which is also the time when the majority of maternal deaths occur ${ }^{54}$.

Despite the importance of the first few days following birth, large-scale, nationally representative household survey programmes have not systematically included questions on the post-natal period and care for the mother and newborn. In 2008, the Countdown to 2015 initiative, which monitors progress on maternal, newborn and child health interventions, highlighted this data gap, and called not only for post-natal care (PNC) programmes to be strengthened, but also for better data availability and quality ${ }^{55}$.

Following the establishment and discussions of an Inter-Agency Group on PNC and drawing on lessons learned from earlier attempts of collecting PNC data, a new questionnaire module for MICS was developed and validated. Named the Post-natal Health Checks (PNHC) module, the objective is to collect information on newborns' and mothers' contact with a provider, not content of care. The rationale for this is that as PNC programmes scale up, it is important to measure the coverage of that scale up and ensure that the platform for providing essential services is in place. Content is considered more difficult to measure, particularly because the respondent is asked to recall services delivered up to two years preceding the interview.

In Turkmenistan, the procedures for post-natal care for the mother and newborn are carried out in accordance with order №115 "On the improvement of medical services by family physicians" from 2002, and order №246 "Improving the quality of health care services for pregnant women and women in primary health care" from 2012. Accordingly, after discharge from the health facility, during the first day, qualified medical personnel should perform the first visit of the newborn at home, for the mother in the first week after birth followed by patronage visits every seventh day for 42 days.

Table RH. 12 presents the percent distribution of women age 15-49 who gave birth in a health facility in the two years preceding the survey by duration of stay in the facility following the delivery, according to background characteristics.

[^37]| Percent distribution of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last two years who had their last birth delivered in a health facility by duration of stay in health facility, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration of stay in health facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 12 <br> hours | 12 <br> hours <br> or <br> more, <br> but <br> less <br> than <br> 2 <br> days | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { days } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | Total | 12 <br> hours or more ${ }^{1}$ | Number of women who had their last birth delivered in a health facility in the last 2 years |
| Total | 0.2 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 72.1 | 12.0 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 1468 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 69.7 | 4.5 | 8.9 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 160 |
| Ahal velayat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 88.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 224 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.5 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 76.1 | 7.8 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 0.7 | 0.6 | 5.7 | 65.5 | 13.6 | 9.5 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 392 |
| Lebap velayat | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 66.2 | 19.2 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 300 |
| Mary velayat | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 74.7 | 14.7 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 317 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.1 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 72.6 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 526 |
| Rural | 0.3 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 71.8 | 13.6 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 941 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 62.6 | 13.1 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 55 |
| 20-34 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 73.4 | 11.4 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 1297 |
| 35-49 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 62.2 | 18.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Type of health facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 0.2 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 72.2 | 12.0 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 1466 |
| Private | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | 2 |
| Type of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaginal birth | 0.2 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 76.3 | 12.2 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 1375 |
| C-section | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 21.2 | 16.1 | 45.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | $\left(^{*}\right)$ | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | 1 |
| Secondary | 0.2 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 72.3 | 12.1 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 1259 |
| Primary vocationalSecondary | 0.3 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 69.3 | 11.6 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 111 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 71.1 | 15.0 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50 |
| Higher | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 73.9 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.9 | 1.0 | 7.3 | 66.3 | 14.0 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 319 |
| Second | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 71.2 | 15.2 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 309 |
| Middle | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 77.6 | 10.0 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Fourth | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 76.8 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 268 |
| Richest | 0.1 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 68.9 | 10.7 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 259 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 0.1 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 71.9 | 12.4 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 1294 |
| Uzbek | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 72.0 | 12.4 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 124 |
| Russian | (0.0) | (0.0) | (6.3) | (69.8) | (0.0) | (12.3) | (3.5) | (8.1) | 100.0 | (100.0) | 27 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | 22 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 5.10 - Post-partum stay in health facility
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.
All women in Turkmenistan (100 percent) who gave birth in a health facility stay 12 hours or more in the facility after delivery. As expected, all women ( 100 percent) giving birth through C-section stay 3 days or more in the facility after giving birth. There are no clear patterns with regards to background characteristics of women as the length of stay depends mainly on the health of women and newborns. In total, 95 percent of women stay in a health facility for three or more days, of wich the highest percent stay for three days ( 72 percent).

Safe motherhood programmes have recently increased emphasis on the importance of post-natal care, recommending that all women and newborns receive a health check within two days of delivery. To assess the extent of post-natal care utilization, women were asked whether they and their newborn received a health check after the delivery, the timing of the first check, and the type of health provider for the woman's last birth in the two years preceding the survey.

Table RH. 13 shows the percentage of newborns born in the last two years who received health checks and post-natal care visits from any health provider after birth as well as post-natal care visits by time following discharge from health facility. Please note that health checks following birth while in facility or at home refer to checks provided by any health provider regardless of timing (column 1), whereas post-natal care visits refer to a separate visit to check on the health of the newborn and provide preventive care services and therefore do not include health checks following birth while in facility or at home. The indicator Post-natal health checks includes any health check after birth received while in the health facility and at home (column 1), regardless of timing, as well as PNC visits within two days of delivery (columns 2, 3, and 4).

## Table RH.13: Post-natal health checks for newborns


provider after birth, and after discharge from the health facility, by timing of visit, and percentage who received post natal health checks, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

na: not applicable
a Health checks by any health provider following facility births (before discharge from facility) or following home births (before departure of provider from home).

${ }^{\text {c }}$ Post-natal health checks include any health check performed while in the health facility or at home following birth (see note ${ }^{\text {a }}$ above), as well as PNC visits (see note ${ }^{\text {b }}$ above) within two days of delivery.
d The same length of stay in the health facility is used for both the mother and the newborn child (since only information on the duration of stay of the mother is collected).
Inding won that report time of the first PNC check in week.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
${ }_{(*)}^{*}$ Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

Overall, almost all newborns receive a health check following birth while in a facility or at home.

The percentage of newborns who receive a health check following discharge from health facility is very high ( 99 percent). In most of cases ( 74 percent), the PNC visit takes place on the same day or 1 day following discharge from health facility. There are regional differences in timing of the PNC visits following discharge from the health facility. In all regions, with the exception of Ahal velayat, the majority of newborns receive a PNC visit on the same day or 1 day following discharge from health facility - from 73 percent in Ashgabat city to 88 percent in Mary velayat. In Ahal velayat, 36 percent of newborns receive a PNC visit on the same day or 1 day following discharge from health facility and one half of newborns ( 50 percent) receive a PNC visit 2 days following discharge from the health facility.

Table RH.14: Post-natal care visits for newborns within the first week following discharge from health facility
 by location and provider of the first PNC visit, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Location of first PNC visit for newborns within the first week following discharge from the health facility |  | Total | Provider of first PNC visit for newborns within the first week following discharge from the health facility |  |  | Total | Number of last live births in the last two years with a PNC visit within the first week following discharge from the health facilty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home | Public Sector |  | Doctor | Nurse/midwife | Feldsher |  |  |
| Total | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 66.2 | 30.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 1445 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 68.9 | 31.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Ahal velayat | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 39.7 | 54.4 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 221 |
| Balkan velayat | 90.8 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 95.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 85.3 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 390 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 74.4 | 17.7 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 297 |
| Mary velayat | 98.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 66.9 | 28.9 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 306 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 61.6 | 37.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 517 |
| Rural | 99.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 68.7 | 26.5 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 928 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 61.9 | 35.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 53 |
| 20-34 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 66.4 | 30.1 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 1278 |
| 35-49 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 66.2 | 31.2 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 114 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 66.2 | 30.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 1445 |
| Public | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 66.3 | 30.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 1443 |
| Private | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 2 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 29.6 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 1240 |
| Primary vocational | 98.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 63.1 | 34.5 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 109 |
| Secondary vocational | 99.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 63.3 | 34.7 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 50 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 64.0 | 33.2 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 44 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 80.3 | 17.4 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 315 |
| Second | 99.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 70.3 | 24.1 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 302 |
| Middle | 99.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 62.0 | 31.8 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 310 |
| Fourth | 97.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 54.7 | 42.8 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 263 |
| Richest | 99.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 60.8 | 39.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 254 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 65.8 | 30.6 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 1277 |
| Uzbek | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 74.1 | 24.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 123 |
| Russian | (100.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (62.1) | (37.9) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 26 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 19 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The same length of stay in the health facility is used for both the mother and the newborn child (since only information on the duration of stay of the mother is collected)
b Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.


In Table RH.14, the percentage of newborns who received the first PNC visit within the first week following discharge from the health facility is shown by location and type of provider of service. As defined above, a visit does not include a check in the facility or at home following birth.

The vast majority (99 percent) of the first PNC visits for newborns within the first week following discharge from the health facility occur at home. Only 1 percent of those visits take place in public institutions, and those mainly in the Balkan velayat (9 percent).

The first PNC visits for all newborns are provided by qualified medical personnel. In most of the cases, the first PNC visit within the first week following discharge from health facility is provided by a doctor ( 66 percent) and by nurse or midwife ( 30 percent). There is low percentage of newborns (4 percent) who are visited by a feldsher. There are notable differences by regions. In particular, a doctor provided the first PNC visits for only 4 percent of newborns in the Balkan velayat, for 40 percent of newborns in the Ahal velayat, while in other regions percentages vary from 67 percent in Mary velayat to 85 percent in Dashoguz velayat.

Tables RH. 15 and RH. 16 present information collected on post-natal health checks and visits of the mother and are identical to Tables RH. 13 and RH. 14 that presented the data collected for newborns.

## Table RH.15: Post-natal health checks for mothers

 birth, and following discharge from the health facility, by timing of visit, and percentage who received post natal health checks, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

na: not applicable
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Health checks by any health provider following facility births (before discharge from facility) or following home births (before departure of provider from home).

${ }^{\text {c }}$ Post-natal health checks include any health check performed while in the health facility or at home following birth (see note ${ }^{\text {a }}$ above), as well as PNC visits (see note ${ }^{\text {b }}$ above) within two days of delivery
${ }^{d}$ The same length of stay in the health facility is used for both the mother and the newborn child (since only information on the duration of stay of the mother is collected).
${ }^{e}$ Including women that report time of the first PNC check in weeks.
Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
( $^{*}$ ) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Table RH. 15 presents a pattern similar to Table RH.13, without any notable differences. Overall, almost all mothers ( 100 percent) receive a health check following birth while in a facility or at home. With regards to PNC visits for mothers by time following discharge from health facility, the majority take place on the same or on the first day after discharge from the health facility ( 72 percent). Regional differences are consistent with regional differences in PNC visits for newborns.

Table RH.16: Post-natal care visits for mothers within the first week following discharge from

## health facility

Percent distribution of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last two years who received a post-natal care (PNC) visit within the first week following discharge from the health facility, by location and provider of the first PNC visit, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

Table RH. 16 matches Table RH.14, but now deals with PNC visits for mothers within the first week following discharge from the health facility by location and type of provider. As defined above, a visit does not include a check in the facility or at home following birth.

Overall, 98 percent of the first PNC visits for mothers within the first week following discharge from the health facility occur at home and 2 percent in a public health facility. In the majority of cases, visits are
provided by a doctor (69 percent) and by nurse or midwife (28 percent). Regional differences regarding to the type of personnel are consistent with regional differences for newborns.

Table RH.17: Post-natal health checks for mothers and newborns
Percent distribution of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last two years by post-natal health checks for the mother and newborn, within two days of the most recent birth, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

## Post-natal health checks within two days

of birth for:

|  | r: |  | Total | Number of women with a live birth in the last two years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both mothers and newborns | Neither mother nor newborn |  |  |
| Total | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1476 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 160 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 395 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 300 |
| Mary velayat | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 320 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 529 |
| Rural | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 947 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 56 |
| 20-34 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1305 |
| 35-49 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Home | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 8 |
| Health facility | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1468 |
| Public | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1466 |
| Private | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 2 |
| Type of delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Vaginal birth | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1383 |
| C-section | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 92 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 1 |
| Secondary | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1265 |
| Primary vocational | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Secondary vocational | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 50 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 322 |
| Second | 99.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 270 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 259 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1301 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 124 |
| Russian | (100.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 27 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 24 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

Table RH. 17 presents the distribution of women with a live birth in the two years preceding the survey by receipt of health checks or PNC visits within 2 days of birth for the mother and the newborn, thus combining the indicators presented in Tables RH. 13 and RH.15.

The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS shows that for almost all live births, both the mothers and their newborns receive either a health check following birth or a timely PNC visit.

## IX. Early Childhood Development

## Early Childhood Care and Education

Readiness of children for primary school can be improved through attendance to early childhood education programmes or through pre-school attendance. Early childhood education programmes include programmes for children that have organised learning components as opposed to babysitting and day-care which do not typically have organised education and learning.

Turkmenistan has a network of preschool institutions for education and learning of children of preschool age. Types of preschool institutions depending on the conditions of their functioning are: crèches, combined crèches-kindergartens, kindergartens and other. State education administration bodies provide methodological guidance and monitor the activities of all preschool institutions, regardless of their departmental affiliation and ownership. An integral part of preschool education is preparation of preschool children for primary education (which is compulsory) and can be carried out in the family (at home), in preschool or other educational institutions.

The national program "Early development and preparation children for the school for the period 2011-2015" lays the foundation for a healthy physical and intellectual development of the personality of the child from birth to school age. The focus of the program are the family and assurance of children's rights to the full development of their potential and creation of conditions for the comprehensive environment for readiness of children for school taking into account modern reality.

In Turkmenistan, 43 percent of children age 36-59 months are attending an organised early childhood education programme (Table CD.1). Urban-rural and regional differentials are notable the figure is as high as 70 percent in urban areas, compared to 29 percent in rural areas. Among children age 36-59 months, attendance to early childhood education programmes is more prevalent in Ashgabat city and the Balkan velayat ( 71 percent and 75 percent respectively), than in other regions. No gender differential exists, but differentials by socioeconomic status seem to be notable. 81 percent of children living in the richest households attend such programmes, while the figure drops to 17 percent among children from the poorest households. It is interesting to note that there is an only a slight difference in the proportions of children attending early childhood education programmes at ages $36-47$ months and $48-59$ months ( 40 percent and 45 percent respectively).

| Table CD.1: Early childhood education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of children age 36-59 months who are attending an organized early childhood education programme, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |
|  | Percentage of children age $36-59$ months attending early childhood education ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children age 36-59 months |
| Total | 42.8 | 1518 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 43.0 | 782 |
| Female | 42.7 | 736 |
| Region |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 70.9 | 148 |
| Ahal velayat | 37.2 | 223 |
| Balkan velayat | 75.4 | 83 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 25.8 | 356 |
| Lebap velayat | 51.4 | 324 |
| Mary velayat | 36.9 | 383 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 69.8 | 518 |
| Rural | 28.9 | 1000 |
| Age of child |  |  |
| 36-47 months | 40.4 | 758 |
| 48-59 months | 45.3 | 760 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | 3 |
| Secondary | 38.0 | 1301 |
| Primary vocational | 75.9 | 96 |
| Secondary vocational | 70.3 | 60 |
| Higher | 71.5 | 58 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |
| Poorest | 16.7 | 342 |
| Second | 33.5 | 326 |
| Middle | 34.3 | 315 |
| Fourth | 61.9 | 296 |
| Richest | 80.7 | 239 |
| Language of household head |  |  |
| Turkmen | 43.4 | 1326 |
| Uzbek | 36.0 | 136 |
| Russian | (85.2) | 29 |
| Other | (*) | 27 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 6.1 - Attendance to early childhood education <br> ${ }^{(*)}$ ) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |

## Quality of Care

It is well recognized that a period of rapid brain development occurs in the first 3-4 years of life, and the quality of home care is a major determinant of the child's development during this period. ${ }^{56}$ In this context, engagement of adults in activities with children, presence of books in the home for the child, and the conditions of care are important indicators of quality of home care. As set out in $A$ World Fit for Children, "children should be physically healthy, mentally alert, emotionally secure, socially competent and ready to learn. ${ }^{157}$

Information on a number of activities that support early learning was collected in the survey. These included the involvement of adults with children in the following activities: reading books or looking at picture books, telling stories, singing songs, taking children outside the home, compound or yard, playing with children, and spending time with children naming, counting, or drawing things.

With the majority ( 94 percent) of children age 36-59 months, an adult household member engaged in four or more activities that promote learning and school readiness during the 3 days preceding the survey (Table CD.2). The mean number of activities that adults engaged with children was 5.6. The table also indicates that the father's involvement in such activities was limited, while mothers took an active part in such activities. Father's involvement in four or more activities was only 15 percent although 93 percent of children age $36-59$ months live with their biological father. The percentage of children with whom mothers were engaged in four or more activities that promote learning and school readiness during the 3 days preceding the survey was 81 percent. The mean number of activities that fathers engaged with children was lower compared to mother's involvement ( 1.7 and 4.7 activities respectively).

The most notable differences in activities that promote learning and school readiness that adults engaged in with children were found by regions. In Lebap velayat, mothers and fathers are less involved in such activities with children. In this region, only 4 percent of fathers and 60 percent of mothers were involved in four or more activities that promote learning and school readiness. The highest prevalence is in the Balkan velayat where the father's involvement in such activities is 38 percent and mother's involvement is 95 percent.

[^38]
## Table CD.2: Support for learning

 2016

|  | Percentage of children with whom adult household members have engaged in four or more activities ${ }^{1}$ | Mean number of activities with adult household members | Percentage of children living with their: |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { children } \\ \text { age } 36 \text { - } \\ 59 \\ \text { months } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percentage of children with whom biological fathers have engaged in four or more activities ${ }^{2}$ | Mean number of activities with biological fathers | Number of children age 3659 months living with their biological fathers | Percentage of children with whom biological mothers have engaged in four or more activities ${ }^{3}$ | Mean number of activities with biological mothers | Number of children age 36-59 months living with their biological mothers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Biological father | Biological mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 94.4 | 5.6 | 93.3 | 98.7 | 1518 | 14.8 | 1.7 | 1416 | 80.6 | 4.7 | 1499 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 94.3 | 5.6 | 93.1 | 98.4 | 782 | 16.0 | 1.8 | 728 | 79.8 | 4.7 | 770 |
| Female | 94.6 | 5.5 | 93.5 | 99.1 | 736 | 13.6 | 1.6 | 688 | 81.4 | 4.8 | 729 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.3 | 5.7 | 87.1 | 98.9 | 148 | 10.4 | 1.7 | 129 | 88.3 | 5.0 | 147 |
| Ahal velayat | 98.2 | 5.8 | 96.4 | 98.7 | 223 | 18.8 | 2.2 | 215 | 80.9 | 4.6 | 220 |
| Balkan velayat | 99.5 | 6.0 | 91.5 | 99.0 | 83 | 38.3 | 2.5 | 76 | 94.7 | 5.4 | 82 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 98.2 | 5.9 | 92.9 | 99.3 | 356 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 331 | 88.1 | 5.1 | 354 |
| Lebap velayat | 82.8 | 4.9 | 90.5 | 98.7 | 324 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 294 | 59.7 | 3.9 | 320 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 96.2 | 5.6 | 87.0 | 98.1 | 518 | 15.7 | 1.6 | 450 | 85.4 | 4.9 | 508 |
| Rural | 93.5 | 5.5 | 96.6 | 99.1 | 1000 | 14.4 | 1.7 | 966 | 78.1 | 4.7 | 991 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36-47 months | 93.1 | 5.5 | 92.7 | 98.8 | 758 | 14.1 | 1.6 | 702 | 79.9 | 4.6 | 749 |
| 48-59 months | 95.7 | 5.7 | 93.9 | 98.7 | 760 | 15.5 | 1.7 | 714 | 81.3 | 4.8 | 750 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 3 | (*) | (*) | 3 | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 3 |
| Secondary | 94.1 | 5.5 | 94.6 | 98.9 | 1301 | 14.5 | 1.7 | 1231 | 79.9 | 4.7 | 1286 |
| Primary vocational | 95.8 | 5.6 | 86.8 | 97.4 | 96 | 16.1 | 1.7 | 84 | 75.7 | 4.6 | 94 |
| Secondary vocational | 98.3 | 5.7 | 76.3 | 96.9 | 60 | 8.3 | 1.5 | 46 | 92.6 | 5.1 | 58 |
| Higher | 98.6 | 5.8 | 91.6 | 100.0 | 58 | 27.5 | 2.3 | 53 | 93.4 | 5.4 | 58 |
| Father's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 2 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 2 | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 2 |
| Secondary | 94.2 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 1091 | 13.6 | 1.7 | 1091 | 80.5 | 4.7 | 1083 |
| Primary vocational | 90.5 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 138 | 25.0 | 2.0 | 138 | 74.2 | 4.5 | 137 |
| Secondary vocational | 97.1 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 80 | 13.5 | 1.8 | 80 | 80.5 | 4.7 | 80 |
| Higher | 98.8 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 105 | 28.9 | 2.3 | 105 | 90.7 | 5.2 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 92.3 | 5.5 | 95.3 | 99.6 | 342 | 9.0 | 1.3 | 326 | 78.2 | 4.7 | 341 |
| Second | 92.8 | 5.5 | 97.8 | 99.1 | 326 | 11.4 | 1.6 | 319 | 76.5 | 4.6 | 323 |
| Middle | 95.0 | 5.5 | 95.5 | 98.7 | 315 | 17.8 | 1.9 | 301 | 79.1 | 4.6 | 311 |
| Fourth | 95.0 | 5.6 | 88.9 | 97.4 | 296 | 20.1 | 1.8 | 263 | 83.4 | 4.8 | 288 |
| Richest | 98.3 | 5.7 | 86.8 | 98.8 | 239 | 17.5 | 1.8 | 207 | 88.1 | 5.1 | 236 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 94.0 | 5.5 | 94.1 | 98.8 | 1326 | 15.3 | 1.7 | 1247 | 80.1 | 4.7 | 1309 |
| Uzbek | 99.1 | 5.8 | 91.8 | 98.5 | 136 | 9.8 | 1.2 | 125 | 85.4 | 5.0 | 134 |
| Russian | (97.2) | (5.7) | (59.6) | (97.7) | 29 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | 17 | (86.2) | (5.2) | 28 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 27 | (*) | (*) | 27 | (*) | (*) | 27 |

2 MICS Indicaticator 6.2 - Support for learning
${ }^{2}$ MICS Indicator 6.3 - Father's support for learning
 a The background characteristic "Mother's education" refers to the education level of the respondent to the Questionnaire for Children Under Five, and covers both mothers and primary caretakers, who are interviewed $w$.
household. Since indicator 6.4 reports on the biological
${ }^{*}$ ) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

Exposure to books in early years not only provides the child with greater understanding of the nature of print, but may also give the child opportunities to see others reading, such as older siblings doing school work. Presence of books is important for later school performance. The mothers/caretakers of all children under 5 were asked about number of children's books or picture books they have for the child, and the types of playthings that are available at home.

In Turkmenistan, almost half of children (48 percent) age 0-59 months live in households where at least 3 children's books are present for the child (Table CD.3). The proportion of children with 10 or more books declines to 11 percent. While no gender differentials are observed, there are differences by area of residence and regions. The proportion of under-5 children who have 3 or more children's books is 57 percent in urban areas, compared to 43 percent in rural areas. Among regions, the indicator is the lowest in Dashoguz velayat ( 30 percent) and in other regions ranges from 48 percent to 62 percent. The presence of children's books is positively correlated with the child's age, level of household wealth and mother's education. In particular, 3 or more children's books are available in the homes of 28 percent of children age 0-23 months, while the figure is 62 percent for children age 24-59 months. The highest percentage of 3 or more children's books was in households where the language of the household head is Russian ( 85 percent).

When children for whom there are 10 or more children's books or picture books are taken into account, the differences by background characteristics are consistent with differences for the indicator on presence of 3 or more children's books.

Table CD.3: Learning materials

| Percentage of children under age 5 by numbers of children's books prese Percentage of children living in households that have for the child: |  |  | Percentage of children who play with: |  |  |  | Number of children under age 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 or more children's books ${ }^{1}$ | 10 or more children's books | Homemade toys | Toys from a shop/manufactured toys | Household objects/objects found outside | Two or more types of playthings ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Total | 48.0 | 10.7 | 25.3 | 94.2 | 49.1 | 53.0 | 3765 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 46.8 | 10.5 | 25.7 | 94.1 | 50.3 | 53.9 | 1984 |
| Female | 49.4 | 11.0 | 24.9 | 94.4 | 47.7 | 52.0 | 1781 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 58.6 | 19.5 | 2.2 | 94.7 | 53.7 | 53.6 | 385 |
| Ahal velayat | 60.6 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 95.2 | 24.6 | 26.4 | 576 |
| Balkan velayat | 61.5 | 1.8 | 43.0 | 94.3 | 81.9 | 86.3 | 195 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 29.7 | 0.6 | 30.8 | 91.6 | 52.3 | 61.3 | 950 |
| Lebap velayat | 47.9 | 18.3 | 32.3 | 95.3 | 49.7 | 53.3 | 780 |
| Mary velayat | 52.0 | 15.8 | 32.2 | 95.1 | 51.7 | 53.7 | 879 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 57.1 | 14.8 | 19.8 | 94.5 | 53.8 | 56.8 | 1324 |
| Rural | 43.1 | 8.5 | 28.4 | 94.0 | 46.5 | 51.0 | 2441 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 months | 27.6 | 6.1 | 12.1 | 86.9 | 27.6 | 31.2 | 1501 |
| 24-59 months | 61.5 | 13.8 | 34.2 | 99.0 | 63.3 | 67.5 | 2264 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Secondary | 46.3 | 8.7 | 26.1 | 94.0 | 48.4 | 52.5 | 3252 |
| Primary vocational | 55.4 | 22.3 | 23.6 | 96.5 | 56.6 | 58.9 | 251 |
| Secondary vocational | 59.8 | 19.4 | 21.0 | 96.7 | 49.3 | 54.2 | 128 |
| Higher | 68.1 | 31.9 | 14.9 | 97.4 | 55.0 | 56.2 | 124 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 30.2 | 3.2 | 32.4 | 93.9 | 50.8 | 58.5 | 826 |
| Second | 38.8 | 5.9 | 25.4 | 93.4 | 44.0 | 47.5 | 799 |
| Middle | 53.4 | 11.5 | 24.5 | 94.8 | 44.4 | 47.5 | 793 |
| Fourth | 57.6 | 13.7 | 25.6 | 94.7 | 53.3 | 56.1 | 737 |
| Richest | 65.7 | 22.6 | 16.7 | 94.2 | 54.1 | 56.3 | 610 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 48.5 | 10.7 | 24.9 | 94.8 | 48.4 | 52.1 | 3291 |
| Uzbek | 36.0 | 2.5 | 35.2 | 89.3 | 52.0 | 59.7 | 333 |
| Russian | 84.7 | 51.7 | 9.9 | 98.6 | 53.8 | 56.0 | 74 |
| Other | 43.5 | 6.7 | 14.1 | 84.5 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 68 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 6.5 - Availability of children's books ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 6.6-Availability of playthings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table CD. 3 also shows that about half of children ( 53 percent) age 0-59 months had 2 or more types of playthings to play with in their homes. The types of playthings included in the questionnaires were homemade toys (such as dolls and cars, or other toys made at home), toys that came from a store, and household objects (such as pots and bowls) or objects and materials found outside the home (such as sticks, rocks, animal shells, or leaves). As expected, the most common are toys that come from a store ( 94 percent). Less than a half of children ( 49 percent) play with household objects or objects found outside and every fourth child ( 25 percent) plays with homemade toys. The percentage of children who have 2 or more types of playthings to play with increases with the child's age, from 31 percent for children age 0-23 months to 68 percent for children age 24-59 months. Differentials by background characteristics are not notable except by regions.

Leaving children alone or in the presence of other young children is known to increase the risk of injuries. ${ }^{58}$ In MICS, two questions were asked to find out whether children age 0-59 months were left alone during the week preceding the interview, and whether children were left in the care of other children under 10 years of age.

Table CD. 4 shows that less than 1 percent of children were left with inadequate care during the past week, either by being left alone or in the care of another child.

[^39]| Table CD.4: Inadequate care |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of children under age 5 left alone or left in the care of another child younger than 10 years of age for more than one hour at least once during the past week, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of children under age 5: |  |  |  |
|  | Left alone in the past week | Left in the care of another child younger than 10 years of age in the past week | Left with inadequate care in the past week ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children under age 5 |
| Total | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 3765 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1984 |
| Female | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1781 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 385 |
| Ahal velayat | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 576 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 195 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 950 |
| Lebap velayat | 1.5 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 780 |
| Mary velayat | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 879 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1324 |
| Rural | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 2441 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 months | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1501 |
| 24-59 months | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2264 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Secondary | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 3252 |
| Primary vocational | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 251 |
| Secondary vocational | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 128 |
| Higher | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 124 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 826 |
| Second | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 799 |
| Middle | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 793 |
| Fourth | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 737 |
| Richest | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 610 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 3291 |
| Uzbek | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 333 |
| Russian | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 74 |
| Other | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 68 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |

## Developmental Status of Children

Early childhood development is defined as an orderly, predictable process along a continuous path, in which a child learns to handle more complicated levels of moving, thinking, speaking, feeling and relating to others. Physical growth, literacy and numeracy skills, socio-emotional development and readiness to learn are vital domains of a child's overall development, which is a basis for overall human development. ${ }^{59}$

A 10-item module was used to calculate the Early Child Development Index (ECDI). The primary purpose of the ECDI is to inform public policy regarding the developmental status of children in Turkmenistan. The index is based on selected milestones that children are expected to achieve by ages 3 and 4. The 10 items are used to determine if children are developmentally on track in four domains:

- Literacy-numeracy: Children are identified as being developmentally on track based on whether they can identify/name at least ten letters of the alphabet, whether they can read at least four simple, popular words, and whether they know the name and recognize the symbols of all numbers from 1 to 10 . If at least two of these are true, then the child is considered developmentally on track.
- Physical: If the child can pick up a small object with two fingers, like a stick or a rock from the ground and/or the mother/caretaker does not indicate that the child is sometimes too sick to play, then the child is regarded as being developmentally on track in the physical domain.
- Social-emotional: Children are considered to be developmentally on track if two of the following are true: If the child gets along well with other children, if the child does not kick, bite, or hit other children and if the child does not get distracted easily.
- Learning: If the child follows simple directions on how to do something correctly and/or when given something to do, is able to do it independently, then the child is considered to be developmentally on track in this domain.

ECDI is then calculated as the percentage of children who are developmentally on track in at least three of these four domains.

[^40]| Percentage of children age 36-59 months who are developmentally on track in literacy-numeracy, physical, social-emotional, and learning domains, and the early child development index score, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of children age 36-59 months who are developmentally on track for indicated domains |  |  |  | Early child development index score ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children age 36-59 months |
|  | Literacynumeracy | Physical | Social- <br> Emotional | Learning |  |  |
| Total | 19.1 | 97.7 | 93.9 | 94.6 | 90.9 | 1518 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 20.4 | 98.0 | 93.9 | 95.7 | 91.0 | 782 |
| Female | 17.8 | 97.3 | 93.9 | 93.5 | 90.7 | 736 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 12.4 | 98.5 | 90.0 | 99.4 | 89.6 | 148 |
| Ahal velayat | 24.9 | 98.9 | 97.7 | 97.8 | 96.7 | 223 |
| Balkan velayat | 25.6 | 93.9 | 97.6 | 95.6 | 89.6 | 83 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 5.5 | 97.8 | 92.4 | 93.0 | 86.9 | 356 |
| Lebap velayat | 11.5 | 98.7 | 94.0 | 97.7 | 93.2 | 324 |
| Mary velayat | 36.1 | 96.4 | 93.6 | 89.7 | 89.9 | 383 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 20.2 | 97.0 | 93.3 | 96.3 | 91.6 | 518 |
| Rural | 18.6 | 98.0 | 94.2 | 93.8 | 90.5 | 1000 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36-47 months | 8.2 | 95.8 | 92.4 | 90.5 | 87.2 | 758 |
| 48-59 months | 30.0 | 99.5 | 95.3 | 98.8 | 94.5 | 760 |
| Attendance to early childhood education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attending | 25.3 | 99.5 | 95.6 | 99.0 | 94.7 | 650 |
| Not attending | 14.5 | 96.3 | 92.6 | 91.4 | 88.0 | 867 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 3 |
| Secondary | 18.3 | 97.4 | 93.7 | 94.2 | 90.6 | 1301 |
| Primary vocational | 20.6 | 100.0 | 94.6 | 98.2 | 92.7 | 96 |
| Secondary vocational | 29.3 | 99.3 | 98.9 | 97.5 | 95.6 | 60 |
| Higher | 26.3 | 97.9 | 91.7 | 95.5 | 89.3 | 58 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 12.0 | 97.6 | 90.2 | 93.7 | 86.7 | 342 |
| Second | 14.5 | 98.2 | 94.2 | 91.6 | 89.5 | 326 |
| Middle | 26.2 | 97.7 | 97.0 | 94.8 | 93.9 | 315 |
| Fourth | 21.6 | 96.8 | 94.2 | 96.0 | 93.0 | 296 |
| Richest | 23.2 | 97.9 | 94.2 | 98.2 | 91.9 | 239 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 20.2 | 97.6 | 94.1 | 94.3 | 91.0 | 1326 |
| Uzbek | 7.0 | 98.1 | 94.0 | 98.1 | 92.0 | 136 |
| Russian | (40.4) | (97.2) | (87.2) | (97.2) | (89.9) | 29 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 27 |
| ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In Turkmenistan, 91 percent of children age 36-59 months are developmentally on track (Table CD.5). ECDI among boys and girls is equally high. ECDI is slightly higher in the older age group (95 percent among children age 48-59 months compared to 87 percent among those age 36-47 months),
since children mature more skills with increasing age. Also, slightly higher ECDI is seen in children attending to an early childhood education programme at 95 percent compared to 88 percent among those who are not attending. The analysis of four domains of child development shows that in three domains, physical, learning and social-emotional domain, the vast majority of children are developmentally on track ( 98 percent, 95 percent and 94 percent respectively). However, the figure is much lower for the literacy-numeracy domain (19 percent) ${ }^{60}$. The greatest differences in this domain of child development are by regions. Only 6 percent of children in Dashoguz velayat are developmentally on track in the literacy-numeracy domain compared with 36 percent in Mary velayat. Also, there are notable differences for this domain by age of child and attendance to early childhood education.

[^41]
## X. Literacy and Education

## Literacy among Young Women

The Youth Literacy Rate reflects the outcomes of primary education over the previous 10 years or so. As a measure of the effectiveness of the primary education system, it is often seen as a proxy measure of social progress and economic achievement. In MICS, since only a women's questionnaire was administered, the results are based only on females age 15-24. Literacy is assessed on the ability of the respondent to read a short simple statement or based on school attendance.

The percent literate is presented in Table ED.1. Almost all young women in Turkmenistan are literate (100 percent). Such a high level of literacy can be explained by implementation of the Law on Education according to which all citizens are guaranteed free and compulsory secondary education in Turkmenistan.

| Table ED.1: Literacy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women age 15-24 years who are literate, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage literate ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage not known | Number of women age 1524 years |
| Total | 99.6 | 0.0 | 2597 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.3 | 0.0 | 307 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 359 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 150 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 598 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 506 |
| Mary velayat | 98.9 | 0.0 | 677 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.8 | 0.0 | 951 |
| Rural | 99.6 | 0.0 | 1646 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | 6 |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2224 |
| Primary vocational | 100.0 | 0.0 | 196 |
| Secondary vocational | 100.0 | 0.0 | 90 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 0.0 | 76 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.8 | 0.0 | 1197 |
| 20-24 | 99.5 | 0.0 | 1400 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.4 | 0.0 | 497 |
| Second | 99.2 | 0.0 | 547 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 0.0 | 553 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 0.0 | 501 |
| Richest | 99.6 | 0.0 | 499 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.8 | 0.0 | 2281 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 0.0 | 194 |
| Russian | 100.0 | 0.0 | 78 |
| Other | (86.9) | (0.0) | 44 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.1; MDG indicator 2.3 - Literacy rate among young women <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown. <br> ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |

## School Readiness

Attendance to pre-school education is important for the readiness of children to school. Table ED. 2 shows the proportion of children in the first grade of primary school (regardless of age) who attended pre-school the previous year ${ }^{61}$. Overall, 44 percent of children who are currently attending the first grade of primary school were attending pre-school the previous year. The proportion among females is higher ( 50 percent) than among males ( 39 percent) - mainly due to the disparity between boys and girls in the Mary velayat, while almost 74 percent of the children in first grade in urban areas had attended pre-school the previous year compared to 26 percent among children living in rural areas. Regional differentials are also very notable; first graders in Ashgabat city are four times more likely to attend pre-school (81 percent) than their counterparts in Dashoguz velayat ( 21 percent). Socioeconomic status of the household has a positive correlation with school readiness while the indicator is only 19 percent among the poorest households, it increases to 84 percent among those children living in the richest households.

| Table ED.2: School readiness |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of children attending first grade of primary school who attended pre-school the previous year, Turkmenistan, 20152016 |  |  |
|  | Percentage of children attending first grade who attended preschool in previous year ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children attending first grade of primary school |
| Total | 44.1 | 649 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 39.1 | 340 |
| Female | 49.6 | 309 |
| Region |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 81.0 | 62 |
| Ahal velayat | 38.6 | 104 |
| Balkan velayat | 76.0 | 45 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 21.1 | 154 |
| Lebap velayat | 53.3 | 130 |
| Mary velayat | 39.1 | 155 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 73.7 | 243 |
| Rural | 26.3 | 405 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| Secondary | 40.2 | 544 |
| Primary vocational | (67.5) | 40 |
| Secondary vocational | (61.3) | 38 |
| Higher | (64.2) | 26 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |
| Poorest | 19.3 | 151 |
| Second | 29.5 | 132 |
| Middle | 35.4 | 125 |
| Fourth | 62.1 | 129 |
| Richest | 83.9 | 112 |
| Language of household head |  |  |
| Turkmen | 44.9 | 560 |
| Uzbek | 27.8 | 71 |
| Russian | (*) | 14 |
| Other | (*) | 4 |
| ( ) Figures that are based <br> (*) Figures that are based | ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.2 - School readiness ighted cases. <br> 25 unweighted cases. |  |

[^42]
## Primary and Secondary School Participation

Universal access to basic education and the completion of primary education by the world's children is one of the Sustainable Development Goals. Education is a vital prerequisite for combating poverty, empowering women, protecting children from hazardous and exploitative labour and sexual exploitation, promoting human rights and democracy, protecting the environment, and influencing population growth.

According to the Law on Education from 2012 (2013/2014 school year), children enter primary school at age 6 and enter secondary school at age 10 in Turkmenistan. There are 4 grades in primary school and 8 grades in secondary school (in total, 12 grades). According to the previous Law on Education, children entered primary school at age 7 and there were 3 grades in primary school and 8 grades in secondary school (in total, 11 grades). Having in mind changes in the Law on Education from 2012, separate calculations were applied for children born in 2006 or earlier and those born afterwards to take into account this change in the age eligibility criteria as well as the number of grades in primary and secondary school. In primary school, grades are referred to as grade 1 to grade 4 (grade 1 to grade 3 under the previous Law). For secondary school, grades are referred to as grade 5 to grade 12 (grade 4 to 11 under the previous Law). The school year typically runs from September of one year to June of the following year.

Of children who are of primary school entry age (age 6) ${ }^{62}$ in Turkmenistan, 94 percent are attending the first grade of primary school (Table ED.3). Differences by sex and urban-rural areas are small; however, some notable differentials are present by region. In Ashgabat city and Mary velayat entry of children to primary school is less timely ( 89 percent and 88 percent respectively) compared to other regions where the value of the indicator ranges from 95 percent in Ahal velayat to 99 percent in Dashoguz velayat. Correlation with socioeconomic status is not observed.

Table ED.3A in Appendix G. Primary school entry (calculated by age until September 2015), similarly to Table ED.3, provides data on primary school entry but does not include children who have reached age 6 (primary school entry age) after the start of the school year (1 September 2015).

[^43]| Table ED.3: Primary school entry |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of children of primary school entry age entering grade 1 (net intake rate), Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |
|  | Percentage of children of primary school entry age entering grade $1^{1}$ | Number of children of primary school entry age |
| Total | 94.2 | 659 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 94.9 | 345 |
| Female | 93.4 | 315 |
| Region |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 88.7 | 64 |
| Ahal velayat | 95.1 | 98 |
| Balkan velayat | 96.3 | 44 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 99.1 | 154 |
| Lebap velayat | 97.3 | 128 |
| Mary velayat | 88.4 | 172 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 92.8 | 250 |
| Rural | 95.0 | 409 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| Secondary | 93.9 | 557 |
| Primary vocational | (95.7) | 41 |
| Secondary vocational | (92.7) | 37 |
| Higher | (100.0) | 25 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |
| Poorest | 93.0 | 156 |
| Second | 97.5 | 131 |
| Middle | 93.9 | 129 |
| Fourth | 94.1 | 131 |
| Richest | 92.3 | 113 |
| Language of household head |  |  |
| Turkmen | 94.4 | 566 |
| Uzbek | 97.2 | 73 |
| Russian | (*) | 12 |
| Other | (*) | 8 |
| () Figures that are base <br> ( $^{\star}$ ) Figures that are base | cator 7.3 - Net intake rate in primary educatio ed cases. <br> nweighted cases. |  |

Table ED. 4 provides the percentage of children of primary school age 6 to 9 years who are attending primary or secondary school ${ }^{63}$ and those who are out of school. The percentage of children of primary school age that are attending school is very high (98 percent). Only 2 percent of children this age are out of school, though primarily due to a lower attendance rate ( 94 percent) for children age 6 , who are starting late in school. Almost all children age 7 to 9 years are attending primary school (from 99 percent to 100 percent). Therefore, attendance rate does not vary much with regard to background characteristics.

[^44]|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of children: |  |  |  | Number of children | Percentage of children: |  |  |  | Number of children | Percentage of children: |  |  |  | Number of children |
|  | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Not attending school or preschool | Attending preschool | Out of school ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Not attending school or preschool | Attending preschool | Out of school ${ }^{2}$ |  | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) ${ }^{1}$ | Not attending school or preschool | Attending preschool | Out of school ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Total | 98.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1168 | 97.7 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 1132 | 98.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 2300 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 97.8 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 136 | 96.6 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 122 | 97.2 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 258 |
| Ahal velayat | 99.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 156 | 97.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 163 | 98.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 319 |
| Balkan velayat | 97.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 77 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 76 | 98.5 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 152 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 302 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 254 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 556 |
| Lebap velayat | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 223 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 242 | 99.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 464 |
| Mary velayat | 95.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 274 | 95.5 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 275 | 95.7 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 549 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 97.9 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 442 | 97.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 438 | 97.9 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 880 |
| Rural | 98.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 726 | 97.7 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 694 | 98.2 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 1420 |
| Age ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 94.9 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 345 | 93.4 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 6.6 | 315 | 94.2 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 659 |
| 7 | 99.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 329 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 298 | 99.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 627 |
| 8 | 99.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 248 | 98.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 258 | 99.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 506 |
| 9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 246 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 261 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 507 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | - | - | - | - | 0 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1 |
| Secondary | 98.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 962 | 97.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 926 | 98.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1888 |
| Primary vocational | 97.7 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 78 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83 | 98.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 161 |
| Secondary vocational | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 80 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 77 | 97.4 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 157 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 49 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 94 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 98.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 264 | 96.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 267 | 97.7 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 530 |
| Second | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 238 | 96.9 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 220 | 98.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 458 |
| Middle | 97.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 206 | 98.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 212 | 98.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 419 |
| Fourth | 97.3 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 226 | 98.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 218 | 98.1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 444 |
| Richest | 98.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 234 | 97.8 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 215 | 98.1 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 449 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 98.4 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 997 | 97.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 958 | 98.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1955 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 133 | 98.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 119 | 99.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 252 |
| Russian | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 19 | (100.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 34 | 98.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 54 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 19 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 20 | (86.0) | (14.0) | (0.0) | (14.0) | 38 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The percentage of children of primary school age out of school are those not attending school and those attending preschool
 born in 2006 or earlier and those born afterwards to take into account this change in the age eligibility criteria
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
${ }^{*}$ ) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.
"-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator.

The secondary school net attendance ratio is presented in Table ED. $5^{64}$. The percentage of children of secondary school age (10-17 years) attending secondary school or higher is more than 98 percent. Only a small proportion of children (1 percent) of secondary school age are attending primary school and those are mainly children age 10 years ( 7 percent). Less than 1 percent of children are out of school - not attending a primary, secondary, or higher educational institution.

[^45]
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The percentage of children of secondary school age out of school are those who are not attending primary, secondary, or higher education
 children born in 2006 or earlier and those born afterwards to take into account this change in the age eligibility criteria.
${ }^{\circ}$ Children age 15 or higher at the time of the interview whose mothers were not living in the household
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

The percentage of children entering first grade who eventually reach the last grade of primary school is presented in Table ED.6. Table present findings only for children attending the 3-year primary school system (up to and including the 2015-2016 school year), and does not include children entering $4^{\text {th }}$ grade of primary school in the 2016/2017 school year. In Turkmenistan, of all children starting grade one, all will eventually reach grade 3. The MICS included only questions on school attendance in the current and previous year. Thus, the indicator is calculated synthetically by computing the cumulative probability of survival from the first to the last grade of primary school, as opposed to calculating the indicator for a real cohort which would need to be followed from the time a cohort of children entered primary school, up to the time they reached the last grade of primary school. Repeaters are excluded from the calculation of the indicator, because it is not known whether they will eventually graduate. As an example, the probability that a child will move from the first grade to the second grade is computed by dividing the number of children who moved from the first grade to the second grade (during the two consecutive school years covered by the survey) by the number of children who have moved from the first to the second grade plus the number of children who were in the first grade the previous school year, but dropped out. Both the numerator and denominator excludes children who repeated during the two school years under consideration.

| Percentage of children entering first grade of primary school who eventually reach the last grade of primary school (Survival rate to last grade of primary school), Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent attending grade 1 last school year who are in grade 2 this school year | Percent attending grade 2 last school year who are attending grade 3 this school year | Percent who reach grade 3 of those who enter grade $1^{1}$ |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mary velayat | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Primary | - | (*) | - |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Primary vocational | (100.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) |
| Secondary vocational | (100.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) |
| Higher | (100.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Second | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Uzbek | (100.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) |
| Russian | (*) | (100.0) | ${ }^{*}$ ) |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.6; MDG indicator 2.2 - Children reaching last grade of primary <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown. <br> () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. <br> "-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator. |  |  |  |

The primary school completion rate and transition rate to secondary education are presented in Table ED.7. The primary completion rate is the ratio of the total number of students, regardless of age, entering the last grade ${ }^{65}$ of primary school for the first time, to the number of children of the primary graduation age at the beginning of the current (or most recent) school year.

Table ED. 7 shows that the primary school completion rate is 103 percent. The value of the indicator is greater than 100 percent due to some of the children entering the last grade of primary school for the first time, being younger or older than the primary school graduation age.

Almost all children of the children who were attending the last grade of primary school in the previous school year were found to be attending the first grade of secondary school in the school year of the survey. The table also provides "effective" transition rate to secondary school which takes account of the presence of repeaters in the final grade of primary school. This indicator better reflects situations in which pupils repeat the last grade of primary education but eventually make the transition to the secondary level. The simple transition rate tends to underestimate pupils' progression to secondary school as it assumes that the repeaters never reach secondary school. The table shows that all children in the last grade of primary school are expected to move on to secondary school.

[^46]Table ED.7: Primary school completion and transition to secondary school

| Primary school completion rates and transition and effective transition rates to secondary school, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary school completion rate ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children of primary school completion age | Transition rate to secondary school | Number of children who were in the last grade of primary school the previous year | Effective transition rate to secondary school | Number of children who were in the last grade of primary school the previous year and are not repeating that grade in the current school year |
| Total | 102.8 | 507 | 99.8 | 496 | 100.0 | 494 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 102.6 | 246 | 99.5 | 251 | 100.0 | 250 |
| Female | 103.0 | 261 | 100.0 | 245 | 100.0 | 245 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 98.4 | 68 | (100.0) | 43 | (100.0) | 43 |
| Ahal velayat | 92.4 | 63 | 99.1 | 65 | 100.0 | 64 |
| Balkan velayat | 102.0 | 41 | 98.2 | 31 | 100.0 | 31 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 98.8 | 136 | 100.0 | 117 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Lebap velayat | 109.9 | 99 | 100.0 | 95 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Mary velayat | 110.9 | 100 | 100.0 | 145 | 100.0 | 145 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.6 | 211 | 99.7 | 194 | 100.0 | 194 |
| Rural | 104.3 | 296 | 99.8 | 301 | 100.0 | 301 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | - | 0 | (*) | 2 | (*) | 2 |
| Secondary | 102.8 | 405 | 99.7 | 395 | 100.0 | 394 |
| Primary vocational | (97.4) | 38 | (*) | 27 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 27 |
| Secondary vocational | (104.2) | 34 | 100.0 | 49 | 100.0 | 49 |
| Higher | (107.6) | 30 | (*) | 22 | (*) | 22 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 103.7 | 129 | 100.0 | 94 | 100.0 | 94 |
| Second | 104.5 | 83 | 100.0 | 107 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Middle | 102.2 | 82 | 99.4 | 94 | 100.0 | 93 |
| Fourth | 104.5 | 95 | 100.0 | 112 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Richest | 99.7 | 118 | 99.4 | 88 | 100.0 | 87 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 102.9 | 425 | 99.7 | 424 | 100.0 | 423 |
| Uzbek | (105.1) | 59 | (100.0) | 54 | (100.0) | 54 |
| Russian | (*) | 16 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 12 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 12 |
| Other | (*) | 7 | (*) | 6 | (*) | 6 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.7 - Primary completion rate
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 7.8 - Transition rate to secondary school
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown.
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.
"-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator.
The ratio of girls to boys attending primary and secondary education is provided in Table ED.8. These ratios are better known as the Gender Parity Index (GPI). Notice that the ratios included here are obtained from net attendance ratios rather than gross attendance ratios. The latter provide an
erroneous description of the GPI mainly because, in most cases, the majority of over-age children attending primary education tend to be boys.

The table shows that, in Turkmenistan, gender parity is 0.99 for primary school and 1.00 for secondary school.

Table ED.8: Education gender parity
Ratio of adjusted net attendance ratios of girls to boys, in primary and secondary school, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

| Ratio of adjusted net attendance ratios of girls to boys, in primary and secondary school, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Other ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.9; MDG indicator 3.1-Gender parity index (primary school)
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 7.10; MDG indicator 3.1-Gender parity index (secondary school)
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Children age 15 or higher at the time of the interview whose mothers were not living in the household na: not applicable
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.
"-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator.

The percentage of girls in the total out of school population, in both primary and secondary school, are provided in Table ED.9. As seen in Tables ED. 4 and ED.5, at the primary school level, the percentage of out-of-school children is generally very low ( 2 percent). At the secondary school level, the percentage of out-of-school children is even lower (less than 1 percent) so that the percentage of girls in the out-of-school population is also very low and due to the small number of cases it is not shown in the table.

Table ED.9: Out of school gender parity

| Percentage of girls in the total out of school population, in primary and secondary school, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary school |  |  |  | Secondary school |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of out of school children | Number of children of primary school age | Percentage of girls in the total out of school population of primary school age | Number of children of primary school age out of school | Percentage of out of school children | Number of children of secondary school age | Percentage of girls in the total out of school population of secondary school age | Number of children of secondary school age out of school |
| Total | 1.9 | 2300 | (58.5) | 44 | 0.5 | 3863 | (*) | 19 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 2.8 | 258 | (*) | 7 | 0.0 | 447 | - | - |
| Ahal velayat | 1.5 | 319 | (*) | 5 | 0.0 | 470 | - | - |
| Balkan velayat | 1.5 | 152 | (*) | 2 | 0.1 | 265 | (*) | 0 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 0.5 | 556 | (*) | 3 | 0.4 | 956 | (*) | 4 |
| Lebap velayat | 0.7 | 464 | (*) | 3 | 0.5 | 738 | (*) | 4 |
| Mary velayat | 4.3 | 549 | (*) | 24 | 1.1 | 986 | (*) | 11 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.1 | 880 | (*) | 19 | 0.3 | 1458 | (*) | 4 |
| Rural | 1.8 | 1420 | (*) | 25 | 0.6 | 2404 | (*) | 15 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | 1 | - | - | (*) | 6 | - | - |
| Secondary | 2.0 | 1888 | (58.0) | 38 | 0.5 | 2859 | (*) | 15 |
| Primary vocational | 1.1 | 161 | (*) | 2 | 0.7 | 244 | (*) | 2 |
| Secondary vocational | 2.6 | 157 | (*) | 4 | 0.0 | 435 |  | - |
| Higher | 0.0 | 94 | - | - | 0.0 | 226 | - | - |
| Cannot be determined ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | na | na | na | na | 2.5 | 91 | (*) | 2 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 2.3 | 530 | (*) | 12 | 1.5 | 831 | (*) | 13 |
| Second | 1.5 | 458 | (*) | 7 | 0.2 | 807 | (*) | 2 |
| Middle | 1.9 | 419 | (*) | 8 | 0.3 | 733 | (*) | 2 |
| Fourth | 1.9 | 444 | (*) | 8 | 0.2 | 719 | (*) | 1 |
| Richest | 1.9 | 449 | (*) | 9 | 0.2 | 772 | (*) | 1 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 1.8 | 1955 | (55.3) | 36 | 0.4 | 3286 | (*) | 12 |
| Uzbek | 0.8 | 252 | (*) | 2 | 0.0 | 392 | - | - |
| Russian | 1.3 | 54 | (*) | 1 | 1.0 | 127 | (*) | 1 |
| Other | (14.0) | 38 | (*) | 5 | 10.5 | 58 | (*) | 6 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Children age 15 or highe na: not applicable <br> ( ) Figures that are based <br> (*) Figures that are based <br> "-" denotes 0 unweighted | weighted cases the time of the <br> 25-49 unweigh fewer than 25 ee in that cell or | the category "No erview whose m <br> cases. veighted cases. the denominato | " for the backgroun hers were not living | haracteristic "Mother the household | cation" is not sh |  |  |  |

Figure ED. 1 brings together all of the attendance and progression related education indicators covered in this chapter, by sex. Information on attendance to early childhood education is also included, which was covered in Chapter 9, in Table CD.1. Attendance rates in both primary and secondary education for boys and girls are equally high. All children enrolled in the first grade of primary school complete primary education and move to secondary school. Gender differences are observed in school readiness and they are more favourable for girls.

Figure ED.1: Education indicators by sex, Turkmenistan, 20152016


## XI. Child Protection

## Birth Registration

A name and nationality is every child's right, enshrined in the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and other international treaties. Yet the births of around one in four children under the age of five worldwide have never been recorded. ${ }^{66}$ This lack of formal recognition by the State usually means that a child is unable to obtain a birth certificate. As a result, he or she may be denied health care or education. Later in life, the lack of official identification documents can mean that a child may enter into marriage or the labour market, or be conscripted into the armed forces, before the legal age. In adulthood, birth certificates may be required to obtain social assistance or a job in the formal sector, to buy or prove the right to inherit property, to vote and to obtain a passport. Registering children at birth is the first step in securing their recognition before the law, safeguarding their rights, and ensuring that any violation of these rights does not go unnoticed. ${ }^{67}$

Turkmenistan has adopted a new Family Code which regulates the procedure and deadlines for birth registration. According to the Code, parents or caretakers need to register the birth within one month. Indirect incentives for timely birth registration are one-off payments for the birth of the child and monthly universal allowances paid to the mothers/caretakers for child care for children under the age of 3 .

[^47]
## Table CP.1: Birth registration

Percentage of children under age 5 by whether birth is registered and percentage of children not registered whose
mothers/caretakers know how to register birth ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


The births of almost 100 percent of children under five years in Turkmenistan have been registered, indicating equal opportunities for birth registration (Table CP.1). The proportion of children whose birth certificates were seen by the interviewer is $94 \%$.

## Child Labour

Children around the world are routinely engaged in paid and unpaid forms of work that are not harmful to them. However, they are classified as child labourers when they are either too young to work or are involved in hazardous activities that may compromise their physical, mental, social or educational development. Article 32 (1) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child states: "States Parties recognize the right of the child to be protected from economic exploitation and from performing any work that is likely to be hazardous or to interfere with the child's education, or to be harmful to the child's health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral or social development".

Turkmenistan ratified the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, International Labour Organisation's Convention (ILO) №138 on Minimum Age for Admission to Employment (June 26, 1973) and ILO Convention №182 on the Worst Forms of Child Labour (June 17, 1999). Respective legislation establishes 16 years as the minimum acceptable age for employment of the child and prohibitions are in place to eliminate child labour in harmful or dangerous work environment (actions which by their nature or the circumstances in which they are committed, can harm the health, safety and moral development of the children).

Turkmenistan adopted the Law on guarantees of the rights of the child, on May 3, 2014. Article 38 of this Law prohibits the employment of any child under the age of 18 years for jobs with special working condition, as well as work which can be harmful to his/her health and moral development. This Article also prohibits to carry, lift or move heavy objects exceeding the limits established by the Turkmenistan's normative acts. It is also prohibited to involve any children in night work, overtime work and work on weekends, public holidays and commemorative days as well as sending a child on business trips. In addition, during the school year, it is not allowed to involve any child in agricultural activities or any other activities resulting in exclusion from the studies.

The child labour module was administered for children age 5-17 and includes questions on the type of work a child does and the number of hours he or she is engaged in it. Data are collected on both economic activities (paid or unpaid work for someone who is not a member of the household, work for a family farm or business) and domestic work (household chores such as cooking, cleaning or caring for children, as well as collecting firewood or fetching water). The module also collects information on hazardous working conditions. ${ }^{68,69}$

[^48]Table CP. 2 presents children's involvement in economic activities. The methodology of the MICS Indicator on Child Labour uses three age-specific thresholds for the number of hours a child can perform economic activity without it being classified as in child labour. A child that performed economic activities during the last week for more than the age-specific number of hours is classified as in child labour:

- age 5-11: 1 hour or more
- age 12-14: 14 hours or more
- age 15-17: 43 hours or more

In Turkmenistan, among children age 5-11 years less than 1 percent are involved in an economic activity for at least one hour. Among children age 12-14 years, 4 percent are involved in an economic activity for less than 14 hours, while less than 1 percent are involved for 14 hours or more. 7 percent of children age 15-17 years are involved in an economic activity for less than 43 hours while there are no children involved in economic activity for 43 hours or more.

Table CP.2: Children's involvement in economic activities

|  | Percentage of children age 5-11 years involved in economic activity for at least one hour | Number of children age 5-11 years | Percentage of children age 12-14 years involved in: |  | Number of children age 12-14 years | Percentage of children age 15-17 years involved in: |  | Number of children age 15-17 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Economic activity less than 14 hours | Economic activity for 14 hours or more |  | Economic activity less than 43 hours | Economic activity for 43 hours or more |  |
| Total | 0.4 | 3843 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 1387 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 1381 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.5 | 1992 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 773 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 666 |
| Female | 0.2 | 1851 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 614 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 715 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 0.0 | 433 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 160 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 125 |
| Ahal velayat | 0.0 | 530 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 165 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 183 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.0 | 234 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 84 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 105 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 0.3 | 857 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 361 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 357 |
| Lebap velayat | 1.5 | 766 | 12.6 | 1.4 | 250 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 254 |
| Mary velayat | 0.0 | 1024 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 367 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 356 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.0 | 1503 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 462 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 499 |
| Rural | 0.6 | 2340 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 925 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 882 |
| School attendance (including pre-school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.4 | 3495 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 1386 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 1329 |
| No | 0.0 | 347 | (*) | (*) | 1 | (18.4) | (0.0) | 52 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | 11 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Secondary | 0.4 | 3106 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 1095 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 959 |
| Primary vocational | 0.0 | 286 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 92 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 61 |
| Secondary vocational | 0.0 | 275 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 128 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 167 |
| Higher | 0.0 | 163 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Cannot be determined ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | na | na | na | na | na | 9.6 | 0.0 | 93 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.9 | 801 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 316 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 308 |
| Second | 0.1 | 777 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 270 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 313 |
| Middle | 0.8 | 708 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 327 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 250 |
| Fourth | 0.0 | 784 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 219 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 267 |
| Richest | 0.0 | 773 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 255 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 244 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 0.4 | 3276 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 1200 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 1165 |
| Uzbek | 0.0 | 381 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 140 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 155 |
| Russian | 0.0 | 114 | (0.0) | (0.0) | 33 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43 |
| Other | 0.0 | 72 | (*) | (*) | 15 | (*) | (*) | 18 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Children age 15 or higher at the time of the interview whose mothers were not living in the household
na: not applicable
Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown.
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.
"-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator

Table CP. 3 presents children's involvement in household chores. As for economic activity above, the methodology also uses age-specific thresholds for the number of hours a child can perform household chores without it being classified as child labour. A child that performed household chores during the last week for more than the age-specific number of hours is classified as in child labour:

- age 5-11 and age 12-14: 28 hours or more
- age 15-17: 43 hours or more

Girls are more likely to perform household chores than boys across all three age groups. Among children age 5-11 years, 39 percent are involved in household chores for less than 28 hours. For children age 1214 years that percentage is much higher and reaches 75 percent. Among children age 15-17 years, 83 percent are involved in household chores for less than 43 hours.

Table CP.3: Children's involvement in household chores
Percentage of children by involvement in household chores during the last week, according to age groups, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of children age 5-11 years involved in: |  | Number of children age 5-11 years | Percentage of children age 1214 years involved in: |  | Number of children age 12-14 years | Percentage of children age 15-17 years involved in: |  | Number of children age 15-17 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Household chores less than 28 hours | Household chores for 28 hours or more |  | Household chores less than 28 hours | Household chores for 28 hours or more |  | Household chores less than 43 hours | Household chores for 43 hours or more |  |
| Total | 39.1 | 0.0 | 3843 | 74.5 | 0.0 | 1387 | 82.6 | 0.0 | 1381 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 37.3 | 0.0 | 1992 | 66.4 | 0.0 | 773 | 76.2 | 0.0 | 666 |
| Female | 41.1 | 0.0 | 1851 | 84.7 | 0.0 | 614 | 88.4 | 0.0 | 715 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 55.4 | 0.0 | 433 | 86.4 | 0.0 | 160 | 93.8 | 0.0 | 125 |
| Ahal velayat | 56.7 | 0.0 | 530 | 99.6 | 0.0 | 165 | 96.1 | 0.0 | 183 |
| Balkan velayat | 39.6 | 0.0 | 234 | 68.1 | 0.0 | 84 | 76.2 | 0.0 | 105 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 9.9 | 0.0 | 857 | 44.6 | 0.0 | 361 | 60.6 | 0.0 | 357 |
| Lebap velayat | 35.0 | 0.0 | 766 | 73.4 | 0.0 | 250 | 84.6 | 0.0 | 254 |
| Mary velayat | 50.6 | 0.0 | 1024 | 89.6 | 0.0 | 367 | 94.1 | 0.0 | 356 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 42.8 | 0.0 | 1503 | 80.2 | 0.0 | 462 | 82.3 | 0.0 | 499 |
| Rural | 36.8 | 0.0 | 2340 | 71.7 | 0.0 | 925 | 82.7 | 0.0 | 882 |
| School attendance (including pre-school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 42.2 | 0.0 | 3495 | 74.5 | 0.0 | 1386 | 82.1 | 0.0 | 1329 |
| No | 7.9 | 0.0 | 347 | (*) | (*) | 1 | (93.3) | (0.0) | 52 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | 11 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Secondary | 38.3 | 0.0 | 3106 | 74.7 | 0.0 | 1095 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 959 |
| Primary vocational | 40.0 | 0.0 | 286 | 66.6 | 0.0 | 92 | 80.7 | 0.0 | 61 |
| Secondary vocational | 37.9 | 0.0 | 275 | 71.8 | 0.0 | 128 | 77.7 | 0.0 | 167 |
| Higher | 52.3 | 0.0 | 163 | 86.0 | 0.0 | 72 | 87.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Cannot be determined ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | na | na | na | na | na | na | 79.6 | 0.0 | 93 |
| Wealth index quintile 00.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 23.4 | 0.0 | 801 | 56.6 | 0.0 | 316 | 69.6 | 0.0 | 308 |
| Second | 41.9 | 0.0 | 777 | 69.1 | 0.0 | 270 | 84.3 | 0.0 | 313 |
| Middle | 38.6 | 0.0 | 708 | 83.6 | 0.0 | 327 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 250 |
| Fourth | 44.7 | 0.0 | 784 | 80.7 | 0.0 | 219 | 83.0 | 0.0 | 267 |
| Richest | 47.4 | 0.0 | 773 | 85.4 | 0.0 | 255 | 88.4 | 0.0 | 244 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 42.5 | 0.0 | 3276 | 77.6 | 0.0 | 1200 | 85.4 | 0.0 | 1165 |
| Uzbek | 8.7 | 0.0 | 381 | 46.1 | 0.0 | 140 | 57.2 | 0.0 | 155 |
| Russian | 50.7 | 0.0 | 114 | (81.8) | (0.0) | 33 | 91.9 | 0.0 | 43 |
| Other | 28.9 | 0.0 | 72 | (*) | (*) | 15 | (*) | (*) | 18 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Children age 15 or higher at the time of the interview whose mothers were not living in the household na: not applicable <br> Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Mother's education" is not shown. <br> ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. <br> "-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table CP. 4 combines the children working and performing household chores at or above and below the age-specific thresholds as detailed in the previous tables, as well as those children reported working under hazardous conditions, into the total child labour indicator.

According to the 2015-2016 MICS Turkmenistan data, the percentage of children age 5-17 years involved in child labour is less than 1 percent. At the same time, involvement of children in household chores, for all age groups, is below age-specific threshold that would classify the activities as child labour.

The percentage of children involved in household chores below age-specific threshold increases with the age of the children and it is higher among children attending school than among those not attending school (58 percent compared to 19 percent).

## Table CP.4: Child labour

Percentage of children age 5-17 years by involvement in economic activities or household chores during the last week, percentage working under hazardous conditions during the last week, and percentage engaged in child labour during the last week,

## Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

 shown.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

## Child Discipline

Teaching children self-control and acceptable behavior is an integral part of child discipline in all cultures. Positive parenting practices involve providing guidance on how to handle emotions or conflicts in manners that encourage judgment and responsibility and preserve children's self-esteem, physical and psychological integrity and dignity. Too often however, children are raised through the use of punitive methods that rely on the use of physical force or verbal intimidation to obtain desired behaviors. Studies ${ }^{70}$ have found that exposing children to violent discipline have harmful consequences, which range from immediate impacts to long-term harm that children carry forward into adult life. Violence hampers children's development, learning abilities and school performance; it inhibits positive relationships, provokes low self-esteem, emotional distress and depression; and, at times, it leads to risk taking and self-harm.

In the MICS, respondents to the household questionnaire were asked a series of questions on the methods adults in the household used to discipline a selected child during the past month. ${ }^{69}$

Based on the findings of the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS, 37 percent of children age 1-14 years were subjected to at least one form of psychological or physical punishment by household members during the past month (MICS indicator 8.3 - Violent discipline), while 5 percent of respondents to the household questionnaire believe that physical punishment is a necessary part of child-rearing.

The observations during the fieldwork monitoring and the analysis of initial data during the first month of fieldwork implementation revealed unexpected patterns in the child disciplining data. Therefore, the survey team immediately undertook certain measures such as revisiting the translation of the questions in Turkmen, putting additional emphasis on confidentiality during the administration of the household questionnaire and providing additional guidance to the fieldwork teams in relation to the child disciplining questions, which contributed to improved data quality and consistency. However, considering the variations in data before and after those measures (the prevalence level being consistently and considerably lower before the measures were taken) as well as the potential role of the social desirability bias, with respondents under-reporting disciplinary methods they consider undesirable, the tables showing findings on child discipline and attitudes towards physical punishment are not shown in this report, and it is recommended that estimates presented above are used with caution and to inform policy and program decisions only in conjunction with data from other sources.

[^49]
## Early Marriage

Marriage ${ }^{71}$ before the age of 18 is a reality for many young girls. In many parts of the world parents encourage the marriage of their daughters while they are still children in hopes that the marriage will benefit them both financially and socially, while also relieving financial burdens on the family. In actual fact, child marriage is a violation of human rights, compromising the development of girls and often resulting in early pregnancy and social isolation, with little education and poor vocational training reinforcing the gendered nature of poverty. ${ }^{72}$ The right to 'free and full' consent to a marriage is recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights - with the recognition that consent cannot be 'free and full' when one of the parties involved is not sufficiently mature to make an informed decision about a life partner. Closely related to the issue of child marriage is the age at which girls become sexually active. Women who are married before the age of 18 tend to have more children than those who marry later in life. Pregnancy related deaths are known to be a leading cause of mortality for both married and unmarried girls between the ages of 15 and 19, particularly among the youngest of this cohort. There is evidence to suggest that girls who marry at young ages are more likely to marry older men which puts them at increased risk of HIV infection. The demand for this young wife to reproduce and the power imbalance resulting from the age differential lead to very low condom use among such couples. ${ }^{73}$

According to the Law of Turkmenistan "On Amendments to the Code on Family and Marriage" (Article 16) from 1998, age for marriage was reduced to the age of 16. On April 1, 2012 the new Family Code of Turkmenistan came into force according to which the age for marriage was raised to 18 years (Article 15) which corresponds with international standards.

The percentage of women married at or before ages 15 and 18 years are provided in Table CP.5. In Turkmenistan, the percentage of women age 15-49 years who were married/in union before age 15 is less than 1 percent. 6 percent of women age 20-49 years were married/in union before age 18 . Women from this age group with higher education are less likely to be married before age 18 years (less than 1 percent) compared to women with secondary education ( 7 percent). The indicator range from 4 percent in Mary velayat to 10 percent for Lebap velayat.

6 percent of young women age 15-19 years are currently married. This proportion varies slightly between urban ( 3 percent) and rural ( 8 percent).

[^50]Table CP.5: Early marriage
Percentage of women age 15-49 years who first married or entered a marital union before their 15th birthday, percentages of women age 20-49 years who first married or entered a marital union before their 15th and 18th birthdays and percentage of women age 15-19 years currently married or in union, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Women age 15-49 years |  | Women age 20-49 years |  |  | Women age 15-19 years |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage married before age $15^{1}$ | Number of women age 15-49 years | Percentage married before age 15 | Percentage married before age $18^{2}$ | Number of women age 2049 years | Percentage currently married/in union ${ }^{3}$ | Number of women age 15- 19 years |
| Total | 0.2 | 7618 | 0.2 | 5.9 | 6421 | 6.0 | 1197 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 0.1 | 975 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 831 | 4.4 | 144 |
| Ahal velayat | 0.3 | 1007 | 0.3 | 5.8 | 874 | 7.1 | 133 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.3 | 482 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 407 | 0.7 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 0.2 | 1779 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 1470 | 6.8 | 310 |
| Lebap velayat | 0.0 | 1455 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 1235 | 7.0 | 220 |
| Mary velayat | 0.1 | 1920 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 1604 | 6.1 | 315 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.2 | 3006 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 2574 | 2.8 | 432 |
| Rural | 0.2 | 4612 | 0.1 | 5.8 | 3847 | 7.9 | 765 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.1 | 1197 | na | na | na | 6.0 | 1197 |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 1400 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 1400 | na | na |
| 25-29 | 0.2 | 1351 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 1351 | na | na |
| 30-34 | 0.2 | 1117 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 1117 | na | na |
| 35-39 | 0.2 | 946 | 0.2 | 8.3 | 946 | na | na |
| 40-44 | 0.2 | 835 | 0.2 | 5.8 | 835 | na | na |
| 45-49 | 0.3 | 772 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 772 | na | na |
| Education ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | 8 | (*) | (*) | 6 | (*) | 3 |
| Secondary | 0.2 | 6088 | 0.2 | 7.0 | 4969 | 5.9 | 1119 |
| Primary vocational | 0.1 | 601 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 558 | (13.5) | 43 |
| Secondary vocational | 0.0 | 527 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 510 | (*) | 17 |
| Higher | 0.0 | 387 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 371 | (*) | 16 |
| Wealth index quintile 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.1 | 1521 | 0.1 | 5.8 | 1249 | 5.6 | 272 |
| Second | 0.1 | 1502 | 0.1 | 5.9 | 1252 | 7.2 | 250 |
| Middle | 0.3 | 1495 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 1267 | 10.4 | 228 |
| Fourth | 0.1 | 1490 | 0.2 | 7.8 | 1269 | 4.3 | 220 |
| Richest | 0.1 | 1610 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 1384 | 2.6 | 227 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 0.1 | 6563 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 5523 | 5.8 | 1040 |
| Uzbek | 0.2 | 623 | 0.2 | 9.3 | 526 | 11.8 | 97 |
| Russian | 0.3 | 315 | 0.3 | 10.0 | 274 | (2.4) | 41 |
| Other | 0.0 | 117 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 98 | (*) | 19 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 8.4 - Marriage before age 15 <br> ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 8.5 - Marriage before age 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| na. no ${ }^{3}$ MICS indicator 8.6 - Young women age 15-19 years currently married or in union |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown. <br> ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table CP. 6 presents the proportion of women who were first married or entered into a marital union before age 15 and 18 by area and age groups. Examining the percentages married before age 15 and 18 by different age groups allow for trends to be observed in early marriage over time. Data shows that the prevalence of the proportion of women married or in union by age 18 has increased and reached its maximum by the mid-1990-s, and then gradually declined. Thus, 4 percent of women age 45-49 years were first married/in union by age 18 and increasing to 8 percent among women age 35-39 years compared to 6 percent of women age 20-24 years (Figure CP.1).

Table CP.6: Trends in early marriage
Percentage of women who were first married or entered into a marital union before age 15 and 18, by area and age groups, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Urban |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  | All |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of women married before age 15 | Number of women age 1549 years | Percentage of women married before age 18 | Number of women age 2049 years | Percentage of women married before age 15 | Number of women age 1549 years | Percentage of women married before age 18 | Number of women age 2049 years | Percentage of women married before age 15 | Number of women age 1549 years | Percentage of women married before age 18 | Number of women age 2049 years |
| Total | 0.2 | 3006 | 6.1 | 2574 | 0.2 | 4612 | 5.8 | 3847 | 0.2 | 7618 | 5.9 | 6421 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 432 | na | na | 0.2 | 765 | na | na | 0.1 | 1197 | na | na |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 519 | 6.3 | 519 | 0.0 | 881 | 5.3 | 881 | 0.0 | 1400 | 5.7 | 1400 |
| 25-29 | 0.3 | 474 | 4.5 | 474 | 0.1 | 876 | 6.0 | 876 | 0.2 | 1351 | 5.5 | 1351 |
| 30-34 | 0.4 | 469 | 6.8 | 469 | 0.1 | 648 | 6.4 | 648 | 0.2 | 1117 | 6.6 | 1117 |
| 35-39 | 0.2 | 398 | 7.5 | 398 | 0.1 | 548 | 8.9 | 548 | 0.2 | 946 | 8.3 | 946 |
| 40-44 | 0.0 | 378 | 7.7 | 378 | 0.3 | 456 | 4.3 | 456 | 0.2 | 835 | 5.8 | 835 |
| 45-49 | 0.2 | 335 | 3.8 | 335 | 0.3 | 438 | 3.4 | 438 | 0.3 | 772 | 3.6 | 772 |
| na: not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure CP.1: Early marriage among women, Turkmenistan, 20152016


Another component is the spousal age difference with the indicator being the percentage of married/in union women 10 or more years younger than their current spouse. Table CP. 7 presents the results of the age difference between husbands and wives. The results show that there are some important spousal age differences in Turkmenistan. Among currently married/in union women age 20-24 years, about 3 percent are married/in union with a man who is older by ten years or more. Such marriages are more common for women from the poorest wealth index quintile.

For currently married/in union women age 15-19 years, the corresponding figure is also about 3 percent. The small proportion of women age 15-19 years who are married or in union does not allow analysis by background characteristics.

| Table CP.7: Spousal age difference |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of women currently married/in union age 15-19 and 20-24 years according to the age difference with their husband or partner, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of currently married/in union women age 15-19 years whose husband or partner is: |  |  |  |  | Number of women age 1519 years currently married/ in union | Percentage of currently married/in union women age 20-24 years whose husband or partner is: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women age 2024 years currently married/ in union |
|  | Younger | $0-4$ <br> years older | $5-9$ <br> years older | $\begin{gathered} 10+ \\ \text { years } \\ \text { older }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Total |  | Younger | $\begin{gathered} 0-4 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { older } \end{gathered}$ | 5-9 <br> years <br> older | $\begin{gathered} 10+ \\ \text { years } \\ \text { older }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Husband/Partner's age unknown | Total |  |
| Total | 4.5 | 74.9 | 17.3 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 72 | 16.3 | 64.5 | 16.4 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 681 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 6 | 19.1 | 59.9 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 79 |
| Ahal velayat | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 10 | 20.1 | 58.4 | 17.9 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 116 |
| Balkan velayat | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 0 | 16.4 | 71.9 | 10.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 28 |
| Dashoguz velayat | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 21 | 9.3 | 66.1 | 20.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Lebap velayat | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 15 | 15.7 | 62.4 | 18.2 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Mary velayat | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 19 | 19.8 | 71.0 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 149 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 12 | 16.4 | 62.0 | 19.1 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 246 |
| Rural | (5.4) | (75.5) | (15.2) | (3.9) | 100.0 | 60 | 16.2 | 66.0 | 14.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 434 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 4.5 | 74.9 | 17.3 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 72 | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 20-24 | na | na | na | na | na | na | 16.3 | 64.5 | 16.4 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 681 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 0 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 1 |
| Secondary | 4.9 | 74.2 | 17.2 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 66 | 16.4 | 64.3 | 16.3 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 579 |
| Primary vocational | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 6 | 8.5 | 69.8 | 19.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 59 |
| Secondary vocational | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 0 | (23.5) | (61.5) | (14.9) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 22 |
| Higher | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 1 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 18 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 15 | 22.3 | 57.2 | 14.1 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Second | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 18 | 15.0 | 68.1 | 15.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 164 |
| Middle | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 24 | 12.0 | 68.5 | 17.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 153 |
| Fourth | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 10 | 18.4 | 62.6 | 16.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Richest | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 6 | 15.9 | 63.3 | 18.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 124 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 5.4 | 71.4 | 19.3 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 60 | 17.0 | 63.9 | 15.9 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 592 |
| Uzbek | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 11 | (12.2) | (69.3) | (18.5) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 61 |
| Russian | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 1 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 12 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 0 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 16 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 8.8a - Spousal age difference (among women age 15-19) <br> ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 8.8b - Spousal age difference (among women age 20-24) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown. <br> ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Attitudes toward Domestic Violence

MICS assessed the attitudes of women age 15-49 years towards wife beating by asking the respondents whether they think that husbands are justified to hit or beat their wives in a variety of situations. The purpose of these questions are to capture the social justification of violence (in contexts where women have a lower status in society) as a disciplinary action when a woman does not comply with certain expected gender roles.

The responses to these questions can be found in Table CP. 8 for women. Overall, 26 percent of women in Turkmenistan feel that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife in at least one of the five situations. Women who justify a husband's violence, in most cases agree and justify violence in instances when a wife neglects the children ( 20 percent), or argues with him ( 12 percent) or if she demonstrates her autonomy, exemplified by going out without telling her husband ( 8 percent). Around 3 percent of women believe that wife-beating is justified if the wife refuses to have sex with the husband and a similar percentage if she burns the food. Justification in any of the five situations is more present among those less educated, and also currently married women. The most unfavorable picture is noted in Ahal velayat where around half of women (49 percent) believe a husband is justified in beating his wife in at least one of the five situations while the lowest percent age of women who belive this is found in the Balkan velayat (15 percent).

The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS included a survey-specific question on whether women feel that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife if she does not respect her husband's parents. It appears that 27 percent of women justify the husband in this situation. In general, including this surveyspecific question, 35 percent of women believe a husband is justified in beating his wife in at least one of the six situations.

Table CP.8: Attitudes toward domestic violence

|  | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women age 15-49 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | If she goes out without telling him | If she neglects the children | If she argues with him | If she refuses sex with him | If she burns the food | For any of these five reasons ${ }^{1}$ | If she does not respect her husband's parents | For any of these six reasons ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Total | 7.7 | 20.4 | 12.1 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 26.3 | 26.8 | 35.0 | 7618 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 14.0 | 29.3 | 13.8 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 34.0 | 21.1 | 37.8 | 975 |
| Ahal velayat | 11.4 | 29.9 | 31.3 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 49.3 | 63.5 | 69.6 | 1007 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.9 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 15.2 | 22.2 | 28.4 | 482 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 10.8 | 19.8 | 13.8 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 23.2 | 36.1 | 37.6 | 1779 |
| Lebap velayat | 3.7 | 17.9 | 9.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 19.5 | 14.0 | 21.4 | 1455 |
| Mary velayat | 4.6 | 17.1 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 21.2 | 12.8 | 24.9 | 1920 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.7 | 21.8 | 12.3 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 27.9 | 23.5 | 35.2 | 3006 |
| Rural | 7.7 | 19.5 | 11.9 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 25.3 | 29.0 | 34.9 | 4612 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 4.5 | 13.7 | 7.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 17.0 | 19.3 | 25.0 | 1197 |
| 20-24 | 8.7 | 18.1 | 11.6 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 24.8 | 29.6 | 36.6 | 1400 |
| 25-29 | 8.1 | 22.4 | 12.9 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 28.3 | 30.5 | 39.2 | 1351 |
| 30-34 | 10.1 | 25.7 | 15.6 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 32.8 | 30.7 | 40.3 | 1117 |
| 35-39 | 8.2 | 23.7 | 12.3 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 29.4 | 27.0 | 36.2 | 946 |
| 40-44 | 5.9 | 18.7 | 11.4 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 25.1 | 22.2 | 31.9 | 835 |
| 45-49 | 8.6 | 21.9 | 13.7 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 28.2 | 26.4 | 34.4 | 772 |
| Marital/Union status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 9.0 | 23.2 | 14.4 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 30.4 | 30.0 | 39.0 | 4887 |
| Formerly married/in union | 7.4 | 19.6 | 10.2 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 21.6 | 20.6 | 29.2 | 491 |
| Never married/in union | 5.1 | 14.7 | 7.5 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 18.5 | 21.2 | 27.5 | 2240 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Secondary | 8.3 | 21.2 | 12.8 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 27.7 | 29.1 | 37.1 | 6088 |
| Primary vocational | 6.4 | 20.7 | 9.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 24.1 | 19.9 | 29.2 | 601 |
| Secondary vocational | 5.4 | 17.9 | 9.7 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 21.6 | 19.2 | 27.9 | 527 |
| Higher | 3.7 | 11.8 | 8.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 14.9 | 13.9 | 21.3 | 387 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 10.8 | 20.1 | 12.6 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 24.2 | 29.5 | 33.7 | 1521 |
| Second | 7.6 | 17.6 | 11.1 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 22.3 | 25.2 | 30.4 | 1502 |
| Middle | 5.4 | 16.2 | 12.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 24.5 | 28.2 | 34.0 | 1495 |
| Fourth | 5.9 | 24.1 | 10.8 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 30.3 | 28.7 | 40.5 | 1490 |
| Richest | 8.8 | 23.9 | 13.8 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 30.1 | 22.9 | 36.3 | 1610 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 7.8 | 21.0 | 12.4 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 27.5 | 27.4 | 36.1 | 6563 |
| Uzbek | 10.1 | 19.0 | 13.4 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 20.6 | 32.3 | 33.6 | 623 |
| Russian | 1.5 | 12.4 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 14.4 | 7.1 | 16.3 | 315 |
| Other | 10.0 | 17.7 | 12.6 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 22.7 | 22.3 | 28.1 | 117 |

[^51]
## ${ }^{2}$ Survey-specific indicator 8.S1-Attitudes towards domestic violence (including additional circumstance)

Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. $_{\text {t }}$

## Children's Living Arrangements

The CRC recognizes that "the child, for the full and harmonious development of his or her personality, should grow up in a family environment, in an atmosphere of happiness, love and understanding". Millions of children around the world grow up without the care of their parents for several reasons, including due to the premature death of the parents or their migration for work. In most cases, these children are cared for by members of their extended families, while in others, children may be living in households other than their own, as live-in domestic workers for instance. Understanding the children's living arrangements, including the composition of the households where they live and the relationships with their primary caregivers, is key to design targeted interventions aimed at promoting child's care and wellbeing.

Table CP. 9 presents information on the living arrangements and orphanhood status of children under age 18. In Turkmenistan, 89 percent of children age 0-17 years live with both their parents, 8 percent live with mothers only and 2 percent live with fathers only. 1 percent of children live with neither of their biological parents while both of them are alive. About 6 percent live with mothers only while the biological father is alive.

About 4 percent of children have lost one or both parents. As expected, older children are less likely than younger children to live with both parents and slightly more likely than younger children to have lost one or both parents.

There are only small differences between urban and rural areas, among the regions and by wealth index in terms of orphanhood. The lowest percentage of children living with both parents is noted in households where the language of the household head is Russian ( 54 percent).

Children who are orphaned may be at increased risk of neglect or exploitation when the parents are not available to assist them. Monitoring the variations in different outcomes for orphans and comparing them to their peers (school attendance for children age 10-14 years) gives us a measure of how well communities and governments are responding to their needs.

In Turkmenistan, less than 1 percent of children age 10-14 years are orphans. MICS indicator 9.16 Ratio of school attendance of orphans to school attendance of non-orphans is not shown because the total number of orphan children age 10-14 years is lower than 25 unweighted cases.

Table CP.9: Children's living arrangements and orphanhood
Percent distribution of children age 0-17 years according to living arrangements, percentage of children age 0-17 years not living with a biological parent and percentage of children who have one or both parents dead, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Living with both parents | Living with neither biological parent |  |  |  | Living with mother only |  | Living with father only |  | Missing information on father/ mother | Total | Living with neither biological parent ${ }^{1}$ | One or both parents dead ${ }^{2}$ | Number of children age 017 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Only father alive | Only mother alive | Both alive | Both dead | Father alive | Father dead | Mother alive | Mother dead |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 89.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 10865 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 89.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 5599 |
| Female | 89.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 5266 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 81.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 12.6 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 1184 |
| Ahal velayat | 92.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 1508 |
| Balkan velayat | 89.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 4.6 | 667 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 89.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 2661 |
| Lebap velayat | 86.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2158 |
| Mary velayat | 93.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 2686 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 82.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 10.7 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 3983 |
| Rural | 93.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 6882 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 3979 |
| 0-2 | 93.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2402 |
| 3-4 | 92.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1577 |
| 5-9 | 90.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 3015 |
| 10-14 | 85.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 2347 |
| 15-17 | 82.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 8.4 | 1523 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 91.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 2392 |
| Second | 92.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 2265 |
| Middle | 95.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 2143 |
| Fourth | 85.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 8.3 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 2076 |
| Richest | 80.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 1988 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 90.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 9352 |
| Uzbek | 87.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 1053 |
| Russian | 54.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 33.0 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 9.1 | 273 |
| Other | 91.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 186 |

${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 8.14 - Prevalence of children with one or both parents dead

The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS included a simple measure of one particular aspect of migration related to what is termed children left behind, i.e. for whom one or both parents have moved abroad. While the amount of literature is growing, the long-term effects of the benefits of remittances versus the potential adverse psycho-social effects are not yet conclusive, as there is somewhat conflicting evidence available as to the effects on children.

Table CP. 10 shows information about children with parents living abroad. As expected in Turkmenistan, only 1 percent of children age 0-17 have one or both parents living abroad.


## XII. HIV/AIDS

## Knowledge about HIV Transmission and Misconceptions about HIV

One of the most important prerequisites for reducing the rate of HIV infection is accurate knowledge of how HIV is transmitted and strategies for preventing transmission. Correct information is the first step towards raising awareness and giving adolescents and young people the tools to protect themselves from infection. Misconceptions about HIV are common and can confuse adolescents and young people and hinder prevention efforts. The UN General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS (UNGASS) called on governments to improve the knowledge and skills of young people to protect themselves from HIV. The indicators to measure this goal as well as the MDG of reducing HIV infections by half include improving the level of knowledge of HIV and its prevention, and changing behaviours to prevent further spread of the disease. HIV module(s) were administered to women 15-49 years of age. Please note that the questions in this module often refer to "the AIDS virus". This terminology is used strictly as a method of data collection to aid respondents, preferred over the correct terminology of "HIV" that is used here in reporting the results, where appropriate.

Table HA.1: Knowledge about HIV transmission, misconceptions about HIV, and comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission

percentage who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  |  | Percentage can b | know tran revented by |  | Percentage who know | Perc | ntage who kn | that HIV | not be transm | tted by: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who have heard of AIDS | Having only one faithful uninfected sex partner | Using a condom every time | Both | healthy looking person can be HIVpositive | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mosquito } \\ \text { bites } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Supernatural means | Sharing food with someone with HIV | Hugging or shaking hands with someone with HIV | Kissing with someone with HIV | the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy looking person can be HIV-positive | ```Percentage with comprehensive knowledge }\mp@subsup{}{}{1,a``` | Number of women age 15- $\qquad$ 49 |
| Total | 80.7 | 69.7 | 60.6 | 56.4 | 62.2 | 64.8 | 77.0 | 67.9 | 73.8 | 60.2 | 43.9 | 35.0 | 7618 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 90.6 | 84.5 | 81.9 | 79.5 | 75.3 | 43.6 | 90.1 | 83.0 | 88.0 | 61.0 | 31.7 | 30.0 | 975 |
| Ahal velayat | 61.5 | 49.9 | 46.2 | 41.0 | 57.2 | 52.0 | 56.6 | 58.8 | 58.5 | 53.5 | 45.1 | 35.3 | 1007 |
| Balkan velayat | 67.5 | 57.0 | 44.0 | 38.1 | 57.7 | 56.6 | 63.3 | 54.0 | 59.1 | 47.7 | 39.7 | 19.2 | 482 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 95.0 | 72.3 | 64.6 | 56.7 | 78.9 | 75.8 | 86.2 | 72.7 | 82.0 | 77.3 | 58.7 | 43.0 | 1779 |
| Lebap velayat | 94.9 | 85.8 | 65.2 | 64.0 | 51.5 | 83.2 | 93.2 | 73.1 | 85.3 | 51.3 | 33.6 | 26.6 | 1455 |
| Mary velayat | 65.0 | 61.1 | 54.3 | 51.4 | 51.8 | 60.1 | 63.8 | 60.0 | 61.9 | 57.3 | 44.8 | 40.3 | 1920 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 86.6 | 78.2 | 71.0 | 67.3 | 68.1 | 63.9 | 84.6 | 76.2 | 81.7 | 63.6 | 44.0 | 36.7 | 3006 |
| Rural | 76.8 | 64.2 | 53.9 | 49.3 | 58.3 | 65.4 | 72.1 | 62.4 | 68.6 | 58.0 | 43.9 | 33.9 | 4612 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 ${ }^{1}$ | 72.0 | 57.6 | 48.3 | 44.5 | 53.2 | 55.6 | 67.3 | 58.4 | 63.8 | 50.9 | 35.2 | 25.4 | 2597 |
| 15-19 | 67.8 | 50.3 | 39.5 | 36.2 | 47.9 | 50.2 | 62.5 | 52.1 | 58.5 | 45.4 | 28.9 | 18.6 | 1197 |
| 20-24 | 75.6 | 63.8 | 55.9 | 51.6 | 57.7 | 60.2 | 71.4 | 63.7 | 68.3 | 55.5 | 40.5 | 31.2 | 1400 |
| 25-29 | 83.7 | 73.5 | 63.8 | 59.6 | 64.1 | 69.0 | 80.9 | 71.0 | 78.1 | 62.9 | 46.4 | 37.9 | 1351 |
| 30-39 | 86.8 | 77.2 | 68.6 | 63.7 | 67.7 | 70.6 | 83.4 | 73.3 | 79.8 | 65.7 | 48.7 | 39.6 | 2063 |
| 40-49 | 84.3 | 76.5 | 67.6 | 63.7 | 67.9 | 68.6 | 81.4 | 73.6 | 78.6 | 66.0 | 50.0 | 42.1 | 1607 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 85.3 | 75.9 | 67.2 | 62.5 | 66.6 | 69.6 | 82.0 | 73.0 | 79.1 | 65.2 | 48.6 | 40.0 | 5378 |
| Never married/in union | 69.5 | 54.8 | 44.7 | 41.8 | 51.5 | 53.3 | 65.2 | 55.5 | 61.0 | 48.2 | 32.7 | 23.0 | 2240 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Secondary | 77.3 | 65.0 | 56.2 | 51.6 | 58.2 | 62.3 | 73.2 | 63.5 | 69.8 | 56.8 | 41.1 | 31.9 | 6088 |
| Primary vocational | 93.5 | 84.9 | 70.2 | 66.8 | 68.8 | 73.0 | 91.3 | 79.5 | 85.5 | 67.3 | 47.0 | 37.0 | 601 |
| Secondary vocational | 94.7 | 90.3 | 82.7 | 80.5 | 81.9 | 76.9 | 93.0 | 88.3 | 91.5 | 76.9 | 59.8 | 53.2 | 527 |
| Higher | 98.3 | 94.9 | 88.0 | 86.3 | 90.3 | 77.2 | 96.8 | 93.3 | 96.3 | 82.0 | 63.6 | 56.9 | 387 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 82.6 | 63.6 | 53.6 | 47.8 | 61.4 | 67.0 | 75.8 | 61.1 | 69.6 | 58.5 | 42.1 | 29.9 | 1521 |
| Second | 76.4 | 65.5 | 53.8 | 49.6 | 55.5 | 66.9 | 72.0 | 62.9 | 69.5 | 57.4 | 42.9 | 33.4 | 1502 |
| Middle | 71.6 | 62.5 | 52.1 | 48.6 | 53.5 | 61.7 | 68.7 | 61.3 | 66.2 | 55.0 | 42.0 | 33.5 | 1495 |
| Fourth | 82.5 | 73.3 | 67.4 | 62.6 | 64.8 | 64.3 | 79.7 | 72.1 | 76.4 | 62.1 | 46.6 | 39.5 | 1490 |
| Richest | 89.6 | 82.8 | 75.2 | 72.5 | 74.6 | 63.9 | 88.2 | 81.0 | 86.3 | 67.4 | 46.1 | 38.6 | 1610 |
| Language of household | head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 79.0 | 68.0 | 58.6 | 54.6 | 60.0 | 63.7 | 75.5 | 66.3 | 72.1 | 57.6 | 42.0 | 33.2 | 6563 |
| Uzbek | 95.7 | 79.2 | 71.6 | 64.0 | 75.7 | 77.6 | 89.1 | 76.2 | 85.8 | 81.4 | 59.7 | 46.3 | 623 |
| Russian | 97.8 | 95.0 | 89.9 | 87.5 | 86.8 | 72.3 | 96.9 | 92.5 | 95.5 | 82.1 | 58.8 | 54.2 | 315 |
| Other | 47.7 | 44.2 | 35.7 | 33.6 | 43.1 | 38.9 | 45.4 | 42.6 | 46.8 | 36.4 | 28.7 | 22.3 | 117 |


 age 15-49 years in Turkmenistan according to this survey)
D Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

One indicator which is both an MDG and the Global AIDS Response Progress Reporting (GARPR; formerly UNGASS) indicator is the percentage of young people who have comprehensive and correct knowledge of HIV prevention and transmission. This is defined as 1) knowing that consistent use of a condom during sexual intercourse and having just one uninfected faithful partner can reduce the chance of getting HIV, 2) knowing that a health-looking person can have HIV, and 3) rejecting the two most common local misconceptions about transmission/prevention of HIV. In the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS all women who have heard of AIDS were asked questions on all three components and the results are detailed in Table HA.1.

In Turkmenistan, 81 percent of women age 15-49 years have heard of AIDS. However, the percentage of women who know of both main ways of preventing HIV transmission - having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time - is only 56 percent. About 70 percent of women know of having one faithful uninfected sex partner and 61 percent know of using a condom every time as main ways of preventing HIV transmission.

Table HA. 1 also presents the percentage of women who can correctly identify misconceptions concerning HIV. The indicator is based on the two most common and relevant misconceptions in Turkmenistan, that HIV can be transmitted by kissing someone with HIV and by mosquito bites. The table also provides information on whether women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by supernatural means (77 percent), hugging or shaking hands with someone with HIV (74 percent), as well as by sharing food with someone with HIV (68 percent). Overall, 44 percent of women reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy-looking person can be HIV-positive. About 60 percent of women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by kissing someone with HIV, and 65 percent of women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by mosquito bites, while 62 percent of women know that a healthy-looking person can be HIV-positive.

## Figure HA.1: Women with comprehensive knowledge of HIV transmission, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016



Women who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention include those who know of the two main ways of HIV prevention (having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time), who know that a healthy looking person can be HIV-positive, and who reject the two most common misconceptions. In Turkmenistan, comprehensive knowledge of HIV prevention methods and transmission is low although there are differences by regions. Overall, 35 percent of women were found to have comprehensive knowledge, the lowest percent being in Balkan velayat (19 percent) and the highest in Dashoguz and Mary velayats ( 43 percent and 40 percent respectively). As expected, the percentage of women with comprehensive knowledge increases with their education level. Only 32 percent of women with secondary education have comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention, while increases to 57 percent among women with higher education. The percentage of women who have comprehensive knowledge is highest in the age group 40-49 years ( 42 percent) and lowest in the age group 15-24 years ( 25 percent).

| Percentage of women age 15-49 years who correctly identify means of HIV transmission from mother to child, Turkmenistan,2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of women age 15-49 who have heard of AIDS and: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Know HIV can be transmitted from mother to child: |  |  |  |  |  | Number |
|  | During pregnancy | During delivery | By breastfeeding | By at least one of the three means | By all three means | Do not know any of the specific means of HIV transmission from mother to child |  |
| Total | 75.7 | 73.4 | 67.3 | 76.7 | 65.3 | 4.0 | 7618 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 86.4 | 73.1 | 63.3 | 88.4 | 53.6 | 2.2 | 975 |
| Ahal velayat | 59.3 | 57.3 | 48.9 | 59.7 | 48.5 | 1.8 | 1007 |
| Balkan velayat | 58.7 | 60.4 | 60.5 | 63.4 | 56.4 | 4.2 | 482 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 84.3 | 84.4 | 84.8 | 85.3 | 83.6 | 9.6 | 1779 |
| Lebap velayat | 91.4 | 89.0 | 73.9 | 91.7 | 73.1 | 3.1 | 1455 |
| Mary velayat | 63.4 | 63.2 | 59.6 | 63.6 | 59.2 | 1.4 | 1920 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 82.3 | 77.9 | 70.4 | 84.0 | 66.3 | 2.7 | 3006 |
| Rural | 71.5 | 70.4 | 65.3 | 72.0 | 64.6 | 4.8 | 4612 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 62.7 | 59.6 | 53.1 | 63.9 | 50.5 | 8.1 | 2597 |
| 15-19 | 55.3 | 51.4 | 45.5 | 56.7 | 42.6 | 11.1 | 1197 |
| 20-24 | 69.0 | 66.7 | 59.6 | 70.0 | 57.3 | 5.6 | 1400 |
| 25-29 | 80.6 | 78.1 | 73.3 | 81.2 | 71.6 | 2.5 | 1351 |
| 30-39 | 84.2 | 82.0 | 76.0 | 85.3 | 73.9 | 1.5 | 2063 |
| 40-49 | 81.9 | 80.4 | 74.2 | 82.6 | 72.6 | 1.7 | 1607 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 82.9 | 80.9 | 75.1 | 83.7 | 73.3 | 1.7 | 5378 |
| Never married/in union | 58.6 | 55.4 | 48.6 | 60.0 | 45.9 | 9.5 | 2240 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | 8 |
| Secondary | 71.9 | 70.0 | 64.1 | 72.8 | 62.4 | 4.5 | 6088 |
| Primary vocational | 90.1 | 86.3 | 77.6 | 90.7 | 74.7 | 2.8 | 601 |
| Secondary vocational | 91.8 | 90.3 | 82.5 | 93.1 | 80.4 | 1.7 | 527 |
| Higher | 95.4 | 86.7 | 84.7 | 97.2 | 77.1 | 1.2 | 387 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 73.0 | 71.9 | 69.4 | 73.8 | 68.3 | 8.8 | 1521 |
| Second | 73.2 | 72.2 | 67.3 | 73.5 | 66.8 | 2.9 | 1502 |
| Middle | 68.0 | 66.7 | 58.8 | 68.4 | 58.0 | 3.2 | 1495 |
| Fourth | 79.0 | 76.9 | 69.3 | 79.9 | 67.2 | 2.6 | 1490 |
| Richest | 84.9 | 78.8 | 71.5 | 87.2 | 65.9 | 2.4 | 1610 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 74.1 | 71.6 | 65.3 | 75.0 | 63.3 | 4.0 | 6563 |
| Uzbek | 89.6 | 89.4 | 87.4 | 90.7 | 86.1 | 5.0 | 623 |
| Russian | 93.1 | 88.3 | 79.1 | 96.2 | 72.0 | 1.6 | 315 |
| Other | 44.5 | 44.3 | 44.2 | 45.1 | 43.5 | 2.6 | 117 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV is also an important first step for women to seek HIV testing when they are pregnant to avoid infection in the baby. Women should know that HIV can be transmitted during pregnancy, during delivery, and through breastfeeding. The level of knowledge among women age 15-49 years concerning mother-to-child transmission is presented in Table HA.2. Overall, 77 percent of women know that HIV can be transmitted from mother to child. The percentage of women who know all three ways of mother-to-child transmission is 65 percent, while 4 percent of women did not know of any specific way. The difference between the highest and the lowest level of knowledge about all three ways of mother-to-child transmission by regions is almost double, 84 percent in Dashoguz velayat and 49 percent in Ahal velayat. The percentage of women who know all three ways of mother-to-child transmission is higher among ever married/in union women ( 73 percent) compared to never married/in union women (46 percent).

## Accepting Attitudes toward People Living with HIV

The indicators on attitudes toward people living with HIV measure stigma and discrimination in the community. Stigma and discrimination are considered low if respondents report an accepting attitude on the following four questions: 1) would care for a family member with AIDS in own home; 2) would buy fresh vegetables from a vendor who is HIV-positive; 3) thinks that a female teacher who is HIV-positive should be allowed to teach in school; and 4) would not want to keep it a secret if a family member is HIV-positive.

Table HA.3: Accepting attitudes toward people living with HIV
Percentage of women age 15-49 years who have heard of AIDS who express an accepting attitude towards people living with HIV, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.3 - Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV
a This is a composite of those who respond "No" to any of the two situations in columns 2 and 7 (buying vegetables and attending school).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
${ }^{*}$ ) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

Figure HA.2: Accepting attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


Table HA. 3 presents the attitudes of women towards people living with HIV/AIDS. In Turkmenistan, 96 percent of women who have heard of AIDS agree with at least one accepting statement. The most common accepting attitude is willingness to care for a family member with AIDS in own home (83 percent) while only 1 percent of women expressed accepting attitudes on all four indicators.

The findings indicate that only 12 percent of women are willing to buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor who is HIV-positive. There are very small percentages of women with an accepting attitude on this indicator in three regions: in Lebap velayat (2 percent), Ahal velayat (4 percent) and in Balkan velayat ( 6 percent). Throughout the country, 21 percent of women believe that a female teacher who is HIV-positive and is not sick should be allowed to continue teaching. It should be noted that the lowest percentages of women who express an accepting attitude on this indicator are in Ahal and Lebap velayat ( 6 percent and 10 percent respectively).

Only 32 percent of women would not want to keep secret that a family member is HIV-positive. Among regions, the lowest percentage of women with an accepting attitude on this indicator is in Balkan velayat ( 7 percent) and the highest in Lebap velayat ( 59 percent).

## Knowledge of a Place for HIV Testing, Counselling and Testing during Antenatal Care

Another important indicator is the knowledge of where to be tested for HIV and use of such services. In order to protect themselves and to prevent infecting others, it is important for individuals to know their HIV status. Knowledge of own status is also a critical factor in the decision to seek treatment.

## Table HA.4: Knowledge of a place for HIV testing

> Percentage of women age 15-49 years who know where to get an HIV test, percentage who have ever been tested, percentage who have ever been tested and know the result of the most recent test, percentage who have been tested in the last 12 months, and percentage who have been tested in the last 12 months and know the result, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of women who: |  |  |  |  | Numbe $r$ of women age 15-$49$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Know a place to get tested ${ }^{1}$ | Have ever been tested | Have ever been tested and know the result of the most recent test | Have been tested in the last 12 months | Have been tested in the last 12 months and know the result ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Total | 64.1 | 46.9 | 36.6 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 7618 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 82.3 | 55.8 | 54.1 | 12.7 | 12.1 | 975 |
| Ahal velayat | 48.0 | 35.2 | 6.9 | 19.3 | 3.5 | 1007 |
| Balkan velayat | 51.8 | 22.5 | 19.9 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 482 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 61.0 | 52.0 | 34.3 | 12.7 | 10.6 | 1779 |
| Lebap velayat | 91.1 | 63.5 | 60.5 | 22.8 | 22.1 | 1455 |
| Mary velayat | 48.6 | 37.2 | 31.7 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 1920 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 73.9 | 50.9 | 44.0 | 14.9 | 13.2 | 3006 |
| Rural | 57.6 | 44.2 | 31.8 | 12.3 | 8.4 | 4612 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 45.9 | 26.1 | 20.9 | 14.1 | 11.0 | 2597 |
| 15-19 | 32.6 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 1197 |
| 20-24 | 57.3 | 41.5 | 32.4 | 21.4 | 16.3 | 1400 |
| 25-29 | 72.4 | 62.3 | 47.6 | 22.9 | 17.0 | 1351 |
| 30-39 | 76.3 | 62.1 | 46.2 | 13.0 | 9.7 | 2063 |
| 40-49 | 70.6 | 47.8 | 40.6 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 1607 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 75.9 | 62.0 | 47.8 | 17.5 | 13.2 | 5378 |
| Never married/in union | 35.7 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2240 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Secondary | 59.2 | 43.3 | 32.1 | 12.7 | 9.1 | 6088 |
| Primary vocational | 78.4 | 57.9 | 52.6 | 16.3 | 15.6 | 601 |
| Secondary vocational | 84.3 | 62.1 | 55.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 527 |
| Higher | 93.7 | 66.8 | 60.7 | 19.6 | 17.9 | 387 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 56.2 | 43.9 | 31.5 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 1521 |
| Second | 58.9 | 46.4 | 35.3 | 12.1 | 9.2 | 1502 |
| Middle | 56.5 | 42.3 | 28.8 | 13.3 | 7.6 | 1495 |
| Fourth | 67.4 | 48.5 | 38.6 | 14.2 | 10.8 | 1490 |
| Richest | 80.2 | 52.7 | 48.3 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 1610 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 62.8 | 45.6 | 36.0 | 13.4 | 10.0 | 6563 |
| Uzbek | 69.7 | 58.3 | 37.0 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 623 |
| Russian | 90.8 | 58.8 | 55.3 | 15.0 | 14.7 | 315 |
| Other | 34.2 | 24.5 | 22.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 117 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number <br> $\left(^{*}\right)$ Figures that are base | ${ }^{1}$ MICS <br> indicato <br> unweight <br> on fewer | dicator 9.5 - Wo cases, n 25 un | - Women who know wh n who have been teste category "None" for the ghted cases. | to be tested or HIV and kn kground char | HIV <br> the results <br> eristic "Education" is | shown. |

Questions related to knowledge of a facility for HIV testing and whether a person has ever been tested are presented in Table HA.4. In Turkmenistan, 64 percent of women know where to be tested, while 47 percent have actually been tested. 37 percent of women know the result of their most recent test. The percentage of women who know where to be tested for HIV is higher among women with higher education ( 94 percent) and women from the wealthiest households ( 80 percent). The most aware are women who were ever married/in union (76 percent). Among regions, the highest percentage was found in Lebap velayat (91 percent).

Despite the fact that 64 percent of women knew where to be tested for HIV, only 13 percent of respondents were tested within the last 12 months, while only 10 percent were tested within the last 12 months and know the results. Women from the 20-24 and 25-29 year age groups are more likely to have been tested in the last 12 months ( 21 percent and 23 percent respectively) compared to women from other age groups. Women from Lebap velayat are more likely to have been tested in the last 12 months ( 23 percent) compared to women from the Balkan and Mary velayats ( 7 percent and 6 percent respectively).

Table HA.5: HIV counselling and testing during antenatal care

 2015-2016

|  | Percentage of women who: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Received antenatal care from a health care professional for last pregnancya ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Received HIV counselling during antenatal care ${ }^{1}$ | Were offered an HIV test and were tested for HIV during antenatal care | Were offered an HIV test and were tested for HIV during antenatal care, and received the results ${ }^{2}$ | Received HIV counselling, were offered an HIV test, accepted and received the results | Number of women age 15-49 with a live birth in the last 2 years |
| Total | 99.9 | 74.6 | 76.7 | 55.6 | 52.3 | 1476 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 78.5 | 83.3 | 82.5 | 74.1 | 160 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 87.0 | 81.9 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 226 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 42.0 | 40.2 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 75 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 79.1 | 86.6 | 58.1 | 54.9 | 395 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 90.8 | 92.2 | 89.2 | 86.1 | 300 |
| Mary velayat | 99.5 | 51.0 | 51.5 | 42.4 | 38.7 | 320 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 78.4 | 80.3 | 67.4 | 62.7 | 529 |
| Rural | 99.8 | 72.5 | 74.7 | 49.0 | 46.5 | 947 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 100.0 | 73.9 | 74.6 | 54.8 | 51.6 | 451 |
| 15-19 | 100.0 | 72.2 | 76.4 | 56.6 | 50.1 | 33 |
| 20-24 | 100.0 | 74.0 | 74.4 | 54.7 | 51.7 | 418 |
| 25-29 | 99.7 | 75.7 | 78.3 | 57.4 | 54.7 | 541 |
| 30-39 | 100.0 | 73.3 | 75.9 | 53.1 | 49.4 | 458 |
| 40-49 | (100.0) | (89.5) | (94.3) | (74.4) | (69.6) | 26 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 99.9 | 74.8 | 76.9 | 55.7 | 52.4 | 1473 |
| Never married/in union | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 3 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1 |
| Secondary | 99.9 | 72.9 | 75.1 | 52.1 | 49.3 | 1265 |
| Primary vocational | 100.0 | 86.4 | 88.2 | 79.6 | 75.2 | 112 |
| Secondary vocational | 100.0 | 91.1 | 90.8 | 75.6 | 72.6 | 50 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 79.6 | 83.4 | 72.7 | 62.4 | 46 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.6 | 65.6 | 72.2 | 52.4 | 49.1 | 322 |
| Second | 100.0 | 75.4 | 78.9 | 54.2 | 51.4 | 313 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 74.2 | 72.1 | 43.0 | 40.8 | 313 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 80.8 | 80.9 | 58.2 | 56.2 | 270 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 79.1 | 80.8 | 73.6 | 67.5 | 259 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 100.0 | 74.0 | 75.8 | 55.5 | 52.4 | 1301 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 87.1 | 90.5 | 53.1 | 51.2 | 124 |
| Russian | (100.0) | (78.9) | (90.1) | (87.0) | (72.9) | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Health care professiona <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to the low number <br> () Figures that are based <br> (*) Figures that are based | Medical doctor, Nurse/Midw ed cases, the category "N unweighted cases. han 25 unweighted cases. | and Feldsher. for the background | ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> $\left(^{*}\right)$ Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |

Among women who had given birth within the two years preceding the survey, the percentage who received counselling and HIV testing during antenatal care is presented in Table HA.5.

All women (100 percent) in Turkmenistan received antenatal care of which 77 percent were offered an HIV test and were tested for HIV and 75 percent received HIV counselling during pregnancy. The highest proportion of women who received HIV counselling during antenatal care was in Lebap velayat ( 91 percent) and the lowest in Balkan velayat (42 percent). 56 percent of women were offered an HIV test and were tested for HIV during antenatal care, and received the results, with the highest percentage being in Lebap velayat ( 89 percent) and the lowest in Ahal velayat ( 15 percent).

## HIV Indicators for Young Women

In many countries, over half of new adult HIV infections are among young people age 15-24 years thus a change in behaviour among members of this age group is especially important to reduce new infections. The next table present specific information on this age group.

## Table HA.6: Key HIV and AIDS indicators

Percentage of women age 15-24 years by key HIV and AIDS indicators, Turkmenistan, 2015-201

|  | Percentage of women age 15-24 years who: |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage who express accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV on all four indicators ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Percentage who report discriminatory attitudes towards people living with $\mathrm{HIV}^{\text {b }}$ | Number of women age 1524 years who have heard of AIDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have comprehensive knowledge ${ }^{1, a}$ | Know all three means of HIV transmission from mother to child | Know a place to get tested for HIV | Have ever been tested and know the result of the most recent test | Have been tested for HIV in the last 12 months and know the result | Number of women age 15-24 years |  |  |  |
| Total | 25.4 | 50.5 | 45.9 | 20.9 | 11.0 | 2597 | 1.1 | 89.1 | 1870 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 21.1 | 35.7 | 68.8 | 28.0 | 13.8 | 307 | 4.9 | 90.0 | 257 |
| Ahal velayat | 26.6 | 33.9 | 34.7 | 5.2 | 2.7 | 359 | 0.0 | 95.4 | 187 |
| Balkan velayat | 14.0 | 41.4 | 33.7 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 150 | 0.0 | 94.6 | 87 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 27.5 | 67.9 | 32.3 | 15.8 | 11.1 | 598 | 0.2 | 86.5 | 561 |
| Lebap velayat | 23.5 | 68.9 | 85.9 | 51.2 | 27.4 | 506 | 0.3 | 96.1 | 465 |
| Mary velayat | 28.8 | 39.1 | 26.5 | 10.9 | 3.3 | 677 | 1.5 | 77.4 | 313 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 27.7 | 51.8 | 57.6 | 25.8 | 14.0 | 951 | 2.2 | 90.3 | 744 |
| Rural | 24.1 | 49.8 | 39.2 | 18.0 | 9.2 | 1646 | 0.3 | 88.3 | 1125 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 18.6 | 42.6 | 32.6 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 1197 | 1.3 | 88.9 | 811 |
| 15-17 | 14.8 | 38.4 | 28.2 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 693 | 0.9 | 90.3 | 447 |
| 18-19 | 24.0 | 48.5 | 38.7 | 14.6 | 10.2 | 504 | 1.9 | 87.1 | 364 |
| 20-24 | 31.2 | 57.3 | 57.3 | 32.4 | 16.3 | 1400 | 0.9 | 89.3 | 1058 |
| 20-22 | 31.6 | 55.4 | 52.6 | 27.9 | 14.2 | 876 | 1.1 | 88.3 | 656 |
| 23-24 | 30.5 | 60.5 | 65.3 | 39.9 | 19.6 | 524 | 0.6 | 90.9 | 402 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 33.4 | 67.4 | 73.1 | 48.7 | 28.5 | 785 | 0.7 | 89.9 | 634 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 6 | - | (*) | 0 |
| Secondary | 23.2 | 48.3 | 41.8 | 17.5 | 9.2 | 2224 | 0.7 | 89.0 | 1543 |
| Primary vocational | 34.9 | 65.9 | 66.3 | 43.2 | 22.7 | 196 | 1.4 | 91.0 | 174 |
| Secondary vocational | 38.1 | 66.9 | 70.8 | 35.5 | 16.1 | 90 | 4.9 | 93.0 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 16.5 | 49.1 | 33.5 | 15.2 | 10.6 | 497 | 0.3 | 91.4 | 385 |
| Second | 22.8 | 54.2 | 40.8 | 19.7 | 9.0 | 547 | 0.0 | 88.4 | 372 |
| Middle | 26.4 | 43.7 | 40.3 | 18.6 | 9.2 | 553 | 0.0 | 89.6 | 330 |
| Fourth | 29.7 | 54.5 | 50.0 | 21.6 | 11.9 | 501 | 2.1 | 87.1 | 371 |
| Richest | 31.8 | 51.6 | 66.2 | 29.6 | 14.6 | 499 | 2.7 | 89.0 | 412 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 24.4 | 48.7 | 45.8 | 21.2 | 10.9 | 2281 | 1.0 | 90.3 | 1596 |
| Uzbek | 29.6 | 76.1 | 43.3 | 18.1 | 13.5 | 194 | 0.0 | 83.8 | 185 |
| Russian | 51.6 | 55.2 | 76.5 | 27.4 | 14.5 | 78 | 4.7 | 76.4 | 73 |
| Other | (12.0) | (27.4) | (13.3) | (3.7) | (0.0) | 44 | (*) | (*) | 15 |


 age 15-49 years in Turkmenistan according to this survey).
b Refer to Table HA 3 for the components of this indicator
c Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
$\left(^{*}\right)$ Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.
"-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator.

Table HA. 6 summarizes information on key HIV indicators for young women. Results with respect to comprehensive knowledge ( 25 percent), knowledge of mother to child transmission ( 51 percent), and knowledge of a place to get tested ( 46 percent) are generally lower in this age group than the population age 15-49 years as a whole. Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV with respect to the same four indicators that were previously discussed are at the same level as for the population age 15-49 years ( 1 percent). Overall, 11 percent of young women in this age group have been tested for HIV in the last 12 months and know the result.

## XIII. Access to Mass Media and Use of Information/Communication Technology

The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS collected information on exposure to mass media and the use of computers and the internet. Information was collected on exposure to newspapers/magazines, radio and television among women age 15-49 years, while the questions on the use of computers and the use of the internet was asked to 15-24 year-olds.

## Access to Mass Media

The proportion of women who read a newspaper or magazine, listen to the radio and watch television at least once a week is shown in table MT.1.

41 percent of women in Turkmenistan read a newspaper or magazine, 33 percent listen to the radio, and 99 percent watch television at least once a week. Overall, less than 1 percent do not have regular exposure to any of the three media, while almost 100 percent are exposed to at least one and 21 to all the three types of media on a weekly basis.

## Table MT.1: Exposure to mass media

|  | Percentage of women age 15-49 years who: |  |  | All three media at least once a week $^{1}$ | Any media at least once a week | None of the media at least once a week | Numbe of women age 15 49 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Read a newspaper at least once a week | Listen to the radio at least once a week | Watch television at least once a week |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 41.0 | 32.5 | 99.3 | 20.7 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 7618 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 58.4 | 41.4 | 99.4 | 31.7 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 1197 |
| 15-17 | 65.4 | 42.3 | 99.4 | 36.4 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 693 |
| 18-19 | 48.7 | 40.2 | 99.4 | 25.3 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 504 |
| 20-24 | 41.3 | 36.6 | 99.5 | 21.3 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 1400 |
| 25-29 | 34.8 | 27.9 | 99.3 | 16.2 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 1351 |
| 30-34 | 31.2 | 26.8 | 98.8 | 15.3 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 1117 |
| 35-39 | 35.5 | 27.5 | 99.2 | 17.7 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 946 |
| 40-44 | 43.7 | 33.3 | 99.2 | 22.1 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 835 |
| 45-49 | 42.5 | 33.1 | 99.2 | 20.6 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 772 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 39.8 | 24.9 | 99.6 | 13.6 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 975 |
| Ahal velayat | 59.4 | 69.5 | 99.9 | 47.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1007 |
| Balkan velayat | 36.5 | 36.4 | 99.8 | 15.9 | 99.9 | 0.0 | 482 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 30.2 | 25.5 | 98.3 | 14.2 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 1779 |
| Lebap velayat | 26.7 | 7.2 | 99.5 | 5.2 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 1455 |
| Mary velayat | 53.9 | 41.7 | 99.4 | 29.3 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 1920 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 44.6 | 31.3 | 99.8 | 20.0 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 3006 |
| Rural | 38.6 | 33.4 | 98.9 | 21.2 | 99.4 | 0.6 | 4612 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Secondary | 36.5 | 32.4 | 99.2 | 19.3 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 6088 |
| Primary vocational | 43.2 | 26.4 | 99.6 | 16.3 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 601 |
| Secondary vocational | 63.1 | 35.7 | 99.4 | 29.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 527 |
| Higher | 79.1 | 41.2 | 99.6 | 38.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 387 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 25.3 | 21.0 | 97.8 | 10.2 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 1521 |
| Second | 34.5 | 27.8 | 99.3 | 16.8 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 1502 |
| Middle | 49.6 | 43.6 | 99.5 | 29.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1495 |
| Fourth | 47.2 | 41.4 | 99.8 | 27.0 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 1490 |
| Richest | 48.1 | 29.3 | 99.8 | 20.3 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 1610 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 42.5 | 34.2 | 99.2 | 22.0 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 6563 |
| Uzbek | 23.7 | 24.2 | 100.0 | 13.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 623 |
| Russian | 50.5 | 17.7 | 100.0 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 315 |
| Other | 21.7 | 21.1 | 96.3 | 11.1 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 117 |

[^52]Women under age 20 are more likely than older women to report exposure to all three types of mass media. Differentials by education and socio-economic status are observed for exposure to all types of media, primarily due to differentials in exposure to print media and radio.

Women with higher education are more likely to have been exposed to all three types of media than women with lower levels of education. Almost 30 percent of women from the households in the middle wealth index quintile have been exposed to all the three media forms, while the corresponding proportion of women in the poorest households is only 10 percent. Exposure of women to all the three mass media is greatest in Ahal velayat (48 percent) and lowest in the Lebap velayat (5 percent).

## Use of Information/Communication Technology

The questions on computer and internet use were asked only to 15-24 year old women.

As shown in Table MT.2, 86 percent of 15-24 year old women ever used a computer, 58 percent used a computer during the last year and 45 percent used it at least once a week during the last month. Overall, 47 percent of women age 15-24 ever used the internet, while 39 percent used it during the last year. The proportion of young women who used the internet more frequently, at least once a week during the last month, is smaller, at 31 percent.

As expected, computer use during the last 12 months is more widespread among 15-19 year old women. Use of a computer during the last 12 months and at least once a week during the last one month is associated with education and wealth, while use of the Internet is strongly associated with area, education and wealth.

43 percent of women with secondary education report using a computer at least once a week during the last one month, while about 90 percent of women with higher education used a computer at least once a week during the last one month. Similarly, higher utilisation of the internet during the last 12 months is observed among young women in urban areas ( 58 percent) compared to those in rural areas ( 29 percent). The use of the internet during the last year is greatest in the Balkan velayat (60 percent) and in Ashgabat city ( 59 percent) and lowest in the Ahal velayat (17 percent), while the proportion is 69 percent for young women in the richest households, compared to 22 percent among those living in the poorest households.

| Table MT.2: Use of computers and internet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of young women age 15-24 years who have ever used a computer and the internet, percentage who have used during the last 12 months, and percentage who have used at least once weekly during the last one month, Turkmenistan, 20152016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of women age 15-24 years who have: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ever used a computer | Used a computer during the last 12 months ${ }^{1}$ | Used a computer use | Ever used the internet | Used the internet during the last 12 months ${ }^{2}$ | Used the internet at least once a week during the last one month | Number of women age 15-24 years |
| Total | 85.6 | 57.5 | 45.2 | 47.3 | 39.3 | 31.4 | 2597 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 92.3 | 80.1 | 66.3 | 49.4 | 44.0 | 36.0 | 1197 |
| 15-17 | 94.8 | 93.3 | 84.5 | 49.9 | 45.4 | 37.0 | 693 |
| 18-19 | 89.0 | 62.0 | 41.3 | 48.6 | 42.0 | 34.6 | 504 |
| 20-24 | 79.9 | 38.2 | 27.1 | 45.5 | 35.3 | 27.4 | 1400 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 89.6 | 73.8 | 54.2 | 63.2 | 58.9 | 50.8 | 307 |
| Ahal velayat | 67.8 | 44.9 | 37.4 | 20.4 | 16.9 | 10.3 | 359 |
| Balkan velayat | 84.7 | 66.8 | 52.6 | 61.4 | 59.6 | 55.3 | 150 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 98.3 | 55.6 | 46.7 | 49.6 | 35.7 | 26.9 | 598 |
| Lebap velayat | 85.8 | 55.5 | 40.8 | 55.7 | 41.2 | 30.8 | 506 |
| Mary velayat | 82.2 | 58.0 | 45.6 | 42.9 | 39.5 | 32.8 | 677 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 88.0 | 68.4 | 54.1 | 63.7 | 57.5 | 49.5 | 951 |
| Rural | 84.3 | 51.2 | 40.0 | 37.8 | 28.7 | 20.9 | 1646 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 6 |
| Secondary | 84.4 | 54.4 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 34.4 | 27.0 | 2224 |
| Primary vocational | 94.5 | 67.3 | 50.4 | 69.9 | 59.6 | 43.9 | 196 |
| Secondary vocational | 93.1 | 85.3 | 67.1 | 76.8 | 72.5 | 64.1 | 90 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 97.2 | 89.5 | 98.1 | 94.9 | 92.0 | 76 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 88.2 | 50.5 | 39.4 | 35.2 | 22.8 | 14.2 | 497 |
| Second | 83.2 | 47.7 | 36.7 | 39.1 | 30.1 | 22.1 | 547 |
| Middle | 82.6 | 51.5 | 40.9 | 34.5 | 27.9 | 21.2 | 553 |
| Fourth | 82.4 | 60.0 | 44.8 | 56.2 | 48.2 | 39.7 | 501 |
| Richest | 92.2 | 79.6 | 65.5 | 73.4 | 69.4 | 61.6 | 499 |
| Language of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 85.1 | 56.8 | 44.4 | 45.2 | 37.3 | 29.2 | 2281 |
| Uzbek | 94.9 | 57.5 | 46.7 | 55.8 | 43.8 | 35.5 | 194 |
| Russian | 99.5 | 93.1 | 78.0 | 97.8 | 94.9 | 89.5 | 78 |
| Other | (45.5) | (32.3) | (21.3) | (27.8) | (21.8) | (21.8) | 44 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown. <br> ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix A. Sample Design

The major features of the sample design are described in this appendix. Sample design features include target sample size, sample allocation, sampling frame and listing, choice of domains, sampling stages, stratification, and the calculation of sample weights.

The primary objective of the sample design for the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS was to produce statistically reliable estimates of most indicators, at the national level, for urban and rural areas, and for the six regions of the country: Ashgabat city (capital) and five velayats (regions) - Ahal, Balkan, Dashoguz, Lebap and Mary. Urban and rural areas in each of the five velayats (regions) in addition to Ashgabat city (only urban) were defined as the sampling strata (11 main strata).

A multi-stage, stratified cluster sampling approach was used for the selection of the survey sample.

## Sample Size and Sample Allocation

The sample size for the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS was calculated as 6,200 households. For the calculation of the sample size, the key indicator used was the percentage of married women using a contraceptive method from the 2006 Turkmenistan MICS. The following formula was used to estimate the required sample size for this indicator at the regional level:

$$
n=\frac{[4(r)(1-r)(f)(k)]}{\left[(0.06 r)^{2}(p)\left(n_{h}\right)\right]}
$$

where

- $n$ is the required sample size, expressed as number of households
- 4 is a factor to achieve the 95 percent level of confidence
- $\quad r$ is the predicted or anticipated prevalence (coverage rate) of the indicator by region
- $\quad k$ is the factor necessary to raise the sample size by 10 percent for non-response
- $f$ is the shortened symbol for deff (design effect)
- (0.08 to 0.11)r is the margin of error (me) to be tolerated for a region
- $\quad p$ is the proportion of the total population upon which the indicator, $r$, is based
- $\quad n_{h}$ is the average number of persons per household by region.

The recommended value for the relative margin of error is generally 0.12 ( 12 percent) compared to 0.08 to 0.11 used in this formula. The value of $f$ (design effect) was taken as 1.5 based on estimates from previous surveys, $p$ (percentage of women who currently use contraceptives) was taken for each region as being between 0.36 to 0.54 , and $n_{h}$ (average household size) was taken as 3.9 to 5.7 persons per household (based on the current statistical data). Using this formula, the required sample size for each region varied between 880 to 1240 households, which gives 6200 households in total (Table SD.1).

|  | Input value |  |  |  |  |  |  | Expected outputs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predicted value of indicator | Design effect | Number of households (Sample size) | Relative margin of error at $95 \%$ confidence | Proportion of target/base population in total population | Average househol d size | Response rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { clusters } \end{aligned}$ | Number of women age 15 49 years | Number of children age 0 4 years | Number of children age 1223 months |
|  | R | deff | N | RME | Pb | AveSize | RR |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 6200 |  |  |  |  | 310 | 7582 | 3341 | 678 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 0.52 | 1.5 | 1240 | 0.084 | 0.182 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 62 | 1293 | 444 | 91 |
| Ahal velayat | 0.43 | 1.5 | 920 | 0.096 | 0.191 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 46 | 1256 | 617 | 125 |
| Balkan velayat | 0.36 | 1.5 | 1240 | 0.115 | 0.17 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 62 | 1228 | 581 | 117 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 0.47 | 1.5 | 880 | 0.092 | 0.178 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 44 | 1291 | 591 | 122 |
| Lebap velayat | 0.38 | 1.5 | 960 | 0.109 | 0.181 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 48 | 1262 | 544 | 108 |
| Mary velayat | 0.54 | 1.5 | 960 | 0.079 | 0.189 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 48 | 1251 | 564 | 115 |

The number of households selected per cluster for the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS was determined as 20 households, based on a number of considerations, including a review of the design effects for the estimates of key indicators from the 2006 Turkmenistan MICS data, the budget available, and the time that would be needed per team to complete one cluster.

Selection of 20 households in each sample segment in all regions, resulted in a total target sample of 310 segments and 6200 households. Within each region the sample was allocated proportionately to the urban and rural strata. The table below shows the allocation of clusters to the sampling strata.
$\left.\begin{array}{|lcccccc|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Table SD.2: The sample allocation of sample segments (clusters) and households by } \\ \text { region/urban-rural strata }\end{array} \\ \hline & & \text { No. of clusters (PSUs) }\end{array}\right]$

## Sampling Frame and Selection of Clusters

For the first sampling stage, the enumeration areas were defined as PSUs selected within each stratum (region, urban/rural) systematically with PPS from the ordered list of PSUs in the sampling frame. The measures of size for the enumeration areas were based on the number of households identified in the sampling frame of the 2012 Census. The PSUs within each stratum were ordered geographically, in order to provide implicit geographic stratification and ensure a proportional distribution of the sample to all parts of the region.

## Listing Activities

Since the sampling frame (the 2012 Census) was not up-to-date, a new listing of households was conducted in all the sample enumeration areas prior to the selection of households. For this purpose, listing teams were formed who visited all of the selected enumeration areas and listed all households in the enumeration areas.

Listing training was held in the period 16-19 June 2015 (4 days) in Ashgabat city. The training was attended by 3 cartographers, 3 listers, 1 reserve and 1 supervisor from each velayat/Ashgabat city (in total 48 participants). The training program consisted of two parts, the first 1.5 days for theoretical knowledge followed by 1.5 days for conducting a pilot in the field - to implement acquired knowledge into practice.

During the period from 22 June to 16 July 2015 in all regions of Turkmenistan work on the mapping and household listing in the clusters for the MICS was carried out in accordance with the schedule of
activities developed by the State Statistical Committee of Turkmenistan. During the listing the following materials were used:

- Manual for Mapping and Household Listing
- Listing Forms
- $\quad$ Schematic maps from the 2012 Census in printed form.

It was not possible to conduct listing in 5 selected enumeration areas because they were inaccessible due to demolition of buildings at the time of the listing period. Four of these were urban clusters (Ashgabat city) and one a rural cluster (Ahal velayat). In the Ahal velayat, the sample included 2 enumeration areas with a small number of households: 7 households in the village Kesikburun (Etrab Sarahs; Gengeshlik Hanýap) and 10 households in the village Bozköl (Etrab Ak bugdaý; Gengeshlik Sähra). It was agreed that each small sample EA can be joined with a neighboring EA within the same census sector following the first stage selection, and the probabilities can be calculated based on the size of the combined EAs. Thus, the enumeration area of the Kesikburun village was added to the neighboring enumeration area of the village Hanayap (Gengeshlik Hanayap). The enumeration district village Bozkel was added to the adjacent administrative enumeration area of the village Sahra (Gengeshlik Sahra).

## Selection of Households

Lists of households were prepared by the listing teams in the field for each enumeration area. The households were then sequentially numbered from 1 to $n$ (the total number of households in each enumeration area) at the State Statistical Committee of Turkmenistan, where the selection of 20 households in each enumeration area was carried out using random systematic selection procedures.

## Calculation of Sample Weights

The 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS sample is not self-weighting. Essentially, by allocating equal numbers of households to each of the regions, different sampling fractions were used in each region since the sizes of the regions varied. For this reason, sample weights were calculated and these were used in the subsequent analyses of the survey data.

The major component of the weight is the reciprocal of the sampling fraction employed in selecting the number of sample households in that particular sampling stratum (h) and PSU (i):

$$
W_{h i}=\frac{1}{f_{h i}}
$$

The term $f_{h i}$, the sampling fraction for the $i$-th sample PSU in the $h$-th stratum, is the product of probabilities of selection at every stage in each sampling stratum:

$$
f_{h i}=p_{1 h i} \times p_{2 h i} \times p_{3 h i}
$$

where $p_{\text {shi }}$ is the probability of selection of the sampling unit at stage $s$ for the $i$-th sample PSU in the $h$-th sampling stratum. Based on the sample design, these probabilities were calculated as follows:

$$
p_{1 h i}=\frac{n_{h} \times M_{h i}}{M_{h}}, \text { were }
$$

$n_{h}=\quad$ number of sample PSUs selected in stratum $h$
$M_{h i}=\quad$ number of households in the 2012 Census frame for the $i$-th sample PSU in stratum $h$ $M_{h}=\quad$ total number of households in the 2012 Census frame for stratum $h$
$p_{2 h i}=\quad$ proportion of the PSU listed in the $i$-th sample PSU of stratum $h$ (in the case of PSUs that were segmented); for non-segmented PSUs, $p_{2 h i}=1$

$$
p_{3 h i}=\frac{20}{M_{h i}^{\prime}}
$$

$M^{\prime}{ }_{h i}=\quad$ number of households listed in the $i$-th sample PSU in stratum $h$

Since the number of households in each enumeration area (PSU) from the 2012 Census frame used for the first stage selection and the updated number of households in the enumeration area from the listing are generally different, overall probabilities of selection for households in each sample enumeration area (cluster) were calculated.

A final component in the calculation of sample weights takes into account the level of non-response for the household and individual interviews. The adjustment for household non-response in each stratum is equal to:

$$
\frac{1}{R R_{h}}
$$

where $R R_{h}$ is the response rate for the sample households in stratum $h$, defined as the proportion of the number of interviewed households in stratum $h$ out of the number of selected households found to be occupied during the fieldwork in stratum $h$.

Similarly, adjustment for non-response at the individual level (women and under-5 children) for each stratum is equal to:

$$
\frac{1}{R R_{h}}
$$

where $R R_{h}$ is the response rate for the individual questionnaires in stratum $h$, defined as the proportion of eligible individuals (women and under-5 children) in the sample households in stratum $h$ who were successfully interviewed.

After the completion of fieldwork, response rates were calculated for each sampling stratum. These were used to adjust the sample weights calculated for each cluster. Response rates in the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS are shown in Table HH. 1 in this report.

The non-response adjustment factors for the individual women and under-5 questionnaires were applied to the adjusted household weights. The number of eligible women and under- 5 children in each sample EA were obtained from the roster of household members in the Household Questionnaire for households where interviews were completed.

The design weights for the households were calculated by multiplying the inverse of the probabilities of selection by the non-response adjustment factor for each enumeration area. These weights were then standardized (or normalized), one purpose of which is to make the weighted sum of the interviewed sample units equal to the unweighted total number of sample units at the national level. Normalization is achieved by dividing the full sample weights (adjusted for nonresponse) by the average of these weights across all households at the national level. This is performed by multiplying the sample weights by a constant factor equal to the unweighted number of households at the national level divided by the weighted total number of households (using the full sample weights adjusted for nonresponse). A similar standardization procedure was followed for obtaining standardized weights for the individual women and under-5 questionnaires. Adjusted (normalized) household weights varied between 0.212032929 and 2.525432708 in the 305 sample enumeration areas (clusters), excluding 5 missing clusters.

Sample weights were appended to all data sets and analyses were performed by weighting households, women, or under-5s with these sample weights.

## Appendix B. List of Personnel Involved in the Survey

## MANAGERIAL PERSONNEL

## State Committee of Statistics of Turkmenistan

Ayna Orayeva (Akmyrat Mammedow - until March 2016)

Gurbangozel Charyyeva
Bagul Annamuhammedova

Jennet Hojamuhammedova

Lidiya Krzhiviskaya

Chairman the State Committee of Statistics of Turkmenistan
Survey Director, Head of Population Department Field Supervisor, Deputy Head of Population
Department
Sampling Specialist, Chief Specialist of Population
Department
Data Processing Specialist, Chief Specialist of Population Department

Representative, UNICEF Turkmenistan

Deputive Representative, UNICEF Turkmenistan
Child Right Monitoring Specialist, UNICEF
Turkmenistan
Programme Assistant, UNICEF Turkmenistan
Communication Officer, UNICEF Turkmenistan
Programme Assistant, UNICEF Turkmenistan
International MICS Consultant, UNICEF
National MICS Consultant, UNICEF
Global MICS Coordinator, UNICEF Headquarter, New York (HQ)
Data Processing Specialist, UNICEF HQ
Household Survey Specialist, UNICEF HQ
Data Processing Specialist, UNICEF HQ
Regional MICS Coordinator, UNICEF Regional Office, Geneva (RO)
Sampling Consultant, UNICEF RO
Household Survey Consultant, UNICEF RO
Data Processing Consultant, UNICEF RO

## Listers and Cartographers

Regional listing Coordinators

| Shihmurat Genzhaliyev |  | Ashgabat city |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eziz Nursahetov |  | Ahal velayat |  |
| Ogulbayram Orazmammedova |  | Balkan velayat |  |
| Gozel Omarova |  | Dashoguz velayat |  |
| Saida Babakuliyeva |  | Lebap velayat |  |
| Jeren Charyyeva |  | Mary velayat |  |
| Listers |  | Cartographers |  |
| Avdeyeva S. | Garashova G. | Hojaniyazova G. | Yakubova K. |
| Annageldiyev A. | Maagometova R. | Taganov D. | Yusupova S. |
| Garyagdyeva G. | Kuliyeva M. | Bekiyeva G. | Myradova S. |
| Allakova A. | Babakuliyev Sh. | Kerimov K. | Nowruzov K. |
| Amangeldiyeva O . | Matkarimov A. | Tachmuhommedov M. | Annageldiyev Ch. |
| Aksakov P. | Taganov A. | Tachmyradov B. | Baynayev H. |
| Amankuliyva R. | Nurlyyev K. | Annayev D. | Ziyatdinova L. |
| Bashirova E. | Hommayeva L. | Tachmyradov S. | Begliyev E. |
| Rejepov A. | Salihova L. | Nazarov 0. | Hojakurbanov A. |

## REGIONAL FIELD WORK TEAMS

| Ashgabat city |  | Dashoguz velayat |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Esenov M. | Coordinator | Garayev B. | Coordinator |
| Berger O. | Supervisor | Omarova G. | Supervisor |
| Annayeva M. | Interviewer | Garasheva G. | Interviewer |
| Bekova S. | Interviewer | Yusupova S. | Interviewer |
| Hudayberenova M. | Interviewer | Ashyrova M. | Interviewer |
| Gulamova M. | Interviewer | Artykova Sh. | Interviewer |
| Taganov D. | Measurer | Gulnazarov Yu. | Measurer |
| Ahal velayat |  | Lebap velayat |  |
| Nazarov H. | Coordinator | Wekilov A. (Guvanjev H. - until November 2015) | Coordinator |
| Nursahedov E. | Supervisor | Babakuliyeva S. | Supervisor |
| Mollakova O. | Interviewer | Taganova G. | Interviewer |
| Agayeva G. | Interviewer | Rozyyeva G. | Interviewer |
| Urazova B. | Interviewer | Babakuliyeva Sh. | Interviewer |
| Rustemova 0. | Interviewer | MAtkarimov A. | Interviewer |
| Tachmuhommedov M. | Measurer | Babakuliyev Sh. | Measurer |
| Balkan velayat |  | Mary velayat |  |
| Atayev A. | Coordinator | Garyagdyyev B. | Coordinator |
| Rejepov A. | Supervisor | Ivashenko R. | Supervisor |
| Nazarov 0. | Interviewer | Salihova L. | Interviewer |
| Oraz O. | Interviewer | Charyyeva J. | Interviewer |
| Мамурова Ш. | Interviewer | Rodina T. | Interviewer |
| Амангулыева P. | Interviewer | Nurliyev K. | Interviewer |
| Реджепова Г. | Interviewer | Atakuliyev S. | Measurer |
| Аннаев Д. | Measurer |  |  |

## REPORT WRITING

Gurbangozel Charyyeva, Lidiya Krzhiviskaya, Shohrat Orazov and Tatjana Karaulac.

## Appendix C. Estimates of Sampling Errors

The sample of respondents selected in the 2015-2016 Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey is only one of the samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between the estimates from all possible samples. The extent of variability is not known exactly, but can be estimated statistically from the survey data.

The following sampling error measures are presented in this appendix for each of the selected indicators:

- Standard error (se): Standard error is the square root of the variance of the estimate. For survey indicators that are means, proportions or ratios, the Taylor series linearization method is used for the estimation of standard errors. For more complex statistics, such as fertility and mortality rates, the Jackknife repeated replications method is used for standard error estimation.
- Coefficient of variation $(\mathrm{se} / r)$ is the ratio of the standard error to the value $(r)$ of the indicator, and is a measure of the relative sampling error.
- Design effect (deff) is the ratio of the actual variance of an indicator, under the sampling method used in the survey, to the variance calculated under the assumption of simple random sampling based on the same sample size. The square root of the design effect (deft) is used to show the efficiency of the sample design in relation to the precision. A deft value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design of the survey is as efficient as a simple random sample for a particular indicator, while a deft value above 1.0 indicates an increase in the standard error due to the use of a more complex sample design.
- Confidence limits are calculated to show the interval within which the true value for the population can be reasonably assumed to fall, with a specified level of confidence. For any given statistic calculated from the survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error ( $r+2$.se or $r-2 . s e$ ) of the statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

For the calculation of sampling errors from MICS data, programs developed in CSPro Version 5.0, SPSS Version 21 Complex Samples module and CMRJack ${ }^{74}$ have been used.

The results are shown in the tables that follow. In addition to the sampling error measures described above, the tables also include weighted and unweighted counts of denominators for each indicator. Given the use of normalized weights, by comparing the weighted and unweighted counts it is possible to determine whether a particular domain has been under-sampled or over-sampled compared to the average sampling rate. If the weighted count is smaller than the unweighted count, this means that the particular domain had been over-sampled. As explained later in the footnote of Table SE.1, there is an exception in the case of indicators 3.15, 4.1 and 4.3, for which the unweighted count represents the number of sample households, and the weighted counts reflect the total

[^53]population. A similar exception is in the case of indicators 8.2 and 8.3 , for which the unweighted count represents the unweighted number of households with children in the specific age range, whereas the weighted numbers reflect the number of children in the specific age range.

Sampling errors are calculated for indicators of primary interest, for the national level, for urban and rural areas, and for all regions. Eight of the selected indicators are based on households members, 16 are based on women, and 14 are based on children under 5 . Table SE. 1 shows the list of indicators for which sampling errors are calculated, including the base population (denominator) for each indicator. Tables SE. 2 to SE. 10 show the calculated sampling errors for selected domains.

Table SE.1: Indicators selected for sampling error calculations
List of indicators selected for sampling error calculations, and base populations (denominators) for each indicator, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016
MICS5 Indicator
Base Population

## Household

3.15 Use of solid fuels for cooking
4.1 Use of improved drinking water sources
4.3 Use of improved sanitation
7.2 School readiness (children attending first grade of primary)
7.4 Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted)
7.5 Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted)
8.2 Child labour
8.3 Violent discipline

Women
1.2 Infant mortality rate
1.5 Under five mortality rate
2.6 Early initiation of breastfeeding
5.1 Adolescent birth rate

Total fertility rate
5.2 Early childbearing
5.3 Contraceptive prevalence rate
5.4 Unmet need
5.5a Antenatal care coverage ( $1+$ times, skilled provider)
5.5b Antenatal care coverage (4+ times, any provider)
5.7 Skilled attendant at delivery
5.9 Caesarean section
7.1 Literacy rate (young women)
8.5 Marriage before age 18
9.1 Knowledge about HIV prevention (young women)
10.3 Use of internet (young women)

Under-5s
2.1a Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe)
2.1b Underweight prevalence (severe)
2.2a Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe)
2.4 Overweight prevalence
2.7 Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months

Tuberculosis immunization coverage at any time before the survey

- Polio immunization coverage at any time before the survey
- Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage
- at any time before the survey
- Hepatitis B immunization coverage at any time before the
survey
- Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage at
- any time before the survey
- Measles immunization coverage at any time before the survey
- Children fully vaccinated at any time before the survey
6.1 Attendance to early childhood education
6.8 Early child development index

All household members ${ }^{\text {a }}$
All household members ${ }^{\text {a }}$
All household members ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Children attending the first grade of primary school
Children of primary school age
Children of secondary school age
Children age 5-17 years ${ }^{\text {b }}$
Children age 1-14 years ${ }^{\text {b }}$

Children of interviewed women exposed to the risk of mortality during the first year of life
Children of interviewed women exposed to the risk of mortality during the first five years of life
Women with a live birth in the last 2 years
Women years of exposure to childbirth during ages 15-19 years
Women years of exposure to childbirth during ages 15-49 years
Women age 20-24 years
Women age 15-49 years who are currently married or in union
Women age 15-49 years who are currently married or in union
Women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years
Women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years
Women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years
Women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years
Women age 15-24 years
Women age 20-49 years
Women age 15-24 years
Women age 15-24 years
Children under age 5 years
Children under age 5 years
Children under age 5 years
Children under age 5 years
Infants under 6 months of age
Children age 12-23 months ${ }^{\text {c }}$
Children age 12-23 months ${ }^{\text {c }}$
Children age 12-23 months ${ }^{\text {c }}$
Children age 12-23 months ${ }^{\text {c }}$
Children age 12-23 months ${ }^{\text {c }}$
Children age 24-35 months ${ }^{\text {c }}$
Children age 24-35 months ${ }^{\text {c }}$
Children age 36-59 months
Children age 36-59 months
${ }^{\text {a }}$ To calculate the weighted results of MICS Indicators $3.15,4.1$ and 4.3 , the household weight is multiplied by the number of household members in each household. Therefore the unweighted base populations presented in the SE tables reflect the unweighted number of households, whereas the weighted numbers reflect the household population.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Random selection of one child age 1-17 years per household is carried out during fieldwork for administering the child labour and/or child discipline modules. The child labour module is administered for children age 5-17 from among those randomly selected, while violent discipline module is administered for children age 1-14. To account for the random selection and calculate MICS Indicators 8.2 and 8.3 , the household sample weight is multiplied by the total number of children in the age range in each household. Therefore the unweighted base population presented in the SE tables reflects the unweighted number of households with children in the age range, whereas the weighted numbers reflect the number of children in the age range.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Due to the way missing values are treated, the weighted count in the SE.2-SE. 10 tables for immunization is different from the number in Table CH. 1 .

Table SE.2: Sampling errors: Total sample

|  | MICS Indicator | MDG Indicator | Value <br> (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower bound r-2se | Upper bound $r+2 s e$ |
| Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of solid fuels for cooking | 3.15 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 29871 | 5861 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 7.8 | 0.8279 | 0.0164 | 0.020 | 11.117 | 3.334 | 29871 | 5861 | 0.795 | 0.861 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 7.9 | 0.9858 | 0.0044 | 0.004 | 8.132 | 2.852 | 29871 | 5861 | 0.977 | 0.995 |
| School readiness (children attending first grade of primary) | 7.2 |  | 0.4413 | 0.0229 | 0.052 | 1.362 | 1.167 | 649 | 641 | 0.395 | 0.487 |
| Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.9808 | 0.0032 | 0.003 | 1.212 | 1.101 | 2300 | 2230 | 0.974 | 0.987 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 |  | 0.9840 | 0.0022 | 0.002 | 1.124 | 1.060 | 3863 | 3692 | 0.980 | 0.988 |
| Child labour | 8.2 |  | 0.0031 | 0.0018 | 0.582 | 5.242 | 2.289 | 6611 | 2858 | 0.000 | 0.007 |
| Violent discipline | 8.3 |  | 0.3656 | 0.0135 | 0.037 | 4.679 | 2.163 | 8239 | 3449 | 0.339 | 0.393 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infant mortality rate | 1.2 | 4.2 | 20.9419 | 2.7772 | 0.133 | na | na | na | na | 15.388 | 26.496 |
| Under five mortality rate | 1.5 | 4.1 | 26.8178 | 3.1278 | 0.117 | na | na | na | na | 20.562 | 33.073 |
| Early initiation of breastfeeding | 2.6 |  | 0.7339 | 0.0141 | 0.019 | 1.485 | 1.219 | 1476 | 1467 | 0.706 | 0.762 |
| Adolescent birth rate | 5.1 | 5.4 | 28.4492 | 3.2034 | 0.113 | na | na | na | na | 22.042 | 34.856 |
| Total fertility rate | - |  | 3.1713 | 0.0859 | 0.027 | na | na | na | na | 2.999 | 3.343 |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 |  | 0.0139 | 0.0030 | 0.218 | 0.939 | 0.969 | 1400 | 1401 | 0.008 | 0.020 |
| Contraceptive prevalence rate | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.5021 | 0.0095 | 0.019 | 1.739 | 1.319 | 4887 | 4861 | 0.483 | 0.521 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.1212 | 0.0045 | 0.037 | 0.933 | 0.966 | 4887 | 4861 | 0.112 | 0.130 |
| Antenatal care coverage ( $1+$ times, skilled provider) | 5.5a | 5.5 | 0.9990 | 0.0010 | 0.001 | 1.430 | 1.196 | 1476 | 1467 | 0.997 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage (4+ times, any provider) | 5.5b | 5.5 | 0.9643 | 0.0086 | 0.009 | 3.118 | 1.766 | 1476 | 1467 | 0.947 | 0.981 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 5.2 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 1476 | 1467 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 |  | 0.0626 | 0.0078 | 0.124 | 1.517 | 1.232 | 1476 | 1467 | 0.047 | 0.078 |
| Literacy rate (young women) | 7.1 | 2.3 | 0.9963 | 0.0025 | 0.002 | 4.243 | 2.060 | 2597 | 2566 | 0.991 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.5 |  | 0.0594 | 0.0035 | 0.059 | 1.409 | 1.187 | 6421 | 6453 | 0.052 | 0.066 |
| Knowledge about HIV prevention (young women) | 9.1 | 6.3 | 0.2541 | 0.0116 | 0.046 | 1.830 | 1.353 | 2597 | 2566 | 0.231 | 0.277 |
| Use of internet (young women) | 10.3 |  | 0.3929 | 0.0153 | 0.039 | 2.503 | 1.582 | 2597 | 2566 | 0.362 | 0.423 |
| Under-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.1 a | 1.8 | 0.0317 | 0.0041 | 0.129 | 2.040 | 1.428 | 3718 | 3721 | 0.024 | 0.040 |
| Underweight prevalence (severe) | 2.1 b | 1.8 | 0.0074 | 0.0018 | 0.250 | 1.729 | 1.315 | 3718 | 3721 | 0.004 | 0.011 |
| Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.2a |  | 0.1146 | 0.0070 | 0.061 | 1.813 | 1.346 | 3713 | 3715 | 0.101 | 0.129 |
| Overweight prevalence | 2.4 |  | 0.0588 | 0.0044 | 0.075 | 1.317 | 1.148 | 3706 | 3708 | 0.050 | 0.068 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.7 |  | 0.5890 | 0.0222 | 0.038 | 0.692 | 0.832 | 343 | 342 | 0.545 | 0.633 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9987 | 0.0013 | 0.001 | 1.028 | 1.014 | 778 | 787 | 0.996 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9885 | 0.0039 | 0.004 | 1.025 | 1.013 | 778 | 787 | 0.981 | 0.996 |
| Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9896 | 0.0037 | 0.004 | 1.037 | 1.018 | 777 | 786 | 0.982 | 0.997 |
| Hepatitis B immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9896 | 0.0037 | 0.004 | 1.034 | 1.017 | 778 | 787 | 0.982 | 0.997 |
| Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9896 | 0.0037 | 0.004 | 1.037 | 1.018 | 777 | 786 | 0.982 | 0.997 |
| Measles immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9977 | 0.0016 | 0.002 | 0.859 | 0.927 | 745 | 735 | 0.994 | 1.000 |
| Children fully vaccinated at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9959 | 0.0024 | 0.002 | 1.058 | 1.028 | 744 | 734 | 0.991 | 1.000 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.1 |  | 0.4285 | 0.0204 | 0.048 | 2.572 | 1.604 | 1518 | 1518 | 0.388 | 0.469 |
| Early child development index | 6.8 |  | 0.9086 | 0.0086 | 0.009 | 1.360 | 1.166 | 1518 | 1518 | 0.891 | 0.926 |

Table SE.3: Sampling errors: Urban

|  | MICS Indicator | MDG Indicator | Value <br> (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower bound r-2se | Upper bound $r+2 s e$ |
| Household 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of solid fuels for cooking | 3.15 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 11666 | 3183 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 7.8 | 0.9782 | 0.0054 | 0.006 | 4.341 | 2.084 | 11666 | 3183 | 0.967 | 0.989 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 7.9 | 0.9736 | 0.0096 | 0.010 | 11.357 | 3.370 | 11666 | 3183 | 0.954 | 0.993 |
| School readiness (children attending first grade of primary) | 7.2 |  | 0.7374 | 0.0263 | 0.036 | 1.089 | 1.044 | 243 | 307 | 0.685 | 0.790 |
| Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.9787 | 0.0058 | 0.006 | 1.721 | 1.312 | 880 | 1070 | 0.967 | 0.990 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 |  | 0.9885 | 0.0028 | 0.003 | 1.172 | 1.083 | 1458 | 1730 | 0.983 | 0.994 |
| Child labour | 8.2 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 3074 | 1428 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Violent discipline | 8.3 |  | 0.3910 | 0.0235 | 0.060 | 7.221 | 2.687 | 3785 | 1712 | 0.344 | 0.438 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infant mortality rate | 1.2 | 4.2 | 16.7255 | 3.7426 | 0.2238 | na | na | na | na | 9.240 | 24.211 |
| Under five mortality rate | 1.5 | 4.1 | 21.5974 | 4.4387 | 0.2055 | na | na | na | na | 12.720 | 30.475 |
| Early initiation of breastfeeding | 2.6 |  | 0.7574 | 0.0199 | 0.026 | 1.371 | 1.171 | 529 | 640 | 0.718 | 0.797 |
| Adolescent birth rate | 5.1 | 5.4 | 34.5957 | 5.7254 | 0.165 | na | na | na | na | 23.145 | 46.047 |
| Total fertility rate | - |  | 2.9837 | 0.1315 | 0.044 | na | na | na | na | 2.721 | 3.247 |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 |  | 0.0193 | 0.0063 | 0.328 | 1.318 | 1.148 | 519 | 623 | 0.007 | 0.032 |
| Contraceptive prevalence rate | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.5030 | 0.0131 | 0.026 | 1.523 | 1.234 | 1803 | 2224 | 0.477 | 0.529 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.1345 | 0.0074 | 0.055 | 1.034 | 1.017 | 1803 | 2224 | 0.120 | 0.149 |
| Antenatal care coverage ( $1+$ times, skilled provider) | 5.5a | 5.5 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 529 | 640 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage (4+ times, any provider) | 5.5b | 5.5 | 0.9867 | 0.0046 | 0.005 | 1.023 | 1.011 | 529 | 640 | 0.977 | 0.996 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 5.2 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 529 | 640 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 |  | 0.0783 | 0.0132 | 0.169 | 1.544 | 1.242 | 529 | 640 | 0.052 | 0.105 |
| Literacy rate (young women) | 7,1 | 2.3 | 0.9977 | 0.0023 | 0.002 | 2.597 | 1.611 | 951 | 1146 | 0.993 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.5 |  | 0.0610 | 0.0049 | 0.080 | 1.299 | 1.140 | 2574 | 3145 | 0.051 | 0.071 |
| Knowledge about HIV prevention (young women) | 9.1 | 6.3 | 0.2771 | 0.0195 | 0.070 | 2.176 | 1.475 | 951 | 1146 | 0.238 | 0.316 |
| Use of internet (young women) | 10.3 |  | 0.5755 | 0.0216 | 0.038 | 2.188 | 1.479 | 951 | 1146 | 0.532 | 0.619 |
| Under-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.1 a | 1.8 | 0.0408 | 0.0086 | 0.211 | 3.033 | 1.742 | 1292 | 1602 | 0.024 | 0.058 |
| Underweight prevalence (severe) | 2.1b | 1.8 | 0.0117 | 0.0043 | 0.365 | 2.533 | 1.592 | 1292 | 1602 | 0.003 | 0.020 |
| Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.2a |  | 0.1218 | 0.0139 | 0.114 | 2.902 | 1.703 | 1288 | 1597 | 0.094 | 0.150 |
| Overweight prevalence | 2.4 |  | 0.0612 | 0.0080 | 0.131 | 1.792 | 1.339 | 1285 | 1593 | 0.045 | 0.077 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.7 |  | 0.5467 | 0.0357 | 0.065 | 0.802 | 0.896 | 131 | 157 | 0.475 | 0.618 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9963 | 0.0037 | 0.004 | 1.274 | 1.129 | 276 | 343 | 0.989 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9724 | 0.0098 | 0.010 | 1.225 | 1.107 | 276 | 343 | 0.953 | 0.992 |
| Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9705 | 0.0104 | 0.011 | 1.286 | 1.134 | 275 | 342 | 0.950 | 0.991 |
| Hepatitis B immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9706 | 0.0103 | 0.011 | 1.274 | 1.129 | 276 | 343 | 0.950 | 0.991 |
| Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9705 | 0.0104 | 0.011 | 1.286 | 1.134 | 275 | 342 | 0.950 | 0.991 |
| Measles immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 275 | 322 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Children fully vaccinated at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9951 | 0.0048 | 0.005 | 1.539 | 1.240 | 274 | 321 | 0.985 | 1.000 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.1 |  | 0.6976 | 0.0296 | 0.042 | 2.710 | 1.646 | 518 | 655 | 0.638 | 0.757 |
| Early child development index | 6.8 |  | 0.9159 | 0.0131 | 0.014 | 1.467 | 1.211 | 518 | 655 | 0.890 | 0.942 |

Table SE.4: Sampling errors: Rural

|  | MICS Indicator | MDG Indicator | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower bound r-2se | Upper bound $r+2 s e$ |
| Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of solid fuels for cooking | 3.15 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 18206 | 2678 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 7.8 | 0.7316 | 0.0261 | 0.036 | 9.317 | 3.052 | 18206 | 2678 | 0.679 | 0.784 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 7.9 | 0.9936 | 0.0039 | 0.004 | 6.427 | 2.535 | 18206 | 2678 | 0.986 | 1.000 |
| School readiness (children attending first grade of primary) | 7.2 |  | 0.2635 | 0.0328 | 0.125 | 1.849 | 1.360 | 405 | 334 | 0.198 | 0.329 |
| Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.9821 | 0.0037 | 0.004 | 0.918 | 0.958 | 1420 | 1160 | 0.975 | 0.990 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 |  | 0.9812 | 0.0031 | 0.003 | 1.021 | 1.011 | 2404 | 1962 | 0.975 | 0.987 |
| Child labour | 8.2 |  | 0.0049 | 0.0028 | 0.580 | 3.960 | 1.990 | 3551 | 1430 | 0.000 | 0.011 |
| Violent discipline | 8.3 |  | 0.3508 | 0.0165 | 0.047 | 3.405 | 1.845 | 4457 | 1737 | 0.318 | 0.384 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infant mortality rate | 1.2 | 4.2 | 23.1898 | 3.7554 | 0.162 | na | na | na | na | 15.679 | 30.701 |
| Under five mortality rate | 1.5 | 4.1 | 29.5949 | 4.1709 | 0.141 | na | na | na | na | 21.253 | 37.937 |
| Early initiation of breastfeeding | 2.6 |  | 0.7208 | 0.0189 | 0.026 | 1.461 | 1.209 | 947 | 827 | 0.683 | 0.759 |
| Adolescent birth rate | 5.1 | 5.4 | 25.0101 | 3.7901 | 0.152 | na | na | na | na | 17.430 | 32.590 |
| Total fertility rate | - |  | 3.2789 | 0.1120 | 0.034 | na | na | na | na | 3.055 | 3.503 |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 |  | 0.0107 | 0.0031 | 0.284 | 0.681 | 0.825 | 881 | 778 | 0.005 | 0.017 |
| Contraceptive prevalence rate | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.5015 | 0.0129 | 0.026 | 1.749 | 1.323 | 3084 | 2637 | 0.476 | 0.527 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.1135 | 0.0058 | 0.051 | 0.871 | 0.933 | 3084 | 2637 | 0.102 | 0.125 |
| Antenatal care coverage ( $1+$ times, skilled provider) | 5.5a | 5.5 | 0.9985 | 0.0015 | 0.002 | 1.253 | 1.119 | 947 | 827 | 0.995 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage (4+ times, any provider) | 5.5b | 5.5 | 0.9518 | 0.0130 | 0.014 | 3.021 | 1.738 | 947 | 827 | 0.926 | 0.978 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 5.2 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 947 | 827 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 |  | 0.0538 | 0.0097 | 0.180 | 1.520 | 1.233 | 947 | 827 | 0.034 | 0.073 |
| Literacy rate (young women) | 7.1 | 2.3 | 0.9955 | 0.0037 | 0.004 | 4.274 | 2.067 | 1646 | 1420 | 0.988 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.5 |  | 0.0583 | 0.0048 | 0.083 | 1.408 | 1.187 | 3847 | 3308 | 0.049 | 0.068 |
| Knowledge about HIV prevention (young women) | 9.1 | 6.3 | 0.2409 | 0.0145 | 0.060 | 1.632 | 1.277 | 1646 | 1420 | 0.212 | 0.270 |
| Use of internet (young women) | 10.3 |  | 0.2874 | 0.0196 | 0.068 | 2.669 | 1.634 | 1646 | 1420 | 0.248 | 0.327 |
| Under-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.1a | 1.8 | 0.0269 | 0.0042 | 0.157 | 1.434 | 1.198 | 2426 | 2119 | 0.018 | 0.035 |
| Underweight prevalence (severe) | 2.1b | 1.8 | 0.0051 | 0.0017 | 0.329 | 1.174 | 1.084 | 2426 | 2119 | 0.002 | 0.008 |
| Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.2a |  | 0.1108 | 0.0078 | 0.070 | 1.303 | 1.141 | 2425 | 2118 | 0.095 | 0.126 |
| Overweight prevalence | 2.4 |  | 0.0576 | 0.0053 | 0.092 | 1.088 | 1.043 | 2421 | 2115 | 0.047 | 0.068 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.7 |  | 0.6153 | 0.0290 | 0.047 | 0.654 | 0.808 | 212 | 185 | 0.557 | 0.673 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 502 | 444 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9973 | 0.0027 | 0.003 | 1.196 | 1.094 | 502 | 444 | 0.992 | 1.000 |
| Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 502 | 444 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Hepatitis B immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 502 | 444 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 502 | 444 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9964 | 0.0026 | 0.003 | 0.767 | 0.876 | 470 | 413 | 0.991 | 1.000 |
| Children fully vaccinated at any time before the survey | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0.9964 | 0.0026 | 0.003 | 0.767 | 0.876 | 470 | 413 | 0.991 | 1.000 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.1 |  | 0.2890 | 0.0254 | 0.088 | 2.706 | 1.645 | 1000 | 863 | 0.238 | 0.340 |
| Early child development index | 6.8 |  | 0.9048 | 0.0112 | 0.012 | 1.252 | 1.119 | 1000 | 863 | 0.882 | 0.927 |

Table SE.5: Sampling errors: Ashgabat city
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft), and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | MICS <br> Indicator | MDG Indicator | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower bound r-2se | Upper bound $r+2 s e$ |
| Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of solid fuels for cooking | 3.15 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 3613 | 990 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 7.8 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 3613 | 990 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 7.9 | 0.9901 | 0.0045 | 0.005 | 2.094 | 1.447 | 3613 | 990 | 0.981 | 0.999 |
| School readiness (children attending first grade of primary) | 7.2 |  | 0.8099 | 0.0385 | 0.048 | 0.675 | 0.822 | 62 | 71 | 0.733 | 0.887 |
| Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.9722 | 0.0111 | 0.011 | 1.313 | 1.146 | 258 | 288 | 0.950 | 0.994 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 |  | 0.9959 | 0.0029 | 0.003 | 1.007 | 1.003 | 447 | 494 | 0.990 | 1.000 |
| Child labour | 8.2 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 832 | 409 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Violent discipline | 8.3 |  | 0.4135 | 0.0287 | 0.069 | 3.243 | 1.801 | 1070 | 505 | 0.356 | 0.471 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infant mortality rate | 1.2 | 4.2 | (25.3655) | (9.1579) | (0.361) | na | na | na | na | (7.050) | (43.681) |
| Under five mortality rate | 1.5 | 4.1 | (31.4810) | (10.1459) | (0.322) | na | na | na | na | (11.189) | (51.773) |
| Early initiation of breastfeeding | 2.6 |  | 0.7960 | 0.0276 | 0.035 | 0.849 | 0.921 | 160 | 182 | 0.741 | 0.851 |
| Adolescent birth rate | 5.1 | 5.4 | 37.4584 | 11.0453 | 0.295 | na | na | na | na | 15.368 | 59.549 |
| Total fertility rate | - |  | (2.7970) | (0.2177) | (0.078) | na | na | na | na | (2.362) | (3.232) |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 |  | 0.0045 | 0.0045 | 0.995 | 0.794 | 0.891 | 163 | 179 | 0.000 | 0.013 |
| Contraceptive prevalence rate | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.4935 | 0.0232 | 0.047 | 1.360 | 1.166 | 564 | 632 | 0.447 | 0.540 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.1525 | 0.0169 | 0.111 | 1.396 | 1.181 | 564 | 632 | 0.119 | 0.186 |
| Antenatal care coverage ( $1+$ times, skilled provider) | 5.5a | 5.5 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 160 | 182 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage (4+ times, any provider) | 5.5b | 5.5 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 160 | 182 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 5.2 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 160 | 182 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 |  | 0.0979 | 0.0238 | 0.243 | 1.161 | 1.077 | 160 | 182 | 0.050 | 0.145 |
| Literacy rate (young women) | 7.1 | 2.3 | 0.9928 | 0.0069 | 0.007 | 2.265 | 1.505 | 307 | 339 | 0.979 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.5 |  | 0.0461 | 0.0059 | 0.128 | 0.727 | 0.853 | 831 | 926 | 0.034 | 0.058 |
| Knowledge about HIV prevention (young women) | 9.1 | 6.3 | 0.2112 | 0.0306 | 0.145 | 1.895 | 1.376 | 307 | 339 | 0.150 | 0.272 |
| Use of internet (young women) | 10.3 |  | 0.5894 | 0.0345 | 0.059 | 1.667 | 1.291 | 307 | 339 | 0.520 | 0.658 |
| Under-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.1a | 1.8 | 0.0238 | 0.0062 | 0.260 | 0.677 | 0.823 | 364 | 412 | 0.011 | 0.036 |
| Underweight prevalence (severe) | 2.1b | 1.8 | 0.0047 | 0.0033 | 0.702 | 0.947 | 0.973 | 364 | 412 | 0.000 | 0.011 |
| Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.2a |  | 0.0702 | 0.0142 | 0.202 | 1.259 | 1.122 | 362 | 410 | 0.042 | 0.099 |
| Overweight prevalence | 2.4 |  | 0.0503 | 0.0113 | 0.224 | 1.086 | 1.042 | 361 | 409 | 0.028 | 0.073 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.7 |  | 0.4130 | 0.0219 | 0.053 | 0.077 | 0.278 | 34 | 40 | 0.369 | 0.457 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 81 | 93 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9310 | 0.0288 | 0.031 | 1.188 | 1.090 | 81 | 93 | 0.873 | 0.989 |
| Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9249 | 0.0291 | 0.031 | 1.120 | 1.058 | 81 | 93 | 0.867 | 0.983 |
| Hepatitis B immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9249 | 0.0291 | 0.031 | 1.120 | 1.058 | 81 | 93 | 0.867 | 0.983 |
| Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9249 | 0.0291 | 0.031 | 1.120 | 1.058 | 81 | 93 | 0.867 | 0.983 |
| Measles immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 78 | 87 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Children fully vaccinated at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9829 | 0.0163 | 0.017 | 1.365 | 1.169 | 78 | 87 | 0.950 | 1.000 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.1 |  | 0.7093 | 0.0528 | 0.074 | 2.188 | 1.479 | 148 | 163 | 0.604 | 0.815 |
| Early child development index | 6.8 |  | 0.8964 | 0.0231 | 0.026 | 0.933 | 0.966 | 148 | 163 | 0.850 | 0.943 |

[^54]Table SE.6: Sampling errors: Ahal velayat

|  | MICS Indicator | MDG Indicator | Value <br> (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower bound r-2se | Upper bound $r+2 s e$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of solid fuels for cooking | 3.15 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 3967 | 899 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 7.8 | 0.6205 | 0.0423 | 0.068 | 6.835 | 2.614 | 3967 | 899 | 0.536 | 0.705 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 7.9 | 0.9981 | 0.0014 | 0.001 | 0.900 | 0.949 | 3967 | 899 | 0.995 | 1.000 |
| School readiness (children attending first grade of primary) | 7.2 |  | 0.3860 | 0.0494 | 0.128 | 1.409 | 1.187 | 104 | 138 | 0.287 | 0.485 |
| Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.9848 | 0.0056 | 0.006 | 0.869 | 0.932 | 319 | 422 | 0.974 | 0.996 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 |  | 0.9960 | 0.0028 | 0.003 | 1.200 | 1.095 | 470 | 626 | 0.991 | 1.000 |
| Child labour | 8.2 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 1219 | 484 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Violent discipline | 8.3 |  | 0.3578 | 0.0291 | 0.081 | 3.591 | 1.895 | 1571 | 602 | 0.300 | 0.416 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infant mortality rate | 1.2 | 4.2 | 21.8460 | 4.7301 | 0.217 | na | na | na | na | 12.386 | 31.306 |
| Under five mortality rate | 1.5 | 4.1 | 30.0578 | 6.3951 | 0.213 | na | na | na | na | 17.268 | 42.848 |
| Early initiation of breastfeeding | 2.6 |  | 0.4705 | 0.0253 | 0.054 | 0.808 | 0.899 | 226 | 316 | 0.420 | 0.521 |
| Adolescent birth rate | 5.1 | 5.4 | 46.3894 | 9.7017 | 0.209 | na | na | na | na | 26.986 | 65.793 |
| Total fertility rate | - |  | 3.5200 | 0.1892 | 0.054 | na | na | na | na | 3.142 | 3.898 |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 |  | 0.0293 | 0.0074 | 0.252 | 0.600 | 0.775 | 226 | 315 | 0.015 | 0.044 |
| Contraceptive prevalence rate | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.5821 | 0.0225 | 0.039 | 1.999 | 1.414 | 691 | 963 | 0.537 | 0.627 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.0688 | 0.0072 | 0.104 | 0.771 | 0.878 | 691 | 963 | 0.054 | 0.083 |
| Antenatal care coverage ( $1+$ times, skilled provider) | 5.5a | 5.5 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 226 | 316 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage (4+ times, any provider) | 5.5b | 5.5 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 226 | 316 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5,7 | 5.2 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 226 | 316 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 |  | 0.0563 | 0.0096 | 0.171 | 0.552 | 0.743 | 226 | 316 | 0.037 | 0.076 |
| Literacy rate (young women) | 7.1 | 2.3 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 359 | 497 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.5 |  | 0.0578 | 0.0070 | 0.122 | 1.106 | 1.052 | 874 | 1219 | 0.044 | 0.072 |
| Knowledge about HIV prevention (young women) | 9.1 | 6.3 | 0.2657 | 0.0249 | 0.094 | 1.572 | 1.254 | 359 | 497 | 0.216 | 0.315 |
| Use of internet (young women) | 10.3 |  | 0.1686 | 0.0218 | 0.130 | 1.689 | 1.300 | 359 | 497 | 0.125 | 0.212 |
| Under-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.1 a | 1.8 | 0.0163 | 0.0062 | 0.381 | 1.951 | 1.397 | 576 | 813 | 0.004 | 0.029 |
| Underweight prevalence (severe) | 2.1b | 1.8 | 0.0048 | 0.0024 | 0.501 | 0.979 | 0.989 | 576 | 813 | 0.000 | 0.010 |
| Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.2a |  | 0.0820 | 0.0152 | 0.186 | 2.493 | 1.579 | 575 | 812 | 0.052 | 0.112 |
| Overweight prevalence | 2.4 |  | 0.1436 | 0.0177 | 0.123 | 2.064 | 1.437 | 574 | 809 | 0.108 | 0.179 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.7 |  | 0.5779 | 0.0501 | 0.087 | 0.884 | 0.940 | 61 | 87 | 0.478 | 0.678 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9908 | 0.0093 | 0.009 | 1.473 | 1.214 | 110 | 155 | 0.972 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9908 | 0.0093 | 0.009 | 1.473 | 1.214 | 110 | 155 | 0.972 | 1.000 |
| Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9908 | 0.0093 | 0.009 | 1.473 | 1.214 | 110 | 155 | 0.972 | 1.000 |
| Hepatitis B immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9908 | 0.0093 | 0.009 | 1.473 | 1.214 | 110 | 155 | 0.972 | 1.000 |
| Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9908 | 0.0093 | 0.009 | 1.473 | 1.214 | 110 | 155 | 0.972 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9943 | 0.0058 | 0.006 | 0.981 | 0.991 | 120 | 165 | 0.983 | 1.000 |
| Children fully vaccinated at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9943 | 0.0058 | 0.006 | 0.981 | 0.991 | 120 | 165 | 0.983 | 1.000 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.1 |  | 0.3716 | 0.0462 | 0.124 | 2.914 | 1.707 | 223 | 320 | 0.279 | 0.464 |
| Early child development index | 6.8 |  | 0.9667 | 0.0092 | 0.010 | 0.839 | 0.916 | 223 | 320 | 0.948 | 0.985 |

Table SE.7: Sampling errors: Balkan velayat
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft), and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | MICS <br> Indicator | MDG Indicator | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower bound $r-2 s e$ | Upper bound $r+2 s e$ |
| Household 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of solid fuels for cooking | 3.15 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 2013 | 1224 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 7.8 | 0.7398 | 0.0355 | 0.048 | 8.020 | 2.832 | 2013 | 1224 | 0.669 | 0.811 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 7.9 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 2013 | 1224 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| School readiness (children attending first grade of primary) | 7.2 |  | 0.7605 | 0.0347 | 0.046 | 0.736 | 0.858 | 45 | 112 | 0.691 | 0.830 |
| Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.9847 | 0.0059 | 0.006 | 0.890 | 0.943 | 152 | 386 | 0.973 | 0.996 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 |  | 0.9893 | 0.0045 | 0.005 | 1.243 | 1.115 | 265 | 648 | 0.980 | 0.998 |
| Child labour | 8.2 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 1098 | 533 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Violent discipline | 8.3 |  | 0.3956 | 0.0366 | 0.093 | 6.518 | 2.553 | 1292 | 612 | 0.322 | 0.469 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infant mortality rate | 1.2 | 4.2 | 15.5076 | 5.8280 | 0.376 | na | na | na | na | 3.852 | 27.164 |
| Under five mortality rate | 1.5 | 4.1 | (15.5076) | (5.8280) | (0.376) | na | na | na | na | (3.852) | (27.164) |
| Early initiation of breastfeeding | 2.6 |  | 0.7748 | 0.0402 | 0.052 | 1.801 | 1.342 | 75 | 195 | 0.694 | 0.855 |
| Adolescent birth rate | 5.1 | 5.4 | 9.9540 | 5.1938 | 0.522 | na | na | na | na | 0.000 | 20.342 |
| Total fertility rate | - |  | 2.6951 | 0.1500 | 0.056 | na | na | na | na | 2.395 | 2.995 |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 |  | 0.0104 | 0.0073 | 0.703 | 1.010 | 1.005 | 75 | 196 | 0.000 | 0.025 |
| Contraceptive prevalence rate | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.4698 | 0.0223 | 0.047 | 1.505 | 1.227 | 289 | 755 | 0.425 | 0.514 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.1667 | 0.0122 | 0.073 | 0.811 | 0.901 | 289 | 755 | 0.142 | 0.191 |
| Antenatal care coverage ( $1+$ times, skilled provider) | 5.5a | 5.5 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 75 | 195 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage (4+ times, any provider) | 5.5b | 5.5 | 0.9831 | 0.0121 | 0.012 | 1.710 | 1.308 | 75 | 195 | 0.959 | 1.000 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 5.2 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 75 | 195 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 |  | 0.0614 | 0.0167 | 0.272 | 0.938 | 0.968 | 75 | 195 | 0.028 | 0.095 |
| Literacy rate (young women) | 7.1 | 2.3 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 150 | 389 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.5 |  | 0.0558 | 0.0072 | 0.129 | 1.038 | 1.019 | 407 | 1058 | 0.041 | 0.070 |
| Knowledge about HIV prevention (young women) | 9.1 | 6.3 | 0.1404 | 0.0155 | 0.110 | 0.773 | 0.879 | 150 | 389 | 0.109 | 0.171 |
| Use of internet (young women) | 10.3 |  | 0.5955 | 0.0380 | 0.064 | 2.330 | 1.527 | 150 | 389 | 0.519 | 0.672 |
| Under-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.1a | 1.8 | 0.0366 | 0.0094 | 0.257 | 1.269 | 1.126 | 194 | 507 | 0.018 | 0.055 |
| Underweight prevalence (severe) | 2.1b | 1.8 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 194 | 507 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.2a |  | 0.1292 | 0.0178 | 0.138 | 1.422 | 1.193 | 193 | 506 | 0.094 | 0.165 |
| Overweight prevalence | 2.4 |  | 0.0221 | 0.0096 | 0.435 | 2.155 | 1.468 | 193 | 506 | 0.003 | 0.041 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.7 |  | (0.7563) | (0.0373) | (0.049) | (0.249) | (0.499) | 14 | 34 | (0.682) | (0.831) |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 46 | 120 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 46 | 120 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 46 | 120 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Hepatitis B immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 46 | 120 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 46 | 120 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 35 | 93 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Children fully vaccinated at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 35 | 93 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.1 |  | 0.7538 | 0.0255 | 0.034 | 0.757 | 0.870 | 83 | 218 | 0.703 | 0.805 |
| Early child development index | 6.8 |  | 0.8960 | 0.0254 | 0.028 | 1.502 | 1.225 | 83 | 218 | 0.845 | 0.947 |

[^55]( Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases; for the under-five mortality rate, figures that are based on 250-499 unweighted cases of children exposed.

Table SE.8: Sampling errors: Dashoguz velayat

|  | MICS Indicator | MDG Indicator | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower bound r-2se | Upper bound $r+2 s e$ |
| Household 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of solid fuels for cooking | 3.15 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 7058 | 873 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 7.8 | 0.9924 | 0.0044 | 0.004 | 2.184 | 1.478 | 7058 | 873 | 0.984 | 1.000 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 7.9 | 0.9798 | 0.0122 | 0.012 | 6.535 | 2.556 | 7058 | 873 | 0.955 | 1.000 |
| School readiness (children attending first grade of primary) | 7.2 |  | 0.2111 | 0.0441 | 0.209 | 1.260 | 1.122 | 154 | 109 | 0.123 | 0.299 |
| Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.9951 | 0.0035 | 0.004 | 0.974 | 0.987 | 556 | 388 | 0.988 | 1.000 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 |  | 0.9917 | 0.0039 | 0.004 | 1.220 | 1.105 | 956 | 672 | 0.984 | 0.999 |
| Child labour | 8.2 |  | 0.0017 | 0.0017 | 0.998 | 1.264 | 1.124 | 1150 | 459 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| Violent discipline | 8.3 |  | 0.1750 | 0.0249 | 0.142 | 3.838 | 1.959 | 1440 | 551 | 0.125 | 0.225 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infant mortality rate | 1.2 | 4.2 | 20.6603 | 6.1187 | 0.296 | na | na | na | na | 8.423 | 32.898 |
| Under five mortality rate | 1.5 | 4.1 | (24.7245) | (6.7191) | (0.272) | na | na | na | na | (11.286) | (38.163) |
| Early initiation of breastfeeding | 2.6 |  | 0.6661 | 0.0308 | 0.046 | 1.210 | 1.100 | 395 | 285 | 0.605 | 0.728 |
| Adolescent birth rate | 5.1 | 5.4 | 22.5131 | 5.6181 | 0.250 | na | na | na | na | 11.277 | 33.749 |
| Total fertility rate | - |  | 3.6615 | 0.1873 | 0.051 | na | na | na | na | 3.287 | 4.036 |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 288 | 211 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Contraceptive prevalence rate | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.3947 | 0.0209 | 0.053 | 1.509 | 1.229 | 1136 | 827 | 0.353 | 0.437 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.1391 | 0.0102 | 0.074 | 0.721 | 0.849 | 1136 | 827 | 0.119 | 0.160 |
| Antenatal care coverage ( $1+$ times, skilled provider) | 5.5a | 5.5 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 395 | 285 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage (4+ times, any provider) | 5.5b | 5.5 | 0.9545 | 0.0144 | 0.015 | 1.362 | 1.167 | 395 | 285 | 0.926 | 0.983 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 5.2 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 395 | 285 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 |  | 0.0503 | 0.0122 | 0.243 | 0.888 | 0.943 | 395 | 285 | 0.026 | 0.075 |
| Literacy rate (young women) | 7.1 | 2.3 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 598 | 435 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.5 |  | 0.0636 | 0.0072 | 0.113 | 0.936 | 0.967 | 1470 | 1075 | 0.049 | 0.078 |
| Knowledge about HIV prevention (young women) | 9.1 | 6.3 | 0.2755 | 0.0237 | 0.086 | 1.219 | 1.104 | 598 | 435 | 0.228 | 0.323 |
| Use of internet (young women) | 10.3 |  | 0.3565 | 0.0346 | 0.097 | 2.259 | 1.503 | 598 | 435 | 0.287 | 0.426 |
| Under-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.1a | 1.8 | 0.0317 | 0.0093 | 0.292 | 1.910 | 1.382 | 936 | 685 | 0.013 | 0.050 |
| Underweight prevalence (severe) | 2.1b | 1.8 | 0.0046 | 0.0026 | 0.563 | 1.008 | 1.004 | 936 | 685 | 0.000 | 0.010 |
| Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.2a |  | 0.1551 | 0.0106 | 0.068 | 0.582 | 0.763 | 936 | 685 | 0.134 | 0.176 |
| Overweight prevalence | 2.4 |  | 0.0479 | 0.0067 | 0.140 | 0.674 | 0.821 | 930 | 681 | 0.034 | 0.061 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.7 |  | 0.5691 | 0.0501 | 0.088 | 0.769 | 0.877 | 106 | 76 | 0.469 | 0.669 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 211 | 155 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 211 | 155 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 211 | 155 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Hepatitis B immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 211 | 155 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 211 | 155 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 178 | 132 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Children fully vaccinated at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 178 | 132 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.1 |  | 0.2578 | 0.0408 | 0.158 | 2.274 | 1.508 | 356 | 262 | 0.176 | 0.339 |
| Early child development index | 6.8 |  | 0.8689 | 0.0206 | 0.024 | 0.971 | 0.985 | 356 | 262 | 0.828 | 0.910 |

Early child development index
$0.8689 \quad 0.0408$
( ) For the under-five mortality rate, figures that are based on 250-499 unweighted cases of children exposed

Table SE.9: Sampling errors: Lebap velayat

|  | MICS Indicator | MDG Indicator | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower bound r-2se | Upper bound $r+2 s e$ |
| Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of solid fuels for cooking | 3.15 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 5799 | 940 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 7.8 | 0.9540 | 0.0046 | 0.005 | 0.458 | 0.677 | 5799 | 940 | 0.945 | 0.963 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 7.9 | 0.9804 | 0.0071 | 0.007 | 2.442 | 1.563 | 5799 | 940 | 0.966 | 0.995 |
| School readiness (children attending first grade of primary) | 7.2 |  | 0.5333 | 0.0564 | 0.106 | 1.458 | 1.207 | 130 | 115 | 0.420 | 0.646 |
| Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.9926 | 0.0042 | 0.004 | 0.975 | 0.987 | 464 | 405 | 0.984 | 1.000 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 |  | 0.9763 | 0.0061 | 0.006 | 1.038 | 1.019 | 738 | 646 | 0.964 | 0.989 |
| Child labour | 8.2 |  | 0.0139 | 0.0089 | 0.640 | 4.852 | 2.203 | 1159 | 487 | 0.000 | 0.032 |
| Violent discipline | 8.3 |  | 0.4341 | 0.0300 | 0.069 | 3.739 | 1.934 | 1509 | 610 | 0.374 | 0.494 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infant mortality rate | 1.2 | 4.2 | 16.2947 | 5.0933 | 0.313 | na | na | na | na | 6.108 | 26.481 |
| Under five mortality rate | 1.5 | 4.1 | 23.6948 | 6.0273 | 0.254 | na | na | na | na | 11.640 | 35.749 |
| Early initiation of breastfeeding | 2.6 |  | 0.8788 | 0.0303 | 0.034 | 2.337 | 1.529 | 300 | 272 | 0.818 | 0.939 |
| Adolescent birth rate | 5.1 | 5.4 | 34.8228 | 8.1217 | 0.233 | na | na | na | na | 18.579 | 51.066 |
| Total fertility rate | - |  | 3.1192 | 0.1871 | 0.060 | na | na | na | na | 2.745 | 3.493 |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 |  | 0.0285 | 0.0102 | 0.359 | 0.973 | 0.987 | 286 | 258 | 0.008 | 0.049 |
| Contraceptive prevalence rate | 5,3 | 5.3 | 0.5298 | 0.0228 | 0.043 | 1.793 | 1.339 | 953 | 858 | 0.484 | 0.575 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.1173 | 0.0100 | 0.085 | 0.824 | 0.908 | 953 | 858 | 0.097 | 0.137 |
| Antenatal care coverage ( $1+$ times, skilled provider) | 5.5a | 5.5 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 300 | 272 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage ( $4+$ times, any provider) | 5.5b | 5.5 | 0.9864 | 0.0071 | 0.007 | 1.017 | 1.008 | 300 | 272 | 0.972 | 1.000 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5,7 | 5.2 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 300 | 272 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 |  | 0.0561 | 0.0162 | 0.289 | 1.342 | 1.159 | 300 | 272 | 0.024 | 0.089 |
| Literacy rate (young women) | 7.1 | 2.3 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 506 | 457 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.5 |  | 0.0966 | 0.0112 | 0.116 | 1.594 | 1.263 | 1235 | 1118 | 0.074 | 0.119 |
| Knowledge about HIV prevention (young women) | 9.1 | 6.3 | 0.2346 | 0.0288 | 0.123 | 2.103 | 1.450 | 506 | 457 | 0.177 | 0.292 |
| Use of internet (young women) | 10.3 |  | 0.4125 | 0.0254 | 0.062 | 1.216 | 1.103 | 506 | 457 | 0.362 | 0.463 |
| Under-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.1a | 1.8 | 0.0369 | 0.0095 | 0.258 | 1.817 | 1.348 | 772 | 712 | 0.018 | 0.056 |
| Underweight prevalence (severe) | 2.1 b | 1.8 | 0.0175 | 0.0070 | 0.398 | 2.007 | 1.417 | 772 | 712 | 0.004 | 0.031 |
| Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.2a |  | 0.1280 | 0.0218 | 0.170 | 3.029 | 1.741 | 771 | 711 | 0.084 | 0.172 |
| Overweight prevalence | 2.4 |  | 0.0509 | 0.0080 | 0.157 | 0.940 | 0.969 | 771 | 711 | 0.035 | 0.067 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.7 |  | 0.6344 | 0.0492 | 0.077 | 0.657 | 0.810 | 66 | 64 | 0.536 | 0.733 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 160 | 147 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9934 | 0.0065 | 0.007 | 0.959 | 0.979 | 160 | 147 | 0.980 | 1.000 |
| Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9934 | 0.0066 | 0.007 | 0.971 | 0.985 | 159 | 146 | 0.980 | 1.000 |
| Hepatitis B immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9934 | 0.0065 | 0.007 | 0.959 | 0.979 | 160 | 147 | 0.980 | 1.000 |
| Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9934 | 0.0066 | 0.007 | 0.971 | 0.985 | 159 | 146 | 0.980 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9933 | 0.0066 | 0.007 | 0.893 | 0.945 | 148 | 136 | 0.980 | 1.000 |
| Children fully vaccinated at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9933 | 0.0067 | 0.007 | 0.893 | 0.945 | 147 | 135 | 0.980 | 1.000 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.1 |  | 0.5142 | 0.0364 | 0.071 | 1.571 | 1.253 | 324 | 298 | 0.441 | 0.587 |
| Early child development index | 6.8 |  | 0.9320 | 0.0159 | 0.017 | 1.193 | 1.092 | 324 | 298 | 0.900 | 0.964 |

Table SE.10: Sampling errors: Mary velayat

|  | MICS Indicator | MDG <br> Indicator | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower bound r-2se | Upper bound $r+2 s e$ |
| Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of solid fuels for cooking | 3.15 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 7421 | 935 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 7.8 | 0.6238 | 0.0576 | 0.092 | 13.189 | 3.632 | 7421 | 935 | 0.509 | 0.739 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 7.9 | 0.9831 | 0.0120 | 0.012 | 8.039 | 2.835 | 7421 | 935 | 0.959 | 1.000 |
| School readiness (children attending first grade of primary) | 7.2 |  | 0.3912 | 0.0574 | 0.147 | 1.316 | 1.147 | 155 | 96 | 0.276 | 0.506 |
| Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.9571 | 0.0096 | 0.010 | 0.760 | 0.872 | 549 | 341 | 0.938 | 0.976 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 |  | 0.9695 | 0.0061 | 0.006 | 0.762 | 0.873 | 986 | 606 | 0.957 | 0.982 |
| Child labour | 8.2 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 1137 | 486 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Violent discipline | 8.3 |  | 0.4686 | 0.0339 | 0.072 | 4.566 | 2.137 | 1341 | 569 | 0.401 | 0.537 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infant mortality rate | 1.2 | 4.2 | 23.8338 | 7.2897 | 0.306 | na | na | na | na | 9.254 | 38.413 |
| Under five mortality rate | 1.5 | 4.1 | (29.9800) | (7.9225) | (0.264) | na | na | na | na | (14.135) | (45.825) |
| Early initiation of breastfeeding | 2.6 |  | 0.8274 | 0.0306 | 0.037 | 1.415 | 1.190 | 320 | 217 | 0.766 | 0.889 |
| Adolescent birth rate | 5.1 | 5.4 | 21.3271 | 5.9557 | 0.279 | na | na | na | na | 9.416 | 33.239 |
| Total fertility rate | - |  | 2.8343 | 0.1816 | 0.064 | na | na | na | na | 2.471 | 3.198 |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 |  | 0.0089 | 0.0062 | 0.702 | 1.067 | 1.033 | 362 | 242 | 0.000 | 0.021 |
| Contraceptive prevalence rate | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.5454 | 0.0200 | 0.037 | 1.331 | 1.154 | 1254 | 826 | 0.505 | 0.585 |
| Unmet need | 5,4 | 5.6 | 0.1125 | 0.0088 | 0.078 | 0.639 | 0.799 | 1254 | 826 | 0.095 | 0.130 |
| Antenatal care coverage ( $1+$ times, skilled provider) | 5.5a | 5.5 | 0.9955 | 0.0045 | 0.004 | 0.957 | 0.978 | 320 | 217 | 0.987 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage (4+ times, any provider) | 5.5b | 5.5 | 0.9081 | 0.0334 | 0.037 | 2.879 | 1.697 | 320 | 217 | 0.841 | 0.975 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 5.2 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 320 | 217 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 |  | 0.0709 | 0.0254 | 0.358 | 2.110 | 1.453 | 320 | 217 | 0.020 | 0.122 |
| Literacy rate (young women) | 7.1 | 2.3 | 0.9891 | 0.0090 | 0.009 | 3.362 | 1.834 | 677 | 449 | 0.971 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.5 |  | 0.0356 | 0.0071 | 0.199 | 1.538 | 1.240 | 1604 | 1057 | 0.021 | 0.050 |
| Knowledge about HIV prevention (young women) | 9.1 | 6.3 | 0.2884 | 0.0265 | 0.092 | 1.537 | 1.240 | 677 | 449 | 0.235 | 0.341 |
| Use of internet (young women) | 10.3 |  | 0.3953 | 0.0385 | 0.097 | 2.775 | 1.666 | 677 | 449 | 0.318 | 0.472 |
| Under-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.1 a | 1.8 | 0.0395 | 0.0104 | 0.263 | 1.685 | 1.298 | 877 | 592 | 0.019 | 0.060 |
| Underweight prevalence (severe) | 2.1b | 1.8 | 0.0060 | 0.0034 | 0.575 | 1.171 | 1.082 | 877 | 592 | 0.000 | 0.013 |
| Stunting prevalence (moderate and severe) | 2.2a |  | 0.0961 | 0.0163 | 0.170 | 1.810 | 1.345 | 876 | 591 | 0.063 | 0.129 |
| Overweight prevalence | 2.4 |  | 0.0336 | 0.0100 | 0.298 | 1.823 | 1.350 | 877 | 592 | 0.014 | 0.054 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.7 |  | (0.6442) | (0.0501) | (0.078) | (0.437) | (0.661) | 63 | 41 | (0.544) | (0.744) |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 171 | 117 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 0.9921 | 0.0079 | 0.008 | 0.924 | 0.961 | 171 | 117 | 0.976 | 1.000 |
| Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 171 | 117 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Hepatitis B immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 171 | 117 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 171 | 117 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 184 | 122 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Children fully vaccinated at any time before the survey | - |  | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | na | na | 184 | 122 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.1 |  | 0.3688 | 0.0517 | 0.140 | 2.942 | 1.715 | 383 | 257 | 0.265 | 0.472 |
| Early child development index | 6.8 |  | 0.8994 | 0.0213 | 0.024 | 1.284 | 1.133 | 383 | 257 | 0.857 | 0.942 |

na: not applicable
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases; for the under-five mortality rate, figures that are based on 250-499 unweighted cases of children exposed.

## Appendix D. Data Quality Tables

## Table DQ.1: Age distribution of household population

Single-year age distribution of household population by sex, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Males |  | Females |  |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Age |  |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 428 | 2.9 | 375 | 2.5 | 45 | 176 | 1.2 | 156 | 1.0 |
| 1 | 426 | 2.9 | 398 | 2.6 | 46 | 156 | 1.1 | 175 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 424 | 2.9 | 351 | 2.3 | 47 | 162 | 1.1 | 157 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 394 | 2.7 | 405 | 2.7 | 48 | 165 | 1.1 | 165 | 1.1 |
| 4 | 426 | 2.9 | 353 | 2.3 | 49 | 137 | 0.9 | 157 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 383 | 2.6 | 358 | 2.3 | 50 | 156 | 1.1 | 167 | 1.1 |
| 6 | 332 | 2.3 | 317 | 2.1 | 51 | 138 | 0.9 | 180 | 1.2 |
| 7 | 318 | 2.2 | 291 | 1.9 | 52 | 134 | 0.9 | 188 | 1.2 |
| 8 | 255 | 1.7 | 268 | 1.8 | 53 | 150 | 1.0 | 173 | 1.1 |
| 9 | 242 | 1.7 | 250 | 1.6 | 54 | 157 | 1.1 | 157 | 1.0 |
| 10 | 250 | 1.7 | 251 | 1.6 | 55 | 135 | 0.9 | 200 | 1.3 |
| 11 | 243 | 1.7 | 233 | 1.5 | 56 | 126 | 0.9 | 144 | 0.9 |
| 12 | 246 | 1.7 | 210 | 1.4 | 57 | 113 | 0.8 | 147 | 1.0 |
| 13 | 205 | 1.4 | 234 | 1.5 | 58 | 110 | 0.8 | 157 | 1.0 |
| 14 | 230 | 1.6 | 247 | 1.6 | 59 | 126 | 0.9 | 124 | 0.8 |
| 15 | 252 | 1.7 | 233 | 1.5 | 60 | 105 | 0.7 | 111 | 0.7 |
| 16 | 270 | 1.8 | 252 | 1.7 | 61 | 83 | 0.6 | 89 | 0.6 |
| 17 | 275 | 1.9 | 240 | 1.6 | 62 | 86 | 0.6 | 78 | 0.5 |
| 18 | 143 | 1.0 | 261 | 1.7 | 63 | 69 | 0.5 | 73 | 0.5 |
| 19 | 139 | 0.9 | 269 | 1.8 | 64 | 63 | 0.4 | 101 | 0.7 |
| 20 | 217 | 1.5 | 257 | 1.7 | 65 | 63 | 0.4 | 63 | 0.4 |
| 21 | 307 | 2.1 | 315 | 2.1 | 66 | 35 | 0.2 | 68 | 0.4 |
| 22 | 263 | 1.8 | 345 | 2.3 | 67 | 30 | 0.2 | 40 | 0.3 |
| 23 | 305 | 2.1 | 293 | 1.9 | 68 | 32 | 0.2 | 51 | 0.3 |
| 24 | 295 | 2.0 | 264 | 1.7 | 69 | 26 | 0.2 | 34 | 0.2 |
| 25 | 270 | 1.8 | 294 | 1.9 | 70 | 27 | 0.2 | 31 | 0.2 |
| 26 | 273 | 1.9 | 274 | 1.8 | 71 | 11 | 0.1 | 15 | 0.1 |
| 27 | 294 | 2.0 | 291 | 1.9 | 72 | 12 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 28 | 284 | 1.9 | 282 | 1.9 | 73 | 28 | 0.2 | 32 | 0.2 |
| 29 | 272 | 1.9 | 281 | 1.8 | 74 | 23 | 0.2 | 34 | 0.2 |
| 30 | 266 | 1.8 | 241 | 1.6 | 75 | 25 | 0.2 | 29 | 0.2 |
| 31 | 229 | 1.6 | 242 | 1.6 | 76 | 25 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.2 |
| 32 | 282 | 1.9 | 240 | 1.6 | 77 | 12 | 0.1 | 21 | 0.1 |
| 33 | 220 | 1.5 | 236 | 1.6 | 78 | 17 | 0.1 | 29 | 0.2 |
| 34 | 203 | 1.4 | 210 | 1.4 | 79 | 17 | 0.1 | 20 | 0.1 |
| 35 | 170 | 1.2 | 174 | 1.1 | 80 | 7 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 36 | 183 | 1.3 | 231 | 1.5 | 81 | 11 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.1 |
| 37 | 213 | 1.5 | 214 | 1.4 | 82 | 5 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 38 | 178 | 1.2 | 172 | 1.1 | 83 | 8 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 39 | 162 | 1.1 | 204 | 1.3 | 84 | 8 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 164 | 1.1 | 169 | 1.1 | 85+ | 15 | 0.1 | 43 | 0.3 |
| 41 | 185 | 1.3 | 166 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 178 | 1.2 | 201 | 1.3 | DK/Missing | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 43 | 171 | 1.2 | 163 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 185 | 1.3 | 179 | 1.2 | Total | 14635 | 100.0 | 15237 | 100.0 |

## Figure DQ.1: Household population by single ages,

 Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

Note: The figure excludes 3 household members with unknown age

## Table DQ.2: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women

Household population of women age 10-54 years, interviewed women age 15-49 years, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed, by five-year age groups, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Household population of women age 10-54 years | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Interview } \\ \text { age 15- } \end{array}$ | omen ears | Percentage of eligible women interviewed (Completion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Percent | rate) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 1173 | na | na | na |
| 15-19 | 1256 | 1246 | 15.7 | 99.2 |
| 20-24 | 1475 | 1458 | 18.4 | 98.9 |
| 25-29 | 1422 | 1407 | 17.7 | 98.9 |
| 30-34 | 1170 | 1162 | 14.7 | 99.3 |
| 35-39 | 995 | 985 | 12.4 | 99.0 |
| 40-44 | 879 | 868 | 10.9 | 98.7 |
| 45-49 | 811 | 804 | 10.1 | 99.2 |
| 50-54 | 865 | na | na | na |
| Total (15-49) | 8008 | 7930 | 100.0 | 99.0 |
| Ratio of 50-54 to 45-49 | 1.07 | na | na | na |
| na: not applicable |  |  |  |  |

Table DQ.3: Age distribution of children in household and under-5 questionnaires
Household population of children age 0-7 years, children age 0-4 years whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed, and percentage of under- 5 children whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed, by single years of age, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


Table DQ.4: Birth date reporting: Household population
Percent distribution of household population by completeness of date of birth information, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Completeness of reporting of month and year of birth |  |  |  | Total | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year and month of birth | Year of birth only | Month of birth only | Both missing |  |  |
| Total | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 29871 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3979 |
| 5-14 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5362 |
| 15-24 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5196 |
| 25-49 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10457 |
| 50-64 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3839 |
| 65-84 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 978 |
| 85+ | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 58 |
| DK/Missing | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3613 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3967 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2013 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7058 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5799 |
| Mary velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7421 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 11666 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 18206 |

Table DQ.5: Birth date and age reporting: Women

|  | Completeness of reporting of date of birth and age |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women age 1549 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year and month of birth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { birth } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Year of birth only | Age only | Other/DK/Missing |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7618 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 975 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1007 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 482 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1779 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1455 |
| Mary velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1920 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3006 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4612 |

Table DQ.6: Birth date and age reporting: Under-5s
Percent distribution of children under 5 by completeness of date of birth/age information, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Completeness of reporting of date of birth and age |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of under-5 children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year and month of birth | Year of birth and age | Year of birth only | Age only | Other/DK/Missing |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3765 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 385 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 576 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 195 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 950 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 780 |
| Mary velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 879 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1324 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2441 |


| Percent distribution of children, adolescents and young people age 5-24 years by completeness of date of birth information, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completeness of reporting of month and year of birth |  |  |  | Total | Number of children, adolescents and young people age 5-24 years |
|  | Year and month of birth | Year of birth only | Month of birth only | Both missing |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10558 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1206 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1452 |
| Balkan velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 672 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2469 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2032 |
| Mary velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2727 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3952 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6606 |

Table DQ.8: Birth date reporting: First and last births
Percent distribution of first and last births to women age 15-49 years by completeness of date of birth, Turkmenistan, 20152016

|  | Completeness of reporting of date of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date of first birth |  |  |  |  | Num ber of first births | Date of last birth |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Num } \\ \text { ber } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { last } \\ \text { birth } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Year and month of birth | Year of birth only | Complet ed years since first birth only | Other/ DK/Mi ssing | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { birth } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Year of birth only | Other/ DK/Mi ssing |  |  |
| Total | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4910 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3975 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 627 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 462 |
| Ahal velayat | 99.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 658 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 563 |
| Balkan velayat | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 308 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 244 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 99.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1122 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 889 |
| Lebap velayat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 972 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 796 |
| Mary velayat | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1224 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1020 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1969 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1508 |
| Rural | 99.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2942 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2467 |

Table DQ.9: Completeness of reporting
Percentage of observations that are missing information for selected questions and indicators, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016
Questionnaire and type of

missing information $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
Percent with <br>
missing/incomplete <br>
information

$\quad$

Number of <br>
cases
\end{tabular}

| Household <br> Salt test result | All households interviewed that have salt |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Women |  | 0.0 | 5861 |
| Date of first marriage/union All ever married women age 15-49 <br> Only month  <br> Both month and year All ever married women age 15-49 with year <br> of first marriage not known 0.0 <br> Age at first marriage/union 0.0 <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes "Don't know" responses 0.0 | 5378 |  |  |


| Percent distribution of children un <br> Valid weight and date of birth |  | Reason for exclusion from analysis |  |  |  |  | Percent of children excluded from analysis | Number of children under 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weight not measured | Incomple te date of birth | Weight not measured and incomplete date of birth | Flagged cases (outliers) | Total |  |  |
| Total | 98.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 3765 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 months | 99.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 343 |
| 6-11 months | 99.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 380 |
| 12-23 months | 98.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 778 |
| 24-35 months | 99.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 746 |
| 36-47 months | 98.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 758 |
| 48-59 months | 98.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 760 |

Table DQ.11: Completeness of information for anthropometric indicators: Stunting
Percent distribution of children under 5 by completeness of information on date of birth and length or height, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Valid length/ height and date of birth | Reason for exclusion from analysis |  |  |  | Total | Percent of children excluded from analysis | Number of children under 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length/Height not measured | Incomplet e date of birth | Length/Height not measured, incomplete date of birth | Flagged cases (outliers) |  |  |  |
| Total | 98.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 3765 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 months | 98.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 343 |
| 6-11 months | 99.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 380 |
| 12-23 months | 98.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 778 |
| 24-35 months | 99.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 746 |
| 36-47 months | 98.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 758 |
| 48-59 months | 98.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 760 |

## Table DQ.12: Completeness of information for anthropometric indicators: Wasting

Percent distribution of children under 5 by completeness of information on weight and length or height, Turkmenistan, 20152016

|  |  |  | on for exclus | n from analys |  |  | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid weight and length/height | Weight not measured | Length/Height not measured | Weight and length/height not measured | Flagged cases (outliers ) | Total | children excluded from analysis | Number of children under 5 |
| Total | 98.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 3765 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 months | 97.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2.5 | 343 |
| 6-11 months | 99.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 380 |
| $12-23$ <br> months | 98.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.8 | 778 |
| 24-35 months | 99.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 746 |
| 36-47 months | 98.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 758 |
| 48-59 months | 97.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 760 |



Figure DQ.2: Weight and height/length measurements by digits reported for the decimal points, Turkmenistan, 20152016


Table DQ.14: Observation of birth certificates
Percent distribution of children under 5 by presence of birth certificates, and percentage of birth certificates seen, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Child has birth certificate |  | Child does not have birth certificate | DK/Missing | Total | Percentageof birthcertificatesseen by theinterviewer$(1) /(1+2)^{*} 100$ | Number of children under age 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seen by the interviewer (1) | Not seen by the interviewer (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 94.1 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 3765 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 89.9 | 9.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 90.7 | 385 |
| Ahal velayat | 97.5 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 97.5 | 576 |
| Balkan velayat | 95.3 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 96.1 | 195 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 94.1 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.0 | 950 |
| Lebap velayat | 91.6 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 92.7 | 780 |
| Mary velayat | 95.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 879 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 92.3 | 6.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93.5 | 1324 |
| Rural | 95.1 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 96.1 | 2441 |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-5 months | 81.8 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 91.2 | 343 |
| 6-11 months | 93.9 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.7 | 380 |
| 12-23 months | 94.5 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.9 | 778 |
| 24-35 months | 95.3 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.5 | 746 |
| 36-47 months | 95.6 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | 758 |
| 48-59 months | 96.7 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 760 |

## Table DQ.15: Observation of vaccination cards at home and in health facility

|  | Child does not have vaccination card at home |  | Child has vaccination card at home |  |  | Child has vaccination card at health facility |  |  | Percentage of vaccination cards seen by the interviewer (at home and/or in health facility) | Number of children age 0-35 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had vaccination card at home previously | Never had vaccination card at home | Seen by the interviewer at home | Not seen by the interviewer at home | Missing/DK | ```Seen by the interviewer at health facility``` | Not seen by the interviewer at health facility | Missing/DK |  |  |
| Total | 12.3 | 17.1 | 37.3 | 33.2 | 0.1 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 99.7 | 2247 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 2.0 | 1.7 | 23.5 | 72.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 237 |
| Ahal velayat | 0.3 | 0.8 | 69.5 | 29.5 | 0.0 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 98.8 | 353 |
| Balkan velayat | 7.5 | 5.9 | 34.5 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 113 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 3.0 | 41.6 | 33.2 | 22.0 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 593 |
| Lebap velayat | 38.9 | 17.7 | 17.9 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 99.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 99.3 | 456 |
| Mary velayat | 13.5 | 8.6 | 44.5 | 33.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 496 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 12.5 | 9.1 | 32.5 | 45.8 | 0.1 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 99.3 | 806 |
| Rural | 12.2 | 21.5 | 40.0 | 26.2 | 0.1 | 99.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 1441 |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-5 months | 5.4 | 18.9 | 47.9 | 27.4 | 0.4 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 99.6 | 343 |
| 6-11 months | 10.2 | 15.9 | 40.9 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 380 |
| 12-23 months | 15.5 | 17.5 | 34.5 | 32.3 | 0.1 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 99.7 | 778 |
| 24-35 months | 13.2 | 16.3 | 33.6 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 99.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 99.6 | 746 |

Table DQ.16: Observation of places for handwashing
Percent distribution of places for handwashing observed by the interviewers in all interviewed households, Turkmenistan, 20152016

|  | Place for handwashing |  |  |  | Total | Number of households interviewed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not observed |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Observed | Not in the dwelling, plot or yard | No permission to see | Other reason |  |  |
| Total | 99.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5861 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 99.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 883 |
| Ahal velayat | 99.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 674 |
| Balkan velayat | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 497 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 99.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1236 |
| Lebap velayat | 99.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1079 |
| Mary velayat | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1491 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2634 |
| Rural | 99.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3227 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1175 |
| Second | 99.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1035 |
| Middle | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 975 |
| Fourth | 99.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1116 |
| Richest | 99.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1561 |

Table DQ.17: Respondent to the under-5 questionnaire
Distribution of children under five by respondent to the under-5 questionnaire, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Mother in the household | Mother not in the household and primary caretaker identified: |  | Total | Number of children under 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Father | Other adult female |  |  |
| Total | 99.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 3979 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 99.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 803 |
| 1 | 98.6 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 824 |
| 2 | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 775 |
| 3 | 98.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 798 |
| 4 | 98.6 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 779 |

## Table DQ.18: School attendance by single age

Distribution of household population age 5-24 years by educational level and grade attended in the current (or most recent) school year, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016
Currently attending


[^56]| Sex ratio (number of males per 100 females) among children ever born (at birth), children living, and deceased children, by age of women, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Children Ever Born |  |  | Children Living |  |  | Children Deceased |  |  |  |
|  | Sons | Daughters | Sex ratio at birth | Sons | Daughters | Sex ratio | Sons | Daughters | Sex ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 6640 | 6214 | 1.07 | 6327 | 5988 | 1.06 | 313 | 226 | 1.38 | 7618 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 21 | 15 | 1.41 | 21 | 14 | 1.43 | 1 | 1 | 1.00 | 1197 |
| 20-24 | 404 | 402 | 1.01 | 395 | 395 | 1.00 | 9 | 7 | 1.37 | 1400 |
| 25-29 | 1120 | 985 | 1.14 | 1084 | 964 | 1.12 | 36 | 21 | 1.73 | 1351 |
| 30-34 | 1326 | 1244 | 1.07 | 1282 | 1212 | 1.06 | 44 | 33 | 1.34 | 1117 |
| 35-39 | 1343 | 1271 | 1.06 | 1268 | 1227 | 1.03 | 75 | 44 | 1.72 | 946 |
| 40-44 | 1200 | 1165 | 1.03 | 1139 | 1113 | 1.02 | 62 | 53 | 1.17 | 835 |
| 45-49 | 1225 | 1132 | 1.08 | 1139 | 1063 | 1.07 | 86 | 69 | 1.25 | 772 |

## Table DQ.20: Births by periods preceding the survey

Number of births, sex ratio at birth, and period ratio by periods preceding the survey, according to living, deceased, and total children (imputed), as reported in the birth histories, Turkmenistan, 2015-2016

|  | Number of births |  |  | Percent with complete birth date ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Sex ratio at birth ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Period ratio ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Living | Deceased | Total | Living | Deceased | Total | Living | Deceased | Total | Living | Deceased | Total |
| Total | 12316 | 538 | 12855 | 99.9 | 97.1 | 99.8 | 105.4 | 143.3 | 106.8 | na | na | na |
| Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 726 | 13 | 740 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 115.2 | 68.9 | 114.1 | na | na | na |
| 1 | 775 | 14 | 789 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 104.6 | 459.8 | 106.9 | 104.9 | 72.7 | 104.1 |
| 2 | 752 | 25 | 776 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 126.9 | 227.0 | 129.1 | 98.0 | 138.7 | 99.0 |
| 3 | 758 | 22 | 780 | 99.3 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 93.6 | 413.2 | 97.0 | 101.6 | 94.2 | 101.3 |
| 4 | 742 | 22 | 763 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 99.9 | 121.7 | 119.8 | 121.6 | 102.9 | 94.1 | 102.6 |
| 5 | 684 | 24 | 708 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 99.9 | 103.5 | 101.5 | 103.4 | 100.4 | 91.9 | 100.1 |
| 6 | 620 | 31 | 651 | 100.0 | 91.8 | 99.6 | 105.8 | 252.8 | 109.9 | 99.8 | 118.1 | 100.5 |
| 7 | 560 | 28 | 588 | 100.0 | 95.4 | 99.8 | 107.9 | 114.4 | 108.2 | 101.7 | 111.8 | 102.2 |
| 8 | 480 | 19 | 500 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 142.2 | 100.6 | 94.2 | 91.9 | 94.1 |
| 9 | 460 | 14 | 474 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 88.5 | 89.6 | 88.5 | 14.7 | 8.3 | 14.4 |
| 10+ | 5760 | 327 | 6086 | 99.9 | 96.8 | 99.7 | 103.5 | 133.3 | 105.0 | na | na | na |
| Five-year periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 3753 | 95 | 3848 | 99.8 | 99.2 | 99.8 | 111.6 | 197.7 | 113.1 | na | na | na |
| 5-9 | 2804 | 117 | 2920 | 100.0 | 96.4 | 99.9 | 101.5 | 136.5 | 102.7 | na | na | na |
| 10-14 | 2055 | 81 | 2136 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 99.9 | 97.8 | 167.8 | 99.8 | na | na | na |
| 15-19 | 1952 | 110 | 2062 | 99.9 | 97.0 | 99.7 | 105.0 | 161.4 | 107.4 | na | na | na |
| 20+ | 1753 | 135 | 1887 | 99.8 | 96.6 | 99.6 | 109.0 | 100.1 | 108.3 | na | na | na |

na: not applicable
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Both month and year of birth given. The inverse of the percent reported is the percent with incomplete and therefore imputed date of birth
${ }^{\circ}\left(B_{m} / B_{f}\right) \times 100$, where $B_{m}$ and $B_{f}$ are the numbers of male and female births, respectively
${ }^{c}\left(2 \times B_{t} /\left(B_{t-1}+B_{t+1}\right)\right) \times 100$, where $B_{t}$ is the number of births in year $t$ preceding the survey

## Table DQ.21: Reporting of age at death in days

Distribution of reported deaths under one month of age by age at death in days and the percentage of neonatal deaths reported to occur at ages 0-6 days, by 5-year periods preceding the survey (imputed), Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


## Table DQ.22: Reporting of age at death in months

Distribution of reported deaths under two years of age by age at death in months and the percentage of infant deaths reported to occur at age under one month, for the 5 -year periods of birth preceding the survey (imputed), Turkmenistan, 2015-2016


## Appendix E. 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS5 Indicators: Numerators and Denominators

| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module ${ }^{75}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference <br> 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MORTALITY ${ }^{77}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | Neonatal mortality rate | BH | Probability of dying within the first month of life |  |  |
| 1.2 | Infant mortality rate | CM - BH | Probability of dying between birth and the first birthday |  | MDG 4.2 |
| 1.3 | Post-neonatal mortality rate | BH | Difference between infant and neonatal mortality rates |  |  |
| 1.4 | Child mortality rate | BH | Probability of dying between the first and the fifth birthdays |  |  |
| 1.5 | Under-five mortality rate | CM - BH | Probability of dying between birth and the fifth birthday |  | MDG 4.1 |


| NUTRITION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \mathrm{a} \\ & 2.1 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Underweight prevalence | AN | Number of children under age 5 who fall below <br> (a) minus two standard deviations (moderate and severe) <br> (b) minus three standard deviations (severe) <br> of the median weight for age of the WHO standard | Total number of children under age 5 | MDG 1.8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.2a } \\ & 2.2 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Stunting prevalence | AN | Number of children under age 5 who fall below <br> (a) minus two standard deviations (moderate and severe) <br> (b) below minus three standard deviations (severe) of the median height for age of the WHO standard | Total number of children under age 5 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \mathrm{a} \\ & 2.3 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Wasting prevalence | AN | Number of children under age 5 who fall below <br> (a) minus two standard deviations (moderate and severe) <br> (b) minus three standard deviations (severe) of the median weight for height of the WHO standard | Total number of children under age 5 |  |

[^57]| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module ${ }^{75}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.4 | Overweight prevalence | AN | Number of children under age 5 who are above two standard deviations of the median weight for height of the WHO standard | Total number of children under age 5 |  |
| 2.5 | Children ever breastfed | MN | Number of women with a live birth in the last 2 years who breastfed their last live-born child at any time | Total number of women with a live birth in the last 2 years |  |
| 2.6 | Early initiation of breastfeeding | MN | Number of women with a live birth in the last 2 years who put their last newborn to the breast within one hour of birth | Total number of last live-born children in the last 2 years |  |
| 2.7 | Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | BD | Number of infants under 6 months of age who are exclusively breastfed ${ }^{78}$ | Total number of infants under 6 months of age |  |
| 2.8 | Predominant breastfeeding under 6 months | BD | Number of infants under 6 months of age who received breast milk as the predominant source of nourishment ${ }^{79}$ during the previous day | Total number of infants under 6 months of age |  |
| 2.9 | Continued breastfeeding at 1 year | BD | Number of children age 12-15 months who received breast milk during the previous day | Total number of children age 12-15 months |  |
| 2.10 | Continued breastfeeding at 2 years | BD | Number of children age 20-23 months who received breast milk during the previous day | Total number of children age 20-23 months |  |
| 2.11 | Duration of breastfeeding | BD | The age in months when 50 percent of children age 0-35 m day | nths did not receive breast milk during the previous |  |
| 2.12 | Age-appropriate breastfeeding | BD | Number of children age 0-23 months appropriately fed ${ }^{80}$ during the previous day | Total number of children age 0-23 months |  |
| 2.13 | Introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods | BD | Number of infants age 6-8 months who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods during the previous day | Total number of infants age 6-8 months |  |
| 2.14 | Milk feeding frequency for non-breastfed children | BD | Number of non-breastfed children age 6-23 months who received at least 2 milk feedings during the previous day | Total number of non-breastfed children age 6-23 months |  |

[^58]| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module ${ }^{75}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.15 | Minimum meal frequency | BD | Number of children age 6-23 months who received solid, semi-solid and soft foods (plus milk feeds for non-breastfed children) the minimum number of times ${ }^{81}$ or more during the previous day | Total number of children age 6-23 months |  |
| 2.16 | Minimum dietary diversity | BD | Number of children age 6-23 months who received foods from 4 or more food groups ${ }^{82}$ during the previous day | Total number of children age 6-23 months |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 2.17 a \\ 2.17 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | Minimum acceptable diet | BD | (a) Number of breastfed children age 6-23 months who had at least the minimum dietary diversity and the minimum meal frequency during the previous day <br> (b) Number of non-breastfed children age 6-23 months who received at least 2 milk feedings and had at least the minimum dietary diversity not including milk feeds and the minimum meal frequency during the previous day | (a) Number of breastfed children age 6-23 months <br> (b) Number of non-breastfed children age 6-23 months |  |
| 2.18 | Bottle feeding | BD | Number of children age 0-23 months who were fed with a bottle during the previous day | Total number of children age 0-23 months |  |
| 2.19 | lodized salt consumption | SI | Number of households with salt testing 15 parts per million or more of iodide/iodate | Total number of households in which salt was tested or where there was no salt |  |
| 2.20 | Low-birthweight infants | MN | Number of most recent live births in the last 2 years weighing below 2,500 grams at birth | Total number of most recent live births in the last 2 years |  |
| 2.21 | Infants weighed at birth | MN | Number of most recent live births in the last 2 years who were weighed at birth | Total number of most recent live births in the last 2 years |  |

## CHILD HEALTH

| 3.1 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | IM | Number of children age 12-23 months who received BCG <br> vaccine by their first birthday | Total number of children age 12-23 months |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^59]| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module ${ }^{75}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.2 | Polio immunization coverage | IM | Number of children age 12-23 months who received the third dose of OPV vaccine (OPV3) by their first birthday | Total number of children age 12-23 months |  |
| 3.3 | Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) immunization coverage | IM | Number of children age 12-23 months who received the third dose of DPT vaccine (DPT3) by their first birthday | Total number of children age 12-23 months |  |
| 3.4 | Measles immunization coverage ${ }^{83}$ | IM | Number of children age 24-35 months who received measles vaccine by their second birthday | Total number of children age 24-35 months | MDG 4.3 |
| 3.5 | Hepatitis B immunization coverage | IM | Number of children age 12-23 months who received the third dose of Hepatitis B vaccine (HepB3) by their first birthday | Total number of children age 12-23 months |  |
| 3.6 | Haemophilusinfluenzae type B (Hib) immunization coverage | IM | Number of children age 12-23 months who received the third dose of Hib vaccine (Hib3) by their first birthday | Total number of children age 12-23 months |  |
| 3.8 | Full immunization coverage ${ }^{84}$ | IM | Number of children age 24-35 months who received all vaccinations recommended in the national immunization schedule by their first birthday (measles by their second birthday) | Total number of children age 24-35 months |  |
| 3.10 | Care-seeking for diarrhoea | CA | Number of children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider | Total number of children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks |  |
| 3.11 | Diarrhoea treatment with oral rehydration salts (ORS) and zinc | CA | Number of children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks who received ORS and zinc | Total number of children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks |  |
| $3.51{ }^{85}$ | Diarrhoea treatment with oral rehydration therapy (ORT) and continued feeding | CA | Number of children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks who received ORT (ORS packet or increased fluids) and continued feeding during the episode of diarrhoea | Total number of children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks |  |
| 3.13 | Care-seeking for children with acute respiratory infection (ARI) symptoms | CA | Number of children under age 5 with ARI symptoms in the last 2 weeks for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider | Total number of children under age 5 with ARI symptoms in the last 2 weeks |  |

[^60]| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module | Numerator | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference <br> 76 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.14 | Antibiotic treatment for children with ARI <br> symptoms | CA | Number of children under age 5 with ARI symptoms in the <br> last 2 weeks who received antibiotics | Total number of children under age 5 with ARI <br> symptoms in the last 2 weeks |
| 3.15 | Use of solid fuels for cooking | HC | Number of household members in households that use <br> solid fuels as the primary source of domestic energy to <br> cook | Total number of household members |
| 3.20 | Care-seeking for fever | CA | Number of children under age 5 with fever in the last 2 <br> weeks for whom advice or treatment was sought from a <br> health facility or provider | Total number of children under age 5 with fever in the <br> last 2 weeks |


| WATER AND SANITATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WS | Number of household members using improved sources of <br> drinking water | Total number of household members |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.1 | Use of improved drinking water sources | WS | Number of household members in households using <br> unimproved drinking water who use an appropriate <br> treatment method | Total number of household members in households <br> using unimproved drinking water sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.2 | Water treatment | WS | Number of household members using improved sanitation <br> facilities which are not shared | Total number of household members |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.3 | Use of improved sanitation | CA | Number of children age 0-2 years whose last stools were <br> disposed of safely | Total number of children age 0-2 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.4 | Safe disposal of child's faeces | HW | Number of households with a specific place for hand <br> washing where water and soap or other cleansing agent <br> are present | Total number of households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.5 | Place for handwashing | HW | Number of households with soap | MDG 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.6 | Availability of soap ${ }^{86}$ |  | Total number of households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^61]| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module ${ }^{75}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 | Adolescent birth rate ${ }^{87}$ | CM - BH | Age-specific fertility rate for women age 15-19 years |  | MDG 5.4 |
| 5.2 | Early childbearing | CM - BH | Number of women age 20-24 years who had at least one live birth before age 18 | Total number of women age 20-24 years |  |
| 5.3 | Contraceptive prevalence rate | CP | Number of women age 15-49 years currently married or in union who are using (or whose partner is using) a (modern or traditional) contraceptive method | Total number of women age 15-49 years who are currently married or in union | MDG 5.3 |
| 5.4 | Unmet need ${ }^{88}$ | UN | Number of women age 15-49 years who are currently married or in union who are fecund and want to space their births or limit the number of children they have and who are not currently using contraception | Total number of women age 15-49 years who are currently married or in union | MDG 5.6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 a \\ & 5.5 b \end{aligned}$ | Antenatal care coverage | MN | Number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years who were attended during their last pregnancy that led to a live birth <br> (a) at least once by skilled health personnel <br> (b) at least four times by any provider | Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years | MDG 5.5 |
| 5.6 | Content of antenatal care | MN | Number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years who had their blood pressure measured and gave urine and blood samples during the last pregnancy that led to a live birth | Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years |  |
| 5.51 | Content of antenatal care (includes ultrasound) | MN | Number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years who had their blood pressure measured, gave urine and blood samples and had an ultrasound during the last pregnancy that led to a live birth | Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years |  |
| 5.7 | Skilled attendant at delivery | MN | Number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years who were attended by skilled health personnel during their most recent live birth | Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years | MDG 5.2 |
| 5.8 | Institutional deliveries | MN | Number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years whose most recent live birth was delivered in a health facility | Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years |  |

[^62]| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module | Ms | Numerator | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference <br> 76 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5.9 | Caesarean section | MN | Number of women age 15-49 years whose most recent live <br> birth in the last 2 years was delivered by caesarean section | Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live <br> birth in the last 2 years |  |
| 5.10 | Post-partum stay in health facility | PN | Number of women age 15-49 years who stayed in the <br> health facility for 12 hours or more after the delivery of their <br> most recent live birth in the last 2 years | Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live <br> birth in the last 2 years |  |
| 5.11 | Post-natal health check for the newborn | PN | Number of last live births in the last 2 years who received a <br> health check while in facility or at home following delivery, <br> or a post-natal care visit within 2 days after delivery | Total number of last live births in the last 2 years |  |
| 5.12 | Post-natal health check for the mother | PN | Number of women age 15-49 years who received a health <br> check while in facility or at home following delivery, or a <br> post-natal care visit within 2 days after delivery of their <br> most recent live birth in the last 2 years | Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live <br> birth in the last 2 years |  |


| CHILD DEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EC | Number of children age $36-59$ months who are attending <br> an early childhood education programme | Total number of children age $36-59$ months |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.1 | Attendance to early childhood education | EC | Number of children age $36-59$ months with whom an adult <br> has engaged in four or more activities top promote learning <br> and school readiness in the last 3 days | Total number of children age 36-59 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.2 | Support for learning | EC | Number of children age $36-59$ months whose biological <br> father has engaged in four or more activities to promote <br> learning and school readiness in the last 3 days | Total number of children age 36-59 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.3 | Father's support for learning | EC | Number of children age $36-59$ months whose biological <br> mother has engaged in four or more activities to promote <br> learning and school readiness in the last 3 days | Total number of children age 36-59 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.4 | Mother's support for learning | EC | Number of children under age 5 who have three or more <br> children's books | Total number of children under age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.5 | Availability of children's books | EC | Number of children under age 5 who play with two or more <br> types of playthings | Total number of children under age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.6 | Availability of playthings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module ${ }^{75}$ | Numerator | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference <br> 76 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.7 | Inadequate care | EC | Number of children under age 5 left alone or in the care of <br> another child younger than 10 years of age for more than <br> one hour at least once in the last week | Total number of children under age 5 |
| 6.8 | Early child development index | EC | Number of children age 36-59 months who are <br> developmentally on track in lat least three of the following <br> four domains: literacy-numeracy, physical, social- <br> emotional, and learning | Total number of children age 36-59 months |


| LITERACY AND EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WB | Number of women age 15-24 years who are able to read a <br> short simple statement about everyday life or who attended <br> secondary or higher education 89 | Total number of women age 15-24 years |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.1 | Literacy rate among young women ${ }^{[M]}$ | ED | Number of children in first grade of primary school who <br> attended pre-school during the previous school year | Total number of children attending the first grade of <br> primary school | MDG 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.2 | School readiness | ED | Number of children of school-entry age who enter the first <br> grade of primary school | Total number of children of school-entry age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.3 | Net intake rate in primary education | ED | Number of children of primary school age currently <br> attending primary or secondary school | Total number of children of primary school age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.4 | Primary school net attendance ratio <br> (adjusted) | ED | Number of children of secondary school age currently <br> attending secondary school or higher | Total number of children of secondary school age | MDG 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.5 | Secondary school net attendance ratio <br> (adjusted) | ED | Percentage of children entering the first grade of primary school who eventually reach last grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.6 | Children reaching last grade of primary | ED | Number of children attending the last grade of primary <br> school (excluding repeaters) | Total number of children of primary school <br> completion age (age appropriate to final grade of <br> primary school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.7 | Primary completion rate | ED | Number of children attending the last grade of primary <br> school during the previous school year who are in the first <br> grade of secondary school during the current school year | Total number of children attending the last grade of <br> primary school during the previous school year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.8 | Transition rate to secondary school | MDG 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^63]| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module | Numerator | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference <br> 76 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 7.9 | Gender parity index (primary school) | ED | Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for girls | Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for <br> boys | MDG 3.1 |
| 7.10 | Gender parity index (secondary school) | ED | Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for girls | Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for <br> boys | MDG 3.1 |


| CHILD PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.1 | Birth registration | BR | Number of children under age 5 whose births are reported registered | Total number of children under age 5 |  |
| 8.2 | Child labour | CL | Number of children age 5-17 years who are involved in child labour ${ }^{91}$ | Total number of children age 5-17 years |  |
| 8.3 | Violent discipline | CD | Number of children age 1-14 years who experienced psychological aggression or physical punishment during the last one month | Total number of children age 1-14 years |  |
| 8.4 | Marriage before age $155^{[M]}$ | MA | Number of women age 15-49 years who were first married or in union before age 15 | Total number of women age 15-49 years |  |
| 8.5 | Marriage before age $18{ }^{[M]}$ | MA | Number of women age 20-49 years who were first married or in union before age 18 | Total number of women age 20-49 years |  |
| 8.6 | Young women age 15-19 years currently married or in union ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | MA | Number of women age 15-19 years who are married or in union | Total number of women age 15-19 years |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8.8 \mathrm{a} \\ & 8.8 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Spousal age difference | MA | Number of women who are married or in union and whose spouse is 10 or more years older, <br> (a) among women age 15-19 years, <br> (b) among women age 20-24 years | Total number of women who are married or in union <br> (a) age 15-19 years, <br> (b) age 20-24 years |  |
| 8.12 | Attitudes towards domestic violence ${ }^{[M]}$ | DV | Number of women who state that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife in at least one of the following circumstances: (1) she goes out without telling him, (2) she neglects the children, (3) she argues with him, (4) she refuses sex with him, (5) she burns the food | Total number of women age 15-49 years |  |

[^64]| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module | Numerator | Denominator |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8.51 | Attitudes towards domestic violence <br> (including additional circumstance) | DV | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference <br> 76 |  |  |
| 8.13 | Children's living arrangements | HL | Number of women who state that a husband is justified in <br> hitting or beating his wife in at least one of the following <br> circumstances: (1) she goes out without telling him, (2) she <br> neglects the children, (3) she argues with him, (4) she <br> refuses sex with him, (5) she burns the food, (6) she does <br> not respect her husband's parents | Number of children age 0-17 years living with neither <br> biological parent | Total number of women age 15-49 years |
| 8.14 | Prevalence of children with one or both <br> parents dead | HL | Number of children age 0-17 years with one or both <br> biological parents dead | Total number of children age 0-17 years |  |
| 8.15 | Children with at least one parent living <br> abroad | HL | Number of children 0-17 years with at least one <br> biologicalparent living abroad | Total number of children 0-17 years |  |


| HIV/AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.1 | Knowledge about HIV prevention among young women ${ }^{[M]}$ | HA | Number of women age $15-24$ years who correctly identify ways of preventing the sexual transmission of $\mathrm{HIV}^{92}$, and who reject major misconceptions about HIV transmission | Total number of women age 15-24 years | MDG 6.3 |
| 9.2 | Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV ${ }^{[M]}$ | HA | Number of women age 15-49 years who correctly identify all three means ${ }^{93}$ of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Total number of women age 15-49 years |  |
| 9.3 | Accepting attitudes towards people living with $\mathrm{HIV}^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | HA | Number of women age 15-49 years expressing accepting attitudes on all four questions ${ }^{94}$ toward people living with HIV | Total number of women age 15-49 years who have heard of HIV |  |
| 9.4 | Women who know where to be tested for $\mathrm{HIV}^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | HA | Number of women age 15-49 years who state knowledge of a place to be tested for HIV | Total number of women age 15-49 years |  |
| 9.5 | Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | HA | Number of women age 15-49 years who have been tested for HIV in the last 12 months and who know their results | Total number of women age 15-49 years |  |

[^65]| MICS INDICATOR |  | Module ${ }^{75}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.7 | HIV counselling during antenatal care | HA | Number of women age 15-49 years who had a live birth in the last 2 years and received antenatal care during the pregnancy of their most recent birth, reporting that they received counselling on HIV during antenatal care | Total number of women age 15-49 years who had a live birth in the last 2 years |  |
| 9.8 | HIV testing during antenatal care | HA | Number of women age 15-49 years who had a live birth in the last 2 years and received antenatal care during the pregnancy of their most recent birth, reporting that they were offered and accepted an HIV test during antenatal care and received their results | Total number of women age 15-49 years who had a live birth in the last 2 years |  |
| 9.16 | Ratio of school attendance of orphans to school attendance of non-orphans | HL - ED | Proportion attending school among children age 10-14 years who have lost both parents | Proportion attending school among children age 1014 years whose parents are alive and who are living with one or both parents | MDG 6.4 |


| ACCESS TO MASS MEDIA AND USE OF INFORMATION/COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 10.1 | Exposure to mass media ${ }^{[M]}$ | MT | Number of women age 15-49 years who, at least once a <br> week, read a newspaper or magazine, listen to the radio, <br> and watch television | Total number of women age 15-49 years |  |  |  |
| 10.2 | Use of computers ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | MT | Number of young women age 15-24 years who used a <br> computer during the last 12 months | Total number of women age 15-24 years |  |  |  |
| 10.3 | Use of internef ${ }^{[\mathbb{M}]}$ | MT | Number of young women age $15-24$ who used the internet <br> during the last 12 months | Total number of women age 15-24 years |  |  |  |

## Appendix F1. Household Questionnaire

| HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION PANEL |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| HH1. Cluster number: | HH2. Household number: |
| HH3. Interviewer's name and number: <br> Name | HH4. Supervisor's name and number: <br> Name |
| HH5. Day / Month / Year of interview: <br> / <br> / 201 $\qquad$ | HH7. Region: <br> Ashgabat city $\qquad$ 1 |
| HH6. Area: <br> Urban <br> Rural $\qquad$ |  |
| We are from the State Statistics Committee of Turkmenistan.We are conducting a survey about the situation of children, families and households. I would like to talk to you about these SUBJECTS. THE INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 20 MINUTES. ALL THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND ANONYMOUS. MAY I START NOW?Yes, permission is given $\Rightarrow$ Go to HH18 to record the time and then begin the interview.No, permission is not given $\Rightarrow$ Circle 04 in HH9. Discuss this result with your supervisor. |  |
| HH9. Result of household interview: <br> Completed. $\qquad$ 01 <br> No household member or no competent respondent at home at time of visit................................. 02 <br> Entire household absent for extended period of time ...................................................................... 03 <br> Refused. $\qquad$ <br> Dwelling vacant / Address not a dwelling $\qquad$ <br> Dwelling destroyed $\qquad$ <br> Dwelling not found $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ |  |
| After the household questionnaire has been completed, fill in the following information: |  |
| HH10. Respondent to Household Questionnaire: <br> Name $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |
| HH11. Total number of household members: | After all questionnaires for the household have been completed, fill in the following information: |
| HH12. Number of women age 15-49 years: | HH13. Number of women's questionnaires completed: |
| HH14. Number of children under age 5: | HH15. Number of under-5 questionnaires completed: |

HH18. Record the time.
Hour.
Minutes
Men

LIST OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS
HL
FIRST, PLEASE TELL ME THE NAME OF EACH PERSON WHO USUALLY LIVES HERE, STARTING WITH THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD.
List the head of the household in line 01. List all household members (HL2), their relationship to the household head (HL3), and their sex (HL4)
Then ask: Are there any others who live here, even if they are not at home now?
If yes, complete listing for questions HL2-HL4. Then, ask questions starting with HL5 for each person at a time. Use an additional questionnaire if all rows in the List of Household Members have been used.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { children } \\ \text { age } \mathbf{0 - 4} \end{gathered}$ | For children age 0-17 years |  |  |  |  |  | For Children age 0-14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { HL1. } \\ \text { Line } \\ \text { no. } \end{array}$ | HL2. Name | HL3. <br> What is THE <br> relation- <br> SHIP OF <br> (name) то <br> the head <br> of <br> house- <br> HOLD? |  |  | What is DATE OF $98 \text { DK }$ | HL5. (name)'s BIRTH? $9998 \text { DK }$ | HL6. <br> How old IS (name)? <br> Record in complete $d$ years. If age is 95 or above, record '95'. | HL7. <br> Circle line no. if woman age 15-49. | HL7B. <br> Circle line no. if age 0-4. | HL11. Is (name)'s NATURAL MOTHER ALIVE? <br> 1 Yes 2 Nos HL13 8 DK』 HL13 | HL12. Does (name)'s NATURAL MOTHER LIVE IN THIS houseHOLD? If "Yes", record line no. of mother and go to HL13. If "No", record 00 . | HL12A. <br> Where <br> DOES <br> (name)'s <br> natural <br> MOTHER <br> LIVE? <br> 1 In <br> another <br> household <br> in this <br> country <br> 2 Institution <br> in this <br> country <br> 3 Abroad <br> 8 DK | HL13. Is (name)'s NATURAL FATHER ALIVE? <br> 1 Yes 2 Nos HL15 8 DK』 HL15 | HL14. Does (name)'s NATURAL FATHER LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? If "Yes", record line no. of father and go to HL15. <br> If "No", record 00. | HL14A. <br> Where DOES (name)'s NATURAL FATHER LIVE? <br> 1 In another household in this country <br> 2 Institution in this country <br> 3 Abroad 8 DK | HL15. <br> Record line no. of mother from HL12 if indicated. <br> If HL12 is blank or ‘00’ ask: <br> Who is the PRIMARY CARETAKER OF (name)? |
| Line | Name | Relation* | M | F | Month | Year | Age | 15-49 | 0-4 | Y N DK | Mother |  | Y N DK | Father |  | Mother |
| 01 |  | 01 | 1 | 2 | - - |  | _ _ _ | 01 | 01 | 128 | - - | 1238 | 128 | - - | 1238 | - - |
| 02 |  |  | 1 | 2 | - - |  | - | 02 | 02 | 128 | - - | 1238 | 128 | - - | 1238 | - - |
| 03 |  | - - | 1 | 2 | - - | - | - - | 03 | 03 | 128 | - | 1238 | 128 | - - | 1238 | - |
| 04 |  |  | 1 | 2 | - - | -_-_ | - - | 04 | 04 | 128 | - - | 1238 | 128 | - | 1238 | - - |
| 05 |  | - | 1 | 2 | - - | ---- | - | 05 | 05 | 128 | - - | 1238 | 128 | - - | 1238 | - - |
| 06 |  |  | 1 | 2 | - - | - - | - | 06 | 06 | 128 | - - | 1238 | 128 | - | 1238 | - - |
| 07 |  | - | 1 | 2 | - | ---- | - | 07 | 07 | 128 | - - | 1238 | 128 | - - | 1238 | - |
| 08 |  |  | 1 | 2 | - - |  | - - | 08 | 08 | 128 | - - | 1238 | 128 | - | 1238 | - |
| 09 |  |  | 1 | 2 | - |  | - | 09 | 09 | 128 | - - | 1238 | 128 | - | 1238 | - |
| 10 |  | - | 1 | 2 | - - |  | - - | 10 | 10 | 128 | - - | 1238 | 128 | - | 1238 | - |


| 11 |  | - | 1 | 2 | - - | - - - | - - | 11 | 11 |  | 2 |  | - - | 1238 |  | 2 |  | - - | 1238 | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 |  | - | 1 | 2 | - - | - - - | - - | 12 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 8 | - - | 1238 |  | 2 | 8 | - - | 1238 | - - |
| 13 |  | - | 1 | 2 | - - | - - - | - - | 13 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 8 | - - | 1238 |  | 2 | 8 | - - | 1238 | - - |
| 14 |  |  | 1 | 2 | - - | ---- | - - | 14 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 8 | - - | 1238 |  | 2 | 8 | - - | 1238 | - - |
| 15 |  | - | 1 | 2 | - - | - - - | - - | 15 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 8 | - | 1238 |  | 2 | 8 | - | 1238 | - - |
| Tick here if additional questionnaire used $\quad \square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Probe for additional household members.
Probe especially for any infants or small children not listed, and others who may not be members of the family (such as servants, friends) but who usually live in the household.
Insert names of additional members in the household list and complete form accordingly.
Now for each woman age 15-49 years, write her name and line number and other identifying information in the information panel of a separate Individual Women's Questionnaire.
For each child under age 5, write hisher name and line number AND the line number of his/her mother or caretaker in the information panel of a separate Under-5 Questionnaire.
You should now have a separate questionnaire for each eligible woman and each child under five in the household.
You should now have a separate questionnaire for each eligible woman and each child under five in the household.


| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | For household members age 5 and above |  |  | For household members age 5-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| ED1. <br> Line number | ED2. <br> Name and age <br> Copy from HL2 and HL6. |  | ED3. <br> HAS (name) <br> EVER <br> ATTENDED <br> SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL? <br> 1 Yes <br> 2 Nos <br> Next <br> Line | ED4A. <br> What is the HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOL (name) HAS ATTENDED? <br> Level: <br> 0 Preschool/ Kindergarten <br> 1 Secondary (1-11) <br> 2 Primary vocational 3 Secondary vocational 4 Higher 8 DK <br> If level=0, skip to ED5. | ED4B. <br> What is the HIGHEST GRADE (name) COMPLETED AT THIS LEVEL? <br> Grade: 98 DK <br> If the first grade at this level is not completed, enter " 00 ". | ED5. <br> DURING THE CURRENT SCHOOL YEAR, THAT IS 20152016, DID (name) <br> ATTEND SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL AT ANY TIME? <br> 1 Yes 2 Nos ED7 | ED6. <br> DURING THIS SCHOOL Y <br> LEVEL AND GRADE IS/WA ATTENDING? <br> Level: <br> 0 Preschool/ Kindergarten <br> 1 Secondary(1-11) <br> 2 Primary vocational <br> 3 Secondary <br> vocational <br> 4 Higher <br> 8 DK <br> If level=0, skip to ED7. | AR, WHICH (name) <br> Grade: 98 DK | ED7. <br> DURING THE <br> PREVIOUS <br> SCHOOL YEAR, <br> THAT IS 2014- <br> 2015, DID <br> (name) ATTEND <br> SCHOOL OR <br> PRESCHOOL AT <br> ANY TIME? <br>  <br>  <br> 1 Yes <br> 2 No $\unlhd$ <br> Next Line <br> 8 DK <br> Next Line | ED8. <br> DURING THAT PREVIOUS SCHOOL YEAR, WHICH LEVEL AND GRADE DID (name) ATTEND? |  |
| Line | Name | Age | Yes No | Level | Grade | Yes No | Level | Grade | Yes No DK | Level | Grade |
| 01 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | -_ | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - - | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ |  |
| 02 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | -_ | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ |  | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - |
| 03 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ |  | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ |  |
| 04 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - - | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - |
| 05 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | _ |
| 06 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - |
| 07 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - - |
| 08 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ |  | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | -_ |
| 09 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | ___ |
| 10 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | [ - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - |
| 11 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | $\square$ | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ |  | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - |
| 12 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - |
| 13 |  |  | 12 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | _-_ | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | -_- | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | _-_ |
| 14 |  | -_- | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - | 128 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - |
| 15 |  | - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - - | 128 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | - |

## SELECTION OF ONE CHILD FOR CHILD LABOUR/CHILD DISCIPLINE

SL1. Check HL6 in the List of Household Members and write the total number of children age 1-17 years.
$\qquad$
SL2. Check the number of children age 1-17 years in SL1:
$\square$ Zero $\Rightarrow$ Go to Household Characteristics module.
$\square$ One $\Rightarrow$ Go to SL9 and record the rank number as ' 1 ', enter the line number, child's name and age.
$\square$ Two or more $\Rightarrow$ Continue with SL2A.
SL2A. List each of the children age 1-17 years below in the order they appear in the List of Household Members. Do not include other household members outside of the age range 1-17 years. Record the line number, name, sex, and age for each child.

| SL3. <br> Rank number | SL4. <br> Line number from HL1 | SL5. <br> Name from HL2 | SL6.Sexfrom HL4 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SL7. } \\ \text { Age from } \\ \text { HL6 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Line | Name | M | F | Age |
| 1 | - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 2 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 3 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 4 | - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 5 | - - |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| 6 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 7 | - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 8 | - |  | 1 | 2 | - |

SL8. Check the last digit of the household number (HH2) from the cover page. This is the number of the row you should go to in the table below.

Check the total number of children age 1-17 years in SL1 above. This is the number of the column you should go to in the table below.

Find the box where the row and the column meet and circle the number that appears in the box. This is the rank number (SL3) of the selected child.

| Last Digit of Household <br> Number (from HH2) | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8 +}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 |

SL9. Record the rank number (SL3), line number (SL4), name
(SL5) and age (SL7) of the selected child.
Rank number $\qquad$
Line number $\qquad$

Name $\qquad$
Age $\qquad$

CL1. Check selected child's age from SL9:
$\square$ I-4 years $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module.
$\square$ 5-17 years $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CL2.
CL2. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK ABOUT ANY WORK CHILDREN IN THIS HOUSEHOLD MAY DO.

SINCE LAST (day of the week), DID (name) DO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES, EVEN FOR ONLY ONE HOUR?
[A] DID (name) DO ANY WORK OR HELP ON HIS/HER OWN OR THE HOUSEHOLD'S PLOT/FARM/FOOD GARDEN OR LOOKED AFTER ANIMALS? FOR EXAMPLE, GROWING FARM PRODUCE, HARVESTING, OR FEEDING, GRAZING, MILKING ANIMALS?
[B] DID (name) HELP IN FAMILY BUSINESS OR RELATIVE'S BUSINESS WITH OR WITHOUT PAY, OR RUN HIS/HER OWN BUSINESS?
[C] DID (name) PRODUCE OR SELL ARTICLES, HANDICRAFTS, CLOTHES, FOOD OR AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS?
[D] SINCE LAST (day of the week), DID (name) ENGAGE IN ANY OTHER ACTIVITY IN RETURN FOR INCOME IN CASH OR IN KIND, EVEN FOR ONLY ONE HOUR? If "No", Probe: Please include any activity (name) PERFORMED AS A REGULAR OR CASUAL EMPLOYEE, SELF-EMPLOYED OR EMPLOYER; OR AS AN UNPAID FAMILY WORKER HELPING OUT IN HOUSEHOLD BUSINESS OR FARM.

CL3. Check CL2, A to D
$\square$ There is at least one 'Yes' $\Rightarrow$ continue with CLA
$\square$ All answers are 'No' $\Rightarrow$ Go to CL8

| CL4. SINCE LAST (day of the week) ABOUT HOW MANY HOURS DID (name) ENGAGE IN THIS ACTIVITY/THESE ACTIVITIES, IN TOTAL? <br> If less than one hour, record " 00 " | Number of hours ..............................-_ _ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CL5. Does the Activity/Do These activities REQUIRE CARRYING HEAVY LOADS? | Yes ......................................................................................................................... No...... | $1 \Rightarrow$ CL8 |
| CL6. DOES THE ACTIVITY/DO THESE ACTIVITIES REQUIRE WORKING WITH DANGEROUS TOOLS (KNIVES ETC.) OR OPERATING HEAVY MACHINERY? | Yes .............................................................................................................................. | $1 \Rightarrow$ CL8 |


| CL7. HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE WORK ENVIRONMENT OF (name)? <br> [A] IS (name) EXPOSED TO DUST, FUMES OR GAS? <br> [B] IS (name) EXPOSED TO EXTREME COLD, HEAT OR HUMIDITY? <br> [C] IS (name) EXPOSED TO LOUD NOISE OR VIBRATION? <br> [D] IS (name) REQUIRED TO WORK AT HEIGHTS? <br> [E] Is (name) REQUIRED TO WORK WITH CHEMICALS (PESTICIDES, GLUES, ETC.) OR EXPLOSIVES? <br> [F] IS (name) EXPOSED TO OTHER THINGS, PROCESSES OR CONDITIONS BAD FOR (name)'S HEALTH OR SAFETY? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow C L 8 \\ & 1 \Rightarrow C L 8 \\ & 1 \Rightarrow C L 8 \\ & 1 \Rightarrow C L 8 \\ & 1 \Rightarrow C L 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CL8. SINCE LAST (day of the week), DID (name) FETCH WATER OR COLLECT FIREWOOD FOR household use? | Yes ................................................................................................................................. No....... | $2 \Rightarrow$ CL10 |
| CL9. IN TOTAL, HOW MANY HOURS DID (name) SPEND ON FETCHING WATER OR COLLECTING FIREWOOD FOR HOUSEHOLD USE, SINCE LAST (day of the week)? <br> If less than one hour, record " 00 " | Number of hours ...............................- - |  |
| CL10. SINCE LAST (day of the week), DID (name) DO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING FOR THIS HOUSEHOLD? <br> [A] SHOPPING FOR HOUSEHOLD? <br> [B] Repair any household equipment? <br> [C] Cooking or cleaning utensils or the HOUSE? <br> [D] Washing clothes? <br> [E] CARIng For children? <br> [F] CARING FOR THE OLD OR SICK? <br> [G] Other household tasks? |  Yes No <br> Shopping for household ...................... 1 2  <br> Repair household equipment............... 1 2  <br>    <br> Cooking/cleaning utensils/house ......... 1 2  <br> Washing clothes .............................. 1 2  <br> Caring for children .............................. 1 2  <br> Caring for old/sick .............................. 1 2  <br> Other household tasks ....................... 1 2  |  |
| CL11. Check CL10, A to $G$ There is at least one 'Yes' $\Rightarrow$ Continue All answers are 'No' $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Mo | th CL12 |  |
| CL12. SINCE LAST (day of the week), ABOUT HOW MANY HOURS DID (name) ENGAGE IN THIS ACTIVITY/THESE ACTIVITIES, IN TOTAL? <br> If less than one hour, record "00" | Number of hours ................................ - |  |

CD1. Check selected child's age from SL9:

```
    \(\square\) 1-14 years \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with CD2
```

```15-17 years \(\Rightarrow\) Go to Next Module
```

CD2. Write the line number and name of the child from SL9.

CD3. AdULTS USE CERTAIN WAYS TO TEACH CHILDREN THE RIGHT BEHAVIOUR OR TO ADDRESS A BEHAVIOUR PROBLEM. I WILL READ VARIOUS METHODS THAT ARE USED. PLEASE TELL ME IF YOU OR ANYONE ELSE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD HAS USED THIS METHOD WITH (name) IN THE PAST MONTH.
[A] TOOK AWAY PRIVILEGES, FORBADE SOMETHING (name) LIKED OR DID NOT ALLOW HIM/HER TO LEAVE THE HOUSE.
[B] EXPLAINED WHY (name)'S BEHAVIOUR WAS WRONG.
[C] SHOOK HIM/HER.
[D] Shouted, yelled at or screamed at HIM/HER.
[E] GAVE HIM/HER SOMETHING ELSE TO DO.
[F] SPANKED, HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON THE BOTTOM WITH BARE HAND.
[G] HIT HIM/HER ON THE BOTTOM OR ELSEWHERE ON THE BODY WITH SOMETHING LIKE A BELT, HAIRBRUSH, STICK OR OTHER HARD OBJECT.
[H] CALLED HIM/HER DUMB, LAZY, OR ANOTHER NAME LIKE THAT.
[I] HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON THE FACE, HEAD OR EARS.
[J] HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON THE HAND, ARM, OR LEG.
[K] BEAT HIM/HER UP, THAT IS HIT HIM/HER OVER AND OVER AS HARD AS ONE COULD.
CD4. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT IN ORDER TO BRING UP, RAISE, OR EDUCATE A CHILD PROPERLY, THE CHILD NEEDS TO BE PHYSICALLY PUNISHED?

|  | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Took away privileges .......................... 1 | 2 |
|  | 2 |

$\qquad$

Shouted, yelled, screamed ................. 12
Gave something else to do .................. 12
Spanked, hit, slapped on
bottom with bare hand .................... 1 2

Hit with belt, hairbrush, stick, or other hard object .12

Called dumb, lazy, or
another name ................................ 1 2


| HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS |  | HC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC1B. WHAT IS THE MOTHER TONGUE/NATIVE LANGUAGE OF THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD? | Turkmen .......................................................................................................................................................................... Uzbek |  |
| HC2. HOW MANY ROOMS IN THIS HOUSEHOLD ARE USED FOR SLEEPING? | Number of rooms ............................. |  |
| HC3. Main material of the dwelling floor. <br> Record observation. | Rudimentary floor <br> Wood planks <br> Finished floor <br> Parquet or polished wood / laminate..... 31 <br> Vinyl or asphalt strips ............................ 32 <br> Ceramic tiles .......................................... 33 <br> Wall-to-wall carpet................................. 35 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ |  |
| HC4. Main material of the roof. <br> Record observation. | Rudimentary roofing <br> Wood planks $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ |  |
| HC5. Main material of the exterior walls. <br> Record observation. | Rudimentary walls <br> Stone with mud $\qquad$ .22 <br> Reused wood $\qquad$ 26 <br> Finished walls <br> Stone with lime / cement....................... 32 <br> Bricks.. $\qquad$ .33 <br> Cement blocks $\qquad$ <br> Covered adobe.. $\qquad$ <br> Wood planks / shingles ........................ 36 <br> Monolithic concrete design.................... 37 <br> Plastered wall. $\qquad$ 38 <br> Wall sheeted with marble tile / Alucobond. $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ |  |
| HC6. WHAT TYPE OF FUEL DOES YOUR HOUSEHOLD MAINLY USE FOR COOKING? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC8} \\ & 02 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC8} \\ & 03 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HC8} \\ & 05 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC8} \\ & \\ & \\ & 95 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HC8} \end{aligned}$ |


| HC7. IS THE COOKING USUALLY DONE IN THE HOUSE, IN A SEPARATE BUILDING, OR OUTDOORS? <br> If 'In the house', probe: IS IT DONE IN A SEPARATE ROOM USED AS A KITCHEN? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| HC8. Does your household have: | Yes No |
| [A] Electricity? | Electricity .................................... 1 2 |
| [B] A radio? | Radio... ..................................... 1 2 |
| [C] A television (not plasma and not MESOMORPHIC)? | Television (not plasma and not mesomorphic) 12 |
| [F] A PLASMA OR MESOMORPHIC (LCD) television? | A plasma or mesomorphic (LCD) television $\qquad$ 2 |
| [D] A non-mobile telephone? | Non-mobile telephone................... 1 2 |
| [E] A refrigerator? | Refrigerator ................................. 1 2 |
| [G] AIR Conditioner? | Air Conditioner ............................. 1 2 |
| [H] Washing machine? | Washing machine............................ 1 2 |
| [I] Vacuum cleaner? | Vacuum cleaner ........................... 1 |
| [J] Computer/notebook? | Computer / Notebook .................... 1 |
| [K] Video recorder or DVD? | Video recorder................................ 1 |
| [L] CASSETTE PLAYER OR CD PLAYER? | Cassette player or CD Player .......... 1 |
| [M] SEwing machine? | Sewing machine...... ..................... 1 |
| [ N$]$ FACTORY CARPET? | Factory carpet ................................ 1 2 |
| [O] HANDMADE CARPET (wOol or Silk)? | Handmade carpet (wool, silk).......... 1 |
| [P] Sofa? | Sofa........................................... 1 |
| [Q] SIDEBOARD? | Sideboard ....................................... 1 |
| [R] Embroidery machine? | Embroidery machine ...................... 1 2 |


| HC9. Does Any member of your household own: <br> [A] A WATCH? <br> [B] A mobile telephone? <br> [C] A bicycle? <br> [D] A MOTORCYCLE OR SCOOTER? <br> [H] A PASSENGER CAR? <br> [I] TRUCK? <br> [J] Tractor / Combine harvester? <br> [K] Tablet? |  Yes No <br> Watch ............................................. 1 2  <br> Mobile telephone............................. 1 2  <br> Bicycle............................................ 1 2  <br> Motorcycle / Scooter ...................... 1 2  <br> A passenger car.............................. 1 2  <br> Truck ............................................. 1 2  <br> Tractor / Combine harvester ............ 1 2  <br> Tablet ............................................ 1 2  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC10. DO YOU OR SOMEONE LIVING IN THIS HOUSEHOLD OWN THIS DWELLING? <br> If "No", then ask: DO YOU RENT THIS DWELLING FROM SOMEONE NOT LIVING IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? <br> If rented from a private individual, circle " 3 ". If rented from the State or the State Institution, circle "4". <br> For other responses, circle " 6 ". | Own $\qquad$ .1 <br> Rented from a private individual $\qquad$ <br> Rented from the State or the State's <br> Institution. $\qquad$ 4 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 6 |  |
| HC11. Does any member of this household have any land that can be used for AGRICULTURE? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... No...... | 2』HC13 |
| HC12. HOW MANY HECTARES OR ARES OF agricultural land do members of this HOUSEHOLD HAVE? <br> If 1 hectare or more, circle ' 1 ' and record hectares. <br> If 95 or more hectares, circle ' 1 ' and record ' 95 '. <br> If less than 1 hectare, circle ' 2 ' and record in ares. <br> If less than 1 are, circle ' 2 ' and record ' 00 '. <br> If unknown, circle "998". | Hectares ........................................... 1 __ Ares .............................................. 2 _ DK ........................................................ 998 |  |
| HC13. Does this household own any livestock, HERDS, OTHER FARM ANIMALS, OR POULTRY? | Yes ..................................................................................................................... No...... | 2¢ $\mathrm{HC15}$ |


| HC14. HOW MANY OF THE FOLLOWING ANIMALS DOES THIS HOUSEHOLD HAVE? <br> [A] Bulls, cows, heifers, calves? <br> [G] Horses? <br> [H] DONKEYS, OR MULES? <br> [C] Goats? <br> [D] Sheep? <br> [E] Chickens? <br> [I] Other Poultry? <br> [F] Pigs? <br> [J] Camels? <br> [K] Rabbits? <br> If none, record " 00 ". If 95 or more, record " 95 ". If unknown, record " 98 ". | Bulls, cows, heifers, calves $\qquad$ <br> Horses, $\qquad$ <br> Donkeys or mules $\qquad$ <br> Goats. $\qquad$ <br> Sheep $\qquad$ <br> Chickens $\qquad$ <br> Other Poultry. $\qquad$ <br> Pigs $\qquad$ <br> Camels $\qquad$ <br> Rabbit. $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| HC15. Does any member of this household HAVE A BANK ACCOUNT? | Yes ............................................................................................................................... No....... |

WATER AND SANITATION

| WS1. WHAT IS THE MAIN SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER FOR MEMBERS OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WS2. What is the main source of water USED BY YOUR HOUSEHOLD FOR OTHER PURPOSES SUCH AS COOKING AND HANDWASHING? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \leftrightharpoons \text { WS6 } \\ & 12 \Leftrightarrow \text { WS6 } \\ & 13 \Leftrightarrow \text { WS6 } \end{aligned}$ |
| WS3. WHERE IS THAT WATER SOURCE LOCATED? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \text { WS6 } \\ & 2 \Rightarrow W S 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| WS4. HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE TO GO THERE, GET WATER, AND COME BACK? | Number of minutes <br> DK. $\qquad$ 998 |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { WS5. WHO USUALLY GOES TO THIS SOURCE } \\ \text { TO COLLECT THE WATER FOR YOUR } \\ \text { HOUSEHOLD? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Adult woman (age 15+ years) ..................... } \\ \text { Adult man (age 15+ years)........................ } \\ \text { Female child (under 15) .................... } \\ \text { Male child (under 15)............................. } 4\end{array} & \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Probe: } \\ \text { IS THIS PERSON UNDER AGE 15? } \\ \text { WHAT SEX? }\end{array} & \text { DK................................................................ } 8\end{array}\right]$

| HW1. We would like to learn about the PLACES THAT HOUSEHOLDS USE TO WASH THEIR HANDS. <br> CAN YOU PLEASE SHOW ME WHERE MEMBERS OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD MOST OFTEN WASH THEIR HANDS? | Observed $\qquad$ <br> Not observed <br> Not in dwelling / plot / yard. $\qquad$ 2 <br> No permission to see $\qquad$ Other reason <br> (specify) $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HW} 4 \\ & 3 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HW} 4 \\ & 6 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HW4} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HW2. Observe presence of water at the place for handwashing. <br> Verify by checking the tap/pump, or basin, bucket, water container or similar objects for presence of water. | Water is available. $\qquad$ <br> Water is not available $\qquad$ 2 |  |
| HW3A. Is soap or detergent present at the place for handwashing? | Yes, present.. $\qquad$ .1 <br> No, not present $\qquad$ 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ HW4 |
| HW3B. Record your observation. Circle all that apply. | Bar soap..................................................A <br> Detergent (Powder / Liquid / Paste)............B <br> Liquid soap $\qquad$ | A $\Rightarrow$ HH19 <br> B $\Rightarrow$ HH19 <br> C $\Rightarrow \mathrm{HH} 19$ |
| HW4. DO YOU HAVE ANY SOAP OR detergent in your house for WASHING HANDS? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No ........................................................................ 2 | 2¢HH19 |
| HW5A. Can you please show it to me? | Yes, shown $\qquad$ . 1 <br> No, not shown $\qquad$ 2 | $2 \leftrightharpoons \mathrm{HH} 19$ |
| HW5B. Record your observation. <br> Circle all that apply. | Bar soap. $\qquad$ A <br> Detergent (Powder / Liquid / Paste). $\qquad$ B <br> Liquid soap $\qquad$ |  |


| HH19. Record the time. | Hour and minutes.................._-_ $:-1$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## SALT IODIZATION

SI1. WE WOULD LIKE TO CHECK WHETHER THE SALT USED IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IS IODIZED. May I have a sample of the salt used to COOK MEALS IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD?

First test for iodate using the blue-capped test kit and circle the appropriate response code.

| kind cie the apprpria response code. | Salt not tested <br> (specify reason) $\qquad$ 5 | $5 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HH} 20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI2. The Salt did not react to my test, so I WOULD LIKE TO PERFORM ONE OR TWO MORE TESTS ACCORDING TO THE METHOD OF testing that we use. May I have another SAMPLE OF THE SAME SALT? <br> Use the re-check solution from the blue-capped test kit on the fresh sample and perform another test. Circle the appropriate response code. | Not iodized - 0 PPM $\qquad$ More than 0 PPM \& less than 15 PPM........ 2 <br> 15 PPM or more $\qquad$ <br> Salt not tested <br> (specify reason) $\qquad$ 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 2 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HH} 2 \mathrm{O} \\ 3 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HH} 2 \mathrm{O} \end{array} \\ & 5 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HH} 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| SI3. Take a fresh sample and test for iodide using the red-capped test kit. Circle the appropriate response code. | Not iodized - 0 PPM $\qquad$ More than 0 PPM \& less than 15 PPM........ 2 <br> 15 PPM or more $\qquad$ <br> Salt not tested <br> (specify reason) $\qquad$ 5 |  |

HH2O. Thank the respondent for his/her cooperation and check the List of Household Members:A separate Questionnaire for Individual Women has been issued for each woman age 15-49 years in the List of Household Members (HL7).
$\square$ A separate QUESTIONNAIRE FOR ChILDREN UNDER FIVE has been issued for each child under age 5 years in the List of Household Members (HL7B).

Return to the cover page and make sure that the result of the household interview (HH9), the name and line number of the respondent to the household questionnaire (HH1O), and the number of eligible women (HH12) and under-5s (HH14) are entered.

Make arrangements for the administration of the remaining questionnaire(s) in this household.

## Supervisor's Observations

## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INDIVIDUAL WOMEN

2015 TURKMENISTAN MULTIPLE INDICATOR CLUSTER SURVEY

## WOMAN'S INFORMATION PANEL

WM
This questionnaire is to be administered to all women age 15 through 49 (see List of Household Members, column HL7). A separate questionnaire should be used for each eligible woman.

| WM1. Cluster number: | WM2. Household number: |
| :---: | :---: |
| WM3. Woman's name: | WM4. Woman's line number: |
| Name |  |
| WM5. Interviewer's name and number: | WM6. Day / Month / Year of interview: |
| Name_____ _ | ___ 201 |

Repeat greeting if not already read to this woman:
We are from the state statistics committee of Turkmenistan. We are conducting a SURVEY ABOUT THE SITUATION OF CHILDREN, FAMILIES AND HOUSEHOLDS. I WOULD LIKE TO talk to you about these subjects. The interview will take about $\mathbf{2 0}$ minutes. All THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND ANONYMOUS.

> If greeting at the beginning of the household questionnaire has already been read to this woman, then read the following:
> NOW I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU MORE ABOUT YOUR HEALTH AND OTHER TOPICS. THIS INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 20 MINUTES. AGAIN, ALL THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND ANONYMOUS.

## MAY I START NOW?

$\square$ Yes, permission is given $\Rightarrow$ Go to WM10 to record the time and then begin the interview.
$\square$ No, permission is not given $\Rightarrow$ Circle "03" in WM7. Discuss this result with your supervisor.

| WM7. Result of woman's interview |  |
| :---: | :---: |

WM10. Record the time.
Hour and minutes $\square$ : ——

| WOMAN'S BACKGROUND |  | WB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WB1. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WERE YOU BORN? | Date of birth <br> Month $\qquad$ <br> DK month $\qquad$ 98 <br> Year $\qquad$ <br> DK year $\qquad$ |  |
| WB2. How old are you? <br> Probe: HOW OLD WERE YOU AT YOUR LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Compare and correct WB1 and/or WB2 if inconsistent. | Age (in completed years) ...................._ _ |  |
| WB3. HAVE You EVER ATTENDED SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... | $2 \Rightarrow W B 7$ |
| WB4. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOL YOU ATTENDED? |  | $0 \Rightarrow W B 7$ |
| WB5. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST GRADE YOU COMPLETED AT THAT LEVEL? <br> If the first grade at this level is not completed, enter " 00 ". | Grade .............................................-_ - |  |

WB6. Check WB4 and WB5
$\square$ Secondary $($ WB4 $=1)$ and class $($ WB5 $=04-11) \Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module
$\square$ Primary Vocational, Secondary Vocational or Higher $($ WB4 $=2,3$ or 4$) \Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module.
$\square$ Secondary $($ WB4 $=1)$ and $($ WB5 $=00,01,02$ or 03$) \Rightarrow$ Continue with WB7.

WB7. Now I WOULD LIKE YOU TO READ THIS SENTENCE TO ME.

Show sentence on the card to the respondent. If respondent cannot read whole sentence, probe:

CAN YOU READ PART OF THE SENTENCE TO ME?

Cannot read at all ..... 1
Able to read only parts of sentence ..... 2
Able to read whole sentence ..... 3

No sentence in required language
(specify language)
Blind/visually impaired 5

## ACCESS TO MASS MEDIA AND USE OF INFORMATION/COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGYMT

## MT1. Check WB7:

$\square$ Question left blank (Respondent has Secondary (WB4 $=1$ and WB5 $=04$ to 11), Primary Vocational, Secondary Vocational or Higher education) $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MT2.
$\square$ Able to read or no sentence in required language (WB7 $=2,3$ or 4$) \Rightarrow$ Continue with MT2.
$\square$ Cannot read at all or blind/visually impaired (WB7 $=1$ or 5 ) $\Rightarrow$ Go to MT3.

| MT2. HOW OFTEN DO YOU READ A NEWSPAPER OR magazine: Almost every day, at least ONCE A WEEK, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? | Almost every day...................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MT3. DO YOU LISTEN TO THE RADIO ALMOST EVERY DAY, AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? | Almost every day.......................................... 1 <br> At least once a week ................................... 2 <br> Less than once a week ............................... 3 <br> Not at all $\qquad$ |  |
| MT4. HOW OFTEN DO YOU WATCH TELEVISION: WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU WATCH ALMOST every day, at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? |  |  |

## MT5.Check WB2: Age of respondent?

Age 15-24 $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MT6.

Age 25-49 $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module.

| MT6. HAVE YOU EVER USED A COMPUTER? | Yes ..................................................................................................................... 1 No...... | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{MT} 9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MT7. HAVE YOU USED A COMPUTER FROM ANY LOCATION IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? | Yes ........................................................................................................................ | 2 $\Rightarrow$ MT9 |
| MT8. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, HOW OFTEN DID YOU USE A COMPUTER: ALMOST EVERY DAY, AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? | Almost every day. $\qquad$ .1 <br> At least once a week $\qquad$ 2 <br> Less than once a week ................................ 3 <br> Not at all $\qquad$ |  |
| MT9. HAVE YOU EVER USED THE INTERNET? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... No...... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| MT10. IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS, HAVE YOU USED THE INTERNET? <br> If necessary, probe for use from any location, with any device. | Yes ......................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| MT11. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, HOW OFTEN DID YOU USE THE INTERNET: ALMOST EVERY day, at least once a week, less than once A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? | Almost every day. $\qquad$ 1 <br> At least once a week $\qquad$ 2 <br> Less than once a week $\qquad$ 3 <br> Not at all $\qquad$ |  |


| CM1. Now I would like to Ask about all the BIRTHS YOU HAVE HAD DURING YOUR LIFE. HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN BIRTH? | Yes ........................................................................................................................ No | $2 \Rightarrow C M 8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CM4. DO YOU HAVE ANY SONS OR DAUGHTERS TO WHOM YOU HAVE GIVEN BIRTH WHO ARE NOW LIVING WITH YOU? | Yes ............................................................................................................................ No | $2 \Rightarrow C M 6$ |
| CM5. HOW MANY SONS LIVE WITH YOU? <br> HOW MANY DAUGHTERS LIVE WITH YOU? <br> If none, record " 00 ". | Sons at home $\qquad$ <br> Daughters at home $\qquad$ |  |
| CM6. DO YOU HAVE ANY SONS OR DAUGHTERS TO WHOM YOU HAVE GIVEN BIRTH WHO ARE ALIVE BUT DO NOT LIVE WITH YOU? | Yes ........................................................................................................................ | $2 \Rightarrow C M 8$ |
| CM7. HOW MANY SONS ARE ALIVE BUT DO NOT LIVE WITH YOU? <br> How many daughters are alive but do NOT LIVE WITH YOU? <br> If none, record "00". | Sons elsewhere $\qquad$ <br> Daughters elsewhere $\qquad$ |  |
| CM8. HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN BIRTH TO A BOY OR GIRL WHO WAS BORN ALIVE BUT LATER DIED? <br> If "No" probe by asking: <br> I MEAN, TO A CHILD WHO EVER BREATHED OR CRIED OR SHOWED OTHER SIGNS OF LIFE EVEN IF HE OR SHE LIVED ONLY A FEW MINUTES OR HOURS? | Yes ......................................................................................................................... No | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CM} 10$ |
| CM9. HOW MANY BOYS HAVE DIED? <br> HOW MANY GIRLS HAVE DIED? <br> If none, record " 00 ". | Boys dead $\qquad$ <br> Girls dead $\qquad$ |  |
| CM10. Sum answers to CM5, CM7, and CM9. | Sum ................................................-_ - |  |
| CM11. JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT I HAVE THIS RIGH DURING YOUR LIFE. IS THIS CORRECT? Yes. Check below: No live births $\Rightarrow$ Go to ILLNESS One or more live births $\Rightarrow$ Con No. $\Rightarrow$ Check responses to CM1-CM10 a Birth History Module or ILLNESS | YOU HAVE HAD IN TOTAL (total number in CM10) <br> ymptoms Module. <br> nue with the BIRTH History module. <br> d make corrections as necessary before proceeding ymptoms Module. | BIRTHS |

Now I would like to record the names of all of your births, whether still alive or not, starting with the first one you had.
Record names of all of the births in BH1. Record twins and triplets on separate lines. If there are more than 14 births, use an additional questionnaire.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { BH } \\ \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | BH1. <br> What name was GIVEN TO YOUR (first/next) BABY? | BH2. <br> Were any of THESE BIRTHS TWINS? <br> 1 Single <br> 2 Multiple | BH3. <br> Is (name) A BOY OR A GIRL? <br> 1 Boy <br> 2 Girl |  | BH4. <br> IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WAS (name) BORN? <br> Probe: WHAT IS HIS/HER BIRTHDAY? |  | BH5. <br> Is (name) STILL ALIVE? <br> 1 Yes <br> 2 No | BH6. <br> How old WAS (name) AT HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Record age in completed years. | BH7. <br> Is (name) LIVING WITH YOU? <br> 1 Yes 2 No | BH8. <br> Record household line number of child (from HL1) <br> Record "00" if child is not listed. | BH9. <br> If dead: <br> HOW OLD WAS (name) WHEN HE/SHE DIED? <br> If "1 year", probe: <br> HOW MANY MONTHS OLD WAS (name)? <br> Record days if less than 1 month; record months if less than 2 years; record years if 2 years or older |  | BH10. <br> Were there any OTHER LIVE BIRTHS BETWEEN (name of previous birth) AND (name), INCLUDING ANY CHILDREN WHO DIED AFTER BIRTH? <br> 1 Yes <br> 2 No |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | S M | B | G | Month | Year | Y N | Age | Y N | Line No | Unit | Number | Y | N |
| 01 |  | 12 | 1 | 2 | - | - - - - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \mathrm{BH} 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow$ Next Line | Days........... 1 Months ........ 2 Years....... 3 | - |  |  |
| 02 |  | 12 | 1 | 2 | - | - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \mathrm{BH} 9 \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | Days............ 1 Months ...... 2 Years......... 3 | - |  | $2$ <br> Next Birth |
| 03 |  | 12 | 1 | 2 | - | - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \text { BH9 } \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | Days............ 1 Months ...... 2 Years......... 3 | - |  |  |
| 04 |  | 12 | 1 | 2 | - | - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ \mathrm{BH} 9 \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | Days............ 1 Months ..... 2 Years......... 3 | - | $1$ <br> Add <br> Birth | $2$ <br> Next Birth |
| 05 |  | 12 | 1 | 2 | - | - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \mathrm{BH} 9 \end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | Days........... 1 Months ...... 2 Years........ 3 | - | $1$ <br> Add <br> Birth | $2$ <br> Next Birth |
| 06 |  | 12 | 1 | 2 | - | - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \mathrm{BH} 9 \end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days............ } 1 \\ & \text { Months ...... } 2 \\ & \text { Years......... } 3 \end{aligned}$ | - | $1$ <br> Add <br> Birth | $2$ <br> Next <br> Birth |
| 07 |  | 12 | 1 | 2 | -__ | $-\square-$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \mathrm{BH} 9 \end{array}$ | -_ | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | Days........... 1 Months ........ 2 Years....... 3 | - - | 1 <br> Add <br> Birth | 2 Next Birth |



CM12A. Compare number in CM10 with number of births in the BIRTH HISTORY Module above and check:Numbers are same $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CM13.Numbers are different $\Rightarrow$ Probe and reconcile.

CM13. Check BH4 in BIRTH HISTORY Module: Last birth occurred within the last 2 years, that is, since (month of interview) in 2013 (if the month of interview and the month of birth are the same, and the year of birth is 2013, consider this as a birth within the last 2 years)
$\square$ No live birth in last 2 years. $\Rightarrow$ Go to ILLNESS Symptoms Module.
$\square$ One or more live births in last 2 years. $\Rightarrow$ Record name of last born child and continue with Next Module.
Name of last-born child
If child has died, take special care when referring to this child by name in the following modules.

This module is to be administered to all women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the date of interview. Record name of last-born child from CM13 here $\qquad$ .
Use this child's name in the following questions, where indicated.

| DB1. WHEN YOU GOT PREGNANT WITH (name), DID YOU WANT TO GET PREGNANT AT THAT TIME? | Yes ............................................................... 1 No ................................................................... 2 | $1 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DB2. DID YOU WANT TO HAVE A BABY LATER ON, OR DID YOU NOT WANT ANY (MORE) CHILDREN? | Later $\qquad$ <br> No more $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| DB3. How MUCH LONGER DID YOU WANT TO WAIT? <br> Record the answer as stated by respondent. | Months............................................. 1 _ -1 Years .................................................. 2 _ — DK.............................................................. 998 |  |


| MN1. DID YOU SEE ANYONE FOR ANTENATAL CARE DURING YOUR PREGNANCY WITH (name)? |  | $2 ¢ \mathrm{MN} 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN2. WHOM DID YOU SEE? <br> Probe: <br> ANYONE ELSE? <br> Probe for the type of person seen and circle all answers given. | Health professional: <br> Doctor. $\qquad$ A <br> Nurse / Midwife $\qquad$ B <br> Feldsher. $\qquad$ D <br> Other person <br> Traditional birth attendant. $\qquad$ .F <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |  |
| MN2A. HOW MANY WEEKS OR MONTHS PREGNANT WERE YOU WHEN YOU FIRST RECEIVED ANTENATAL CARE FOR THIS PREGNANCY? Record the answer as stated by respondent. | Weeks ................................................. 1 —— Months............................................... $20-1$ DK........................................................... 998 |  |
| MN3. HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU RECEIVE ANTENATAL CARE DURING THIS PREGNANCY? <br> Probe to identify the number of times antenatal care was received. If a range is given, record the minimum number of times antenatal care received. | Number of times <br> DK. $\qquad$ |  |
| MN4. As PART OF YOUR ANTENATAL CARE DURING THIS PREGNANCY, WERE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING DONE AT LEAST ONCE: <br> [A] WAS YOUR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASURED? <br> [B] DID YOU GIVE A URINE SAMPLE? <br> [C] DID YOU GIVE A BLOOD SAMPLE? <br> [D] DId you have an Ultra sound? |  Yes No <br> Blood pressure ............................... 1 2  <br> Urine sample .................................... 1 2  <br> Blood sample................................. 1 2  <br> Ultra sound...................................... 1 2  |  |
| MN17. WHO ASSISTED WITH THE DELIVERY OF (name)? <br> Probe: <br> Anyone else? <br> Probe for the type of person assisting and circle all answers given. <br> If respondent says no one assisted, probe to determine whether any adults were present at the delivery. | Health professional: <br> Doctor. $\qquad$ A <br> Nurse / Midwife $\qquad$ <br> Feldsher. $\qquad$ B D <br> Other person <br> Traditional birth attendant. $\qquad$ F <br> Relative / Friend $\qquad$ H <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X <br> No one $\qquad$ Y |  |


| MN18. WHERE DID YOU GIVE BIRTH TO (name)? <br> Probe to identify the type of source. <br> If unable to determine whether public or private, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) | Home <br> Respondent's home $\qquad$ 11 <br> Other home $\qquad$ 12 <br> Public sector <br> Government hospital $\qquad$ .21 <br> Government clinic/health centre............ 22 <br> Government health post....................... 23 <br> Other public (specify) $\qquad$ 26 <br> Private Medical Sector $\qquad$ <br> Other private <br> medical (specify) $\qquad$ 36 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 96 | $11 \Rightarrow$ MN20 <br> $12 \Rightarrow$ MN20 <br> $96 \Rightarrow$ MN20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN19. WAS (name) DELIVERED BY CAESAREAN SECTION? THAT IS, DID THEY CUT YOUR BELLY OPEN TO TAKE THE BABY OUT? | Yes ................................................................................................................... | $2 ¢$ MN20 |
| MN19A. WHEN WAS THE DECISION MADE TO HAVE THE CAESAREAN SECTION? <br> WAS IT BEFORE OR AFTER YOUR LABOUR PAINS STARTED? | Before........................................................ 1 After...................................................................... 2 |  |
| MN20. WHEN (name) WAS BORN, WAS HE/SHE VERY LARGE, LARGER THAN AVERAGE, average, smaller than average, or very SMALL? |  |  |
| MN21. WAS (name) WEIGHED AT BIRTH? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................ 8 No | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{MN} 23 \\ & 8 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{MN} 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| MN22. HOW MUCH DID (name) WEIGH? <br> If a card is available, record weight from card. |  |  |
| MN23. HAS YOUR MENSTRUAL PERIOD RETURNED SINCE THE BIRTH OF (name)? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ |  |
| MN24. DID YOU EVER BREASTFEED (name)? | Yes .............................................................................................................................. No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2 } \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |


| MN25. HOW LONG AFTER BIRTH DID YOU FIRST PUT (name) TO THE BREAST? <br> If less than 1 hour, record "00" hours. If less than 24 hours, record hours. Otherwise, record days. | Immediately $\qquad$ 000 <br> Hours. $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ <br> Days $\qquad$ 2 <br> DK/Don't remember $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN26. IN THE FIRST THREE DAYS AFTER DELIVERY, WAS (name) GIVEN ANYTHING TO DRINK OTHER THAN BREAST MILK? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... No..... | $2 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| MN27. WHAT WAS (name) GIVEN TO DRINK? <br> Probe: <br> ANYTHING ELSE? | Milk (other than breast milk).........................A <br> Plain water $\qquad$ <br> Sugar or glucose water ...............................C <br> Gripe water. $\qquad$ <br> Sugar-salt-water solution $\qquad$ <br> Fruit juice. $\qquad$ <br> Infant formula $\qquad$ <br> Tea / Infusions. $\qquad$ <br> Honey $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |  |

This module is to be administered to all women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the date of interview. Record name of last-born child from CM13 here $\qquad$ -.
Use this child's name in the following questions, where indicated.
PN1. Check MN18: Was the child delivered in a health facility?
$\square$ Yes, the child was delivered in a health facility (MN18=21-26 or 31, 36) $\Rightarrow$ Continue with PN2.
$\square$ No, the child was not delivered in a health facility (MN18=11-12 or 96) $\Rightarrow$ Go to PN6.

| PN2. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME <br> QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED IN THE <br> HOURS AND DAYS AFTER THE BIRTH OF (name). | Hours.............................................. 1 | Days ................................................ 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | -

PN6. Check MN17: Did a health professional or traditional birth attendant assist with the delivery?
$\square$ Yes, delivery assisted by a health professional or traditional birth attendant $(M N 17=A-F) \Rightarrow$ Continue with PN7.
$\square$ No, delivery not assisted by a health professional or traditional birth attendant (A-F not circled in MN17) $\Rightarrow$ Go to PNIO.

| PN7. YOU HAVE ALREADY SAID THAT (person or persons in MNI7) ASSISTED WITH THE BIRTH. Now I would like to talk to you about CHECKS ON (name)'S HEALTH AFTER DELIVERY, FOR EXAMPLE EXAMINING (name), CHECKING THE CORD, OR SEEING IF (name) is ок. <br> After the delivery was over and before (person or persons in MN17) LEFT YOU, DID (person or persons in MN17) CHECK ON (name)'S HEALTH? | Yes .......................................................................................................................... No...... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PN8. AND DID (person or persons in MN17) CHECK ON YOUR HEALTH BEFORE LEAVING? <br> By check on your health, I mean ASSESSING YOUR HEALTH, FOR EXAMPLE ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR HEALTH OR EXAMINING YOU. | Yes ........................................................................................................................ No...... |  |
| PN9. AFTER THE (person or persons in MN17) Left You, did Anyone check on the health OF (name)? | Yes ..................................................................................................................... No...... | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { 1 } \Rightarrow \text { PN11 } \\ 2 \Rightarrow \text { PN18 } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| PN10. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT CHECKS ON (name)'S HEALTH AFTER DELIVERY - FOR EXAMPLE, SOMEONE EXAMINING (name), CHECKING THE CORD, OR SEEING IF (name) IS ок. <br> AFTER (name) WAS DELIVERED, DID ANYONE CHECK ON HIS/HER HEALTH? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... No...... | 2¢PN19 |
| PN11. DID SUCH A CHECK HAPPEN ONLY ONCE, OR MORE THAN ONCE? | Once................................................................................................. More than once | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow P N 12 A \\ & 2 \Rightarrow P N 12 B \end{aligned}$ |
| PN12A. HOW LONG AFTER DELIVERY DID THAT CHECK HAPPEN? <br> PN12B. How long after delivery did the FIRST OF THESE CHECKS HAPPEN? <br> If less than one day, record hours. If less than one week, record days. Otherwise, record weeks. | Hours. $\qquad$ .1 $\qquad$ <br> Days $\qquad$ 2 $\qquad$ <br> Weeks $\qquad$ .3 <br> DK / Don't remember $\qquad$ 998 |  |
| PN13. WHO CHECKED ON (name)'S HEALTH AT that time? | Health professional $\qquad$ <br> Nurse / Midwife $\qquad$ B <br> Feldsher $\qquad$ D <br> Other person <br> Traditional birth attendant $\qquad$ . F <br> Relative / Friend $\qquad$ . H <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |  |


| PN14. WHERE DID THIS CHECK TAKE PLACE? <br> Probe to identify the type of source. <br> If unable to determine whether public or private, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) | Home <br> Respondent's home $\qquad$ .11 <br> Other home $\qquad$ 12 <br> Public sector <br> Government hospital $\qquad$ 21 <br> Government clinic / health centre ......... 22 <br> Government health post........................ 23 <br> Other public (specify) $\qquad$ 26 <br> Private medical sector $\qquad$ <br> Other private <br> medical (specify) $\qquad$ 36 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PN15. Check MN18: Was the child delivered in a he Yes, the child was delivered in a health faci No, the child was not delivered in a health | facility? $\begin{aligned} & \text { lity }(\text { MN18 }=21-26 \text { or } 31,36) \Rightarrow \text { Continue with } \\ & \text { facility }(M N 18=11-12 \text { or } 96) \Rightarrow \text { Go to PN17. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| PN16. AFTER YOU LEFT (name or type of facility in MN18), DID ANYONE CHECK ON YOUR HEALTH? | Yes ........................................................................................................................ No...... | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{PN} 20 \\ & 2 \Leftrightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| PN17. Check MN17: Did a health professional or tr Yes, delivery assisted by a health profes $($ MN17 $=A-F) \leftrightharpoons$ Continue with PN18 No, delivery not assisted by a health prof (A-F not circled in MN17) $\Rightarrow$ Go to $P N$ | tional birth attendant assist with the delivery? <br> nal or traditional birth attendant <br> ssional or traditional birth attendant |  |
| PN18. AFTER THE DELIVERY WAS OVER AND (person or persons in MN17) LEFT, DID ANYONE CHECK ON YOUR HEALTH? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... No...... | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{PN} 20 \\ & 2 \Leftrightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| PN19. AFTER THE BIRTH OF (name), DID ANYONE CHECK ON YOUR HEALTH? <br> I MEAN SOMEONE ASSESSING YOUR HEALTH, FOR EXAMPLE ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR HEALTH OR EXAMINING YOU. | Yes .......................................................................................................................... No...... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \quad \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| PN20. DID SUCH A CHECK HAPPEN ONLY ONCE, OR MORE THAN ONCE? | Once................................................................................................. More than once | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1 } \Rightarrow \mathrm{PN} 21 \mathrm{~A} \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{PN} 21 \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |
| PN21A. HOW LONG AFTER DELIVERY DID THAT CHECK HAPPEN? <br> PN21B. How Long after delivery did the FIRST OF THESE CHECKS HAPPEN? <br> If less than one day, record hours. If less than one week, record days. Otherwise, record weeks. | Hours. $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ <br> Days $\qquad$ 2 $\qquad$ <br> Weeks $\qquad$ 3 <br> DK / Don't remember $\qquad$ 998 |  |


| PN22. WHO CHECKED ON YOUR HEALTH AT THAT TIME? | Health professional <br> Doctor. $\qquad$ <br> Nurse / Midwife $\qquad$ <br> Feldsher $\qquad$ <br> Other person <br> Traditional birth attendant $\qquad$ <br> Relative / Friend $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |
| :---: | :---: |
| PN23. WHERE DID THIS CHECK TAKE PLACE? <br> Probe to identify the type of source. <br> If unable to determine whether public or private, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) |  |

IS1. Check List of Household Members, columns HL7B and HL15:
Is the respondent the mother or caretaker of any child under age 5?
$\square$ Yes $\Rightarrow$ Continue with IS2.No $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module.

IS2. SOMETIMES CHILDREN HAVE SEVERE ILLNESSES AND SHOULD BE TAKEN IMMEDIATELY TO A HEALTH FACILITY. WHAT TYPES OF SYMPTOMS WOULD CAUSE YOU TO TAKE A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 5 TO A HEALTH FACILITY RIGHT AWAY?

Probe:
ANY OTHER SYMPTOMS?
Keep asking for more signs or symptoms until the mother/caretaker cannot recall any additional symptoms.

Circle all symptoms mentioned, but do not prompt with any suggestions

Child not able to drink or breastfeed .......... A
Child becomes sicker . B
Child develops a fever................................ C
Child has fast breathing ............................. D
Child has difficulty breathing ...................... E
Child has blood in stool ................................F
Child is drinking poorly ............................... G
Other (specify) $\qquad$ X

Other (specify) $\qquad$ Y

Other (specify) $\qquad$ Z

| MARRIAGE/UNION |  | MA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA1. Are you currently married or living together with a man in an unregistered MARRIAGE? | Yes, currently married <br> Yes, living with a man in an unregistered marriage <br> No, not in union | $3 ¢$ MA5 |
| MA2. HOW OLD IS YOUR HUSBAND/PARTNER? <br> Probe: How OLD WAS YOUR husband/partner on his Last birthday? | Age in years <br> DK $\qquad$ 98 | $\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \text { MA7 } \\ & 98 \curvearrowleft \text { MA7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| MA5. HAVE You ever been married or lived tOGETHER WITH A MAN IN AN UNREGISTERED marriage? | Yes, formerly married $\qquad$ Yes, formerly lived with a man in an unregistered marriage $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ | $3 \Leftrightarrow$ Module |
| MA6. WHAT IS YOUR MARITAL STATUS NOW: ARE YOU WIDOWED, DIVORCED OR SEPARATED? |  |  |
| MA7. HAVE YOU bEEN MARRIED OR LIVED WITH A MAN IN AN UNREGISTERED MARRIAGE ONLY ONCE OR MORE THAN ONCE? | Only once ............................................................................................. More than once....... | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \text { MA8A } \\ & 2 \Rightarrow M A 8 B \end{aligned}$ |
| MA8A. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOU MARRY OR START LIVING WITH A MAN AS IF MARRIED? <br> MA8B. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOU FIRST MARRY OR START LIVING WITH A MAN IN AN UNREGISTERED MARRIAGE? | Date of (first) marriage <br> Month $\qquad$ <br> DK month $\qquad$ <br> Year $\qquad$ <br> DK year. $\qquad$ .9998 | $\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \quad \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| MA9. How OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOU FIRST STARTED LIVING WITH YOUR (FIRST) hUSBAND/PARTNER? | Age in years.......................................-- |  |

CPO. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT ANOTHER SUBJECT - FAMILY PLANNING.
COUPLES USE VARIOUS WAYS OR METHODS TO DELAY OR AVOID A PREGNANCY.
Have you heard about:
[A] Female sterilization?
Probe: WOMEN CAN HAVE AN OPERATION TO AVOID HAVING ANY MORE CHILDREN.
[B] Male sterilization?
Probe: MEN CAN HAVE AN OPERATION TO AVOID HAVING ANY MORE CHILDREN.

## [C] IUD?

Probe: WOMEN CAN HAVE A LOOP OR COIL PLACED INSIDE THEM BY A DOCTOR OR A NURSE.
[D] INJECTABLES?
Probe: WOMEN CAN HAVE AN INJECTION BY A HEALTH PROVIDER THAT STOPS THEM FROM BECOMING PREGNANT FOR ONE OR MORE MONTHS.
[E] IMPLANTS?
Probe: WOMEN CAN HAVE ONE OR MORE SMALL RODS PLACED IN THEIR UPPER ARM BY A DOCTOR OR NURSE WHICH CAN PREVENT PREGNANCY FOR ONE OR MORE YEARS.
[F] Pill?
Probe: WOMEN CAN TAKE A PILL EVERY DAY TO AVOID BECOMING PREGNANT.
[G] MALE CONDOM?
Probe: MEN CAN PUT A RUBBER SHEATH ON THEIR PENIS BEFORE SEXUAL INTERCOURSE.

## [H] FEMALE CONDOM?

Probe: WOMEN CAN PLACE A SHEATH IN THEIR VAGINA BEFORE SEXUAL INTERCOURSE.

## [I] DIAPHRAGM?

Probe: WOMEN CAN INSERT A SOFT RUBBER CUP IN THEIR VAGINA TO BLOCK THE SPERM FROM ENTERING THEIR UTERUS OR FALLOPIAN TUBES.
[J] FOAM / JELLY?
Probe: WOMEN MAY USE SPERMICIDAL PRODUCTS (E.G. FOAM, JELLY, CREAM) THAT CAN KILL OR PREVENT THE SPERM FROM MOVING AND REACHING THE EGG.
[L] Periodic abstinence / Rhythm METHOD?
Probe: TO AVOID PREGNANCY, WOMEN DO NOT HAVE SEXUAL INTERCOURSE ON THE DAYS OF THE MONTH THEY THINK THEY CAN GET PREGNANT.
Yes ..... 1
No. ..... 2
Yes ..... 1
No. ..... 2
Yes
Yes ..... 1 ..... 1
No.
No. ..... 2 ..... 2
Yes
No. ..... 1
2
Yes ..... 1
No. ..... 2
Yes
Yes ..... 1 ..... 1
No.
No. ..... 2 ..... 2
Yes ..... 1
No. ..... 2
Yes ..... 1
No. ..... 2
Yes
Yes ..... 1 ..... 1
No.
No. ..... 2 ..... 2
Yes ..... 1
No. ..... 2
Yes
No..
Yes
No.. ..... 1 ..... 1
Yes
No.
Yes
No. ..... 2 ..... 2

| [M] Withdrawal? <br> Probe: MEN CAN BE CAREFUL AND PULL OUT BEFORE CLIMAX. <br> [N] Emergancy / postcoital CONTRACEPTION? <br> Probe: As AN EMERGENCY MEASURE, WITHIN three days after they have UNPROTECTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE, WOMEN CAN TAKE SPECIAL PILLS TO PREVENT PREGNANCY. <br> [X] HAVE YOU HEARD OF ANY OTHER WAYS OR METHODS THAT WOMEN OR MEN CAN USE TO AVOID PREGNANCY? | $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CP1. Are you pregnant now? | Yes, currently pregnant $\qquad$ <br> No. $\qquad$ 2 <br> Unsure or DK $\qquad$ 8 | $1 \Rightarrow C P 2 A$ |
| CP2. ARE YOU CURRENTLY DOING SOMETHING OR USING ANY METHOD TO DELAY OR AVOID GETTING PREGNANT? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ | $1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CP} 3$ |
| CP2A. HAVE YOU EVER DONE SOMETHING OR USED ANY METHOD TO DELAY OR AVOID GETTING PREGNANT? | Yes $\qquad$ .1 <br> No $\qquad$ 2 | $1 \Rightarrow$ Next Module 2 $\Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| CP3. What ARE YOU DOING TO DELAY OR AVOID A PREGNANCY? <br> Do not prompt. <br> If more than one method is mentioned, circle each one. |  |  |

UN1. Check CP1: Currently pregnant?
$\square$ Yes, currently pregnant $\Rightarrow$ Continue with UN2.No, unsure or $D K \Rightarrow$ Go to UN5.

| UN2. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT YOUR CURRENT PREGNANCY. When you got pregnant, did you want TO GET PREGNANT AT THAT TIME? | Yes. $\qquad$ .1 <br> No $\qquad$ | $1 \Rightarrow$ UN4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UN3. DID YOU WANT TO HAVE A BABY LATER ON OR DID YOU NOT WANT ANY (MORE) CHILDREN? | Later $\qquad$ <br> No more $\qquad$ |  |
| UN4. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE FUTURE. AFTER THE CHILD YOU ARE NOW EXPECTING, WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE ANOTHER CHILD, OR WOULD YOU PREFER NOT TO HAVE ANY MORE CHILDREN? | Have another child $\qquad$ <br> No more / None $\qquad$ <br> Undecided / DK $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \text { UN7 } \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \text { UN13 } \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \text { UN13 } \end{aligned}$ |

UN5. Check CP3: Currently using "Female sterilization" $(C P 3=A)$ ?Yes $\Rightarrow$ Go to UN13.No $\Rightarrow$ Continue with UN6.

| UN6. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE FUTURE. WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE (A/ANOTHER) CHILD, OR WOULD YOU PREFER NOT TO HAVE ANY (MORE) CHILDREN? | Have (a/another) child ................................. 1 No more / None ............................................. 2 Says she cannot get pregnant........................ 3 Undecided / DK................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { UN9 } \\ & \text { 3 } \Rightarrow \text { UN11 } \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \text { UN9 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UN7. HOW LONG WOULD YOU LIKE TO WAIT BEFORE THE BIRTH OF (A/ANOTHER) CHILD? <br> Record the answer as stated by respondent. |  | 994 $\Rightarrow$ UN11 |

UN8. Check CP1: Currently pregnant?Yes, currently pregnant $\Rightarrow$ Go to UN13.No, unsure or $D K \Rightarrow$ Continue with UN9.

UN9. Check CP2: Currently using a method?
$\square$ Yes $\Rightarrow$ Go to UN13.
$\square$ No $\Rightarrow$ Continue with UNIO.

| UN10. DO YOU THINK YOU ARE PHYSICALLY ABLE TO GET PREGNANT AT THIS TIME? | Yes............................................................ 1 No .................................................................. 2 DK.................................................................. 8 | $1 \Rightarrow \text { UN13 }$ $8 \Rightarrow \text { UN13 }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UN11. WHY DO YOU THINK YOU ARE NOT PHYSICALLY ABLE TO GET PREGNANT? | Infrequent sex / No sex............................... A Menopausal ..................................... B Never menstruated .............................. C Hysterectomy (surgical removal of uterus)...............................................D Has been trying to get pregnant for 2 years or more without result ............ E Postpartum amenorrheic .......................... F Breastfeeding..................................... Too old................................................................................................................................................ Z Fatalistic................................................. |  |

UN12. Check UN11: "Never menstruated" mentioned?Mentioned $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module.Not mentioned $\Rightarrow$ Continue with UN13.

UN13. WHEN DID YOUR LAST MENSTRUAL PERIOD START?

Record the answer using the same unit stated by the respondent.


DV1. SOMETIMES A HUSBAND IS ANNOYED OR ANGERED BY THINGS THAT HIS WIFE DOES. IN YOUR OPINION, IS A HUSBAND JUSTIFIED IN HITTING OR BEATING HIS WIFE IN THE FOLLOWING SITUATIONS:
[A] IF SHE GOES OUT WITHOUT TELLING HIM?
[B] IF SHE NEGLECTS THE CHILDREN?
[C] IF SHE ARGUES WITH HIM?
[D] IF SHE REFUSES TO HAVE SEX WITH HIM?
[E] IF SHE BURNS THE FOOD?
[F] IF SHE DOES NOT RESPECT HER HUSBAND'S PARENTS?

| Yes | No | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goes out without telling............ 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Neglects children..................... 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Argues with him...................... 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Refuses sex ........................... 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Burns food............................. 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Does not respect her husband's parents. $\qquad$ | 2 | 8 |


| HIV/AIDS |  | HA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA1. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE. <br> HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF AN ILLNESS CALLED AIDS? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No ................................................................. 2 | $2 \Rightarrow W M 11$ |
| HA2. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF getting the AIDS virus by having Just ONE UNINFECTED SEX PARTNER WHO HAS NO OTHER SEX PARTNERS? |  |  |
| HA3. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS BECAUSE OF WITCHCRAFT OR OTHER SUPERNATURAL MEANS? | Yes ...................................................................................................................................................................................................... No |  |
| HA3A. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS vIRUS BY HUGGING OR SHAKING HANDS WITH A PERSON WHO IS INFECTED WITH THE AIDS VIRUS? | Yes .......................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| HA3B. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS BY KISSING WITH A PERSON WHO IS INFECTED WITH THE AIDS VIRUS? | Yes ........................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| HA4. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF getting the AIDS virus by using a CONDOM EVERY TIME THEY HAVE SEX? | Yes ........................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| HA5. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS FROM MOSQUITO BITES? |  |  |
| HA6. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS by SHARING FOOD WITH A PERSON WHO HAS the AIDS VIRUS? | Yes ........................................................................................................................................................................................................ |  |
| HA7. IS IT POSSIBLE FOR A HEALTHY-LOOKING PERSON TO HAVE THE AIDS VIRUS? |  |  |
| HA8. CAN THE VIRUS THAT CAUSES AIDS BE TRANSMITTED FROM A MOTHER TO HER BABY: <br> [A] DURINg pregnancy? <br> [B] DURING DELIVERY? <br> [C] By breastreeding? | Yes No DK <br> During pregnancy ...................... 1 2 8 <br> During delivery ................................. 2 8 <br> By breastfeeding ............... 2 8 |  |
| HA9. IN YOUR OPINION, IF A FEMALE TEACHER has the AIDS virus but is not sick, Should she be allowed to continue TEACHING IN SCHOOL? |  |  |
| HA10. WOULD YOU BUY FRESH VEGETABLES FROM A SHOPKEEPER OR VENDOR IF YOU KNEW THAT THIS PERSON HAD THE AIDS VIRUS? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ 2 <br> DK / Not sure / Depends $\qquad$ 8 |  |


| HA11. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY GOT infected with the AIDS virus, would YOU WANT IT TO REMAIN A SECRET? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA12. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME SICK WITH AIDS, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO CARE FOR HER OR HIM IN YOUR OWN HOUSEHOLD? | Yes .................................................................................................................. 2 No DK / Not sure / Depends ............................... 8 |  |
| HA12A. DO YOU THINK CHILDREN LIVING WITH the AIDS virus should be allowed to ATTEND SCHOOL WITH CHILDREN WHO ARE NOT INFECTED WITH THE AIDS VIRUS? | Yes .................................................................................................................. 2 No DK / Not sure / Depends ............................... 8 |  |
| HA13. Check CM13: Any live birth in last 2 year No live birth in last 2 years (CM13 = One or more live births in last 2 year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No" or blank) } \Rightarrow \text { Go to HA24. } \\ & \Rightarrow \text { Continue with HA14. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| HA14. Check MN1: Received antenatal care? Received antenatal care $\Rightarrow$ Continue Did not receive antenatal care $\Rightarrow$ Go | th HA15. <br> HA24. |  |
| HA15. DURING ANY OF THE ANTENATAL VISITS FOR YOUR PREGNANCY WITH (name), <br> WERE YOU GIVEN ANY INFORMATION ABOUT: <br> [A] BABIES GETting the AIDS virus FROM THEIR MOTHER? <br> [B] THINGS THAT YOU CAN DO TO PREVENT GETTING THE AIDS VIRUS? <br> [C] GETting TESTED FOR tHe AIDS VIRUS? <br> WERE YOU: <br> [D] OFFERED A TEST FOR THE AIDS VIRUS? | AIDS from mother $\qquad$ 1 <br> Things to do $\qquad$ .1 <br> Tested for AIDS $\qquad$ .1 <br> Offered a test. $\qquad$ |  |
| HA16. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, but Were you tested for the aids VIRUS AS PART OF YOUR ANTENATAL CARE? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................................................................... No | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { HA19 } \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \text { HA19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| HA17. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT DID YOU GET THE RESULTS OF THE TEST? | Yes .......................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No DK................. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { HA22 } \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \text { HA22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| HA18. Regardless of the result, all WOMEN WHO ARE TESTED ARE SUPPOSED TO RECEIVE COUNSELLING AFTER GETTING THE RESULT. <br> After you were tested, did you RECEIVE COUNSELLING? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................................ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \text { HA22 } \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \text { HA22 } \\ & \text { 8 } \Rightarrow \text { HA22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| HA19. Check MN17: Birth delivered by health profe Yes, birth delivered by health professi No, birth not delivered by health professi | essional ( $A, B$ or $D$ )? <br> al $($ MN17 $=A, B$ or $D) \Rightarrow$ Continue with HA2O. <br> sional $($ MN17 $=$ else $) \Rightarrow$ Go to HA24. |  |


| HA20. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, but were you tested for the aids VIRUS BETWEEN THE TIME YOU WENT FOR DELIVERY BUT BEFORE THE BABY WAS BORN? | Yes ................................................................................................................... No...... | $2 \Rightarrow H A 24$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA21. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT DID YOU GET THE RESULTS OF THE TEST? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... No..... |  |
| HA22. Have you been tested for the AIDS VIRUS SINCE THAT TIME YOU WERE TESTED DURING YOUR PREGNANCY? | Yes ........................................................................................................................ | $1 \Rightarrow$ HA25 |
| HA23. When was the most recent time you WERE TESTED FOR THE AIDS VIRUS? | Less than 12 months ago $\qquad$ 1 <br> 12-23 months ago $\qquad$ 2 <br> 2 or more years ago $\qquad$ | $1 \Rightarrow$ WM11 <br> $2 \Rightarrow$ WM11 <br> $3 \Rightarrow$ WM11 |
| HA24. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT HAVE YOU EVER BEEN TESTED TO SEE IF you have the AIDS virus? | Yes .................................................................................................................... No..... | $2 \Rightarrow H A 27$ |
| HA25. When was the most recent time you WERE TESTED? | Less than 12 months ago................................................................................................................. |  |
| HA26. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT DID YOU GET THE RESULTS OF THE TEST? | Yes ............................................................ 1 No................................................................. 2 DK ............................................................ 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow W M 11 \\ & 2 \Rightarrow W M 11 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow W M 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| HA27. DO YOU KNOW OF A PLACE WHERE PEOPLE CAN GO TO GET TESTED FOR THE AIDS VIRUS? | Yes ......................................................................................................................... No...... |  |


| WM11. Record the time. | Hour and minutes ...................._-_ $:-\_$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

WM12. Check List of Household Members, columns HL7B and HL15:
Is the respondent the mother or caretaker of any child age 0-4 living in this household?
$\square$ Yes $\Rightarrow$ Proceed to complete the result of woman's interview (WM7) on the cover page and then go to Questionnaire for Children Under Five for that child and start the interview with this respondent.
$\square N o \Rightarrow$ End the interview with this respondent by thanking her for her cooperation and proceed to complete the result of woman's interview (WM7) on the cover page.

## Interviewer's Observations

## Supervisor's Observations

## UNDER-FIVE CHILD INFORMATION PANEL

This questionnaire is to be administered to all mothers or caretakers (see List of Household Members, column HL15) who care for a child that lives with them and is under the age of 5 years (see List of Household Members, column HL7B).

A separate questionnaire should be used for each eligible child.

| UF1. Cluster number: |  | UF2. Household number: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UF3. Child's name: | UF4. Child's line number: |  |

Name__

UF5. Mother's / Caretaker's name:
Name $\qquad$
UF7. Interviewer's name and number:
Name

UF6. Mother's / Caretaker's line number:

UF8. Day / Month / Year of interview:
$\qquad$

Repeat greeting if not already read to this respondent:
We are from the state statistics committee. We ARE CONDUCTING A SURVEY ABOUT THE SITUATION OF CHILDREN, FAMILIES AND HOUSEHOLDS. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT (child's name from UF3)'S HEALTH AND WELL-BEING. THE INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 15 minutes. All the INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND ANONYMOUS.

If greeting at the beginning of the household questionnaire has already been read to this person, then read the following:

Now I would like to talk to you more about (child's name from UF3)'s HEALTH AND OTHER TOPICS. THIS INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 15 MINUTES. AgAIN, ALL THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND ANONYMOUS.

MAY I START NOW?
$\square$ Yes, permission is given $\Rightarrow$ Go to UF12 to record the time and then begin the interview.
$\square$ No, permission is not given $\Rightarrow$ Circle '03' in UF9. Discuss this result with your supervisor.

| UF9. Result of interview for children under 5 | Completed ....................................................... 01 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Not at home .................................................... 02 |
| Codes refer to mother/caretaker. | Refused ......................................................... 03 |
|  | Partly completed............................................. 04 |
|  | Incapacitated .................................................. 05 |
|  | Other (specify) _ 96 |


| AGE |  | AG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AG1. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE DEVELOPMENT AND HEALTH OF (name). <br> On What day, Month and year was (name) BORN? <br> Probe: <br> WHAT IS HIS/HER BIRTHDAY? <br> If the mother/caretaker knows the exact birth date, also enter the day; otherwise, circle 98 for day. <br> Month and year must be recorded. | Date of birth <br> Day $\qquad$ <br> DK day $\qquad$ <br> Month $\qquad$ <br> Year $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |
| AG2. How old is (name)? <br> Probe: <br> How old was (name) AT HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Record age in completed years. <br> Record '0' if less than 1 year. <br> Compare and correct AG1 and/or AG2 if inconsistent. | Age (in completed years) ........................- |  |


| BIRTH REGISTRATION |  | BR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BR1. DOES (name) HAVE A BIRTH CERTIFICATE? <br> If yes, ask: <br> MAY I SEE IT? |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ \quad \text { Module } \\ 2 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ \text { Module } \end{gathered}$ |
| BR2. HAS (name)'S BIRTH BEEN REGISTERED WITH THE CIVIL REGISTRY OFFICE? | Yes ................................................................. 1 No ................................................................. 2 DK............................................................. 8 | $1 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| BR3. DO YOU KNOW HOW TO REGISTER (name)'S BIRTH? | Yes ..................................................................................................................................... No |  |


| EC1. HOW MANY CHILDREN'S BOOKS OR PICTURE BOOKS DO YOU HAVE FOR (name)? | None $\qquad$ 00 <br> Number of children's books $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ <br> Ten or more books $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| EC2. I AM INTERESTED IN LEARNING ABOUT THE THINGS THAT (name) PLAYS WITH WHEN HE/SHE IS AT HOME. <br> DOES HE/SHE PLAY WITH: <br> [A] HOMEMADE TOYS (SUCH AS DOLLS, CARS, OR OTHER TOYS MADE AT HOME)? <br> [B] TOYS FROM A SHOP OR MANUFACTURED TOYS? <br> [C] HOUSEHOLD OBJECTS (SUCH AS BOWLS OR POTS) OR OBJECTS FOUND OUTSIDE (SUCH AS STICKS, ROCKS, ANIMAL SHELLS OR LEAVES)? <br> If the respondent says "YES" to the categories above, then probe to learn specifically what the child plays with to ascertain the response. |  Y N DK <br> Homemade toys .......................... 1 2 8  <br> Toys from a shop.......................... 1 2 8  <br> Household objects <br> or outside objects ..................... 1 2 8  |
| EC3. SOMETIMES ADULTS TAKING CARE OF CHILDREN HAVE TO LEAVE THE HOUSE TO GO SHOPPING, WASH CLOTHES, OR FOR OTHER REASONS AND HAVE TO LEAVE YOUNG CHILDREN. <br> ON HOW MANY DAYS IN THE PAST WEEK WAS (name): <br> [A] LEFT ALONE FOR MORE THAN AN HOUR? <br> [B] LEFT IN THE CARE OF ANOTHER CHILD, THAT IS, SOMEONE LESS THAN 10 YEARS OLD, FOR MORE THAN AN HOUR? <br> If 'none' enter '0'. If 'don't know' enter' 8 '. | Number of days left alone for more than an hour $\qquad$ <br> Number of days left with other child for more than an hour $\qquad$ |
| EC4. Check AG2: Age of child.Child age 0, 1 or $2 \Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module.Child age 3 or $4 \Rightarrow$ Continue with EC5. |  |
| EC5. DoES (name) ATTEND ANY ORGANIZED LEARNING OR EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION PROGRAMME, SUCH AS A PRIVATE OR GOVERNMENT FACILITY, INCLUDING KINDERGARTEN OR COMMUNITY CHILD CARE? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No .................................................................. 2 DK...................................................................... 8 |



| EC15. Does (name) GET ALONG WELL WITH OTHER CHILDREN? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EC16. Does (name) KICK, BITE, OR HIT OTHER CHILDREN OR ADULTS? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No |  |
| EC17. DOES (name) GET DISTRACTED EASILY? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No |  |

BD1. Check AG2: Age of child
$\square$ Child age 0, 1 or $2 \Rightarrow$ Continue with $B D 2$.
$\square$ Child age 3 or $4 \Rightarrow$ Go to CARE OF ILLNESS Module.

| BD2. HAS (name) EVER BEEN BREASTFED? | Yes ........................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BD} 4 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BD} 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BD3. IS (name) STILL BEING BREASTFED? | Yes .................................................................................................................................................................................. 8No 8DK.............. |  |  |  |  |
| BD4. YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT, DID (name) DRINK ANYTHING FROM A BOTTLE WITH A NIPPLE? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |  |  |  |
| BD5. DID (name) DRINK ORS (ORAL REHYDRATION SOLUTION) YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes ...................................................................................................................................................................................................No |  |  |  |  |
| BD6. DID (name) DRINK OR EAT VITAMIN OR MINERAL SUPPLEMENTS OR ANY MEDICINES YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes ......................................................................................................................................................................... 8No |  |  |  |  |
| BD7. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT (OTHER) LIQUIDS THAT (name) MAY HAVE HAD YESTERDAY dURING THE DAY OR THE NIGHT. I AM INTERESTED TO KNOW WHETHER (name) HAD THE ITEM EVEN IF COMBINED WITH OTHER FOODS. <br> Please include liquids consumed outside of YOUR HOME. <br> DID (name) DRINK (name of item) YESTERDAY DURING THE DAY OR THE NIGHT: |  | Yes | No | DK |  |
| [A] Plain water? | Plain water | 1 | 2 | 8 |  |
| [B] JUICE OR JUICE DRINKS? | Juice or juice drinks | 1 | 2 | 8 |  |
| [C] Clear broth? | Clear broth | 1 | 2 | 8 |  |
| [D] Milk such as tinned, powdered, or fresh ANIMAL MILK? | Milk | 1 | 2 | 8 |  |
| If yes: HOW MANY TIMES DID (name) DRINK MILK? If 7 or more times, record ' 7 '. <br> If unknown, record ' 8 '. | Number of times dran | .... | ... |  |  |


| [E] Infant formula such as Nestle (Nestogen, Nan), Nutrilac, Bellact, Semilac? | Infant formula | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| If yes: HOW MANY TIMES DID (name) DRINK INFANT FORMULA? <br> If 7 or more times, record ' 7 '. <br> If unknown, record ' 8 '. | Number of times drank infant formula ............- |  |  |  |
| [P1] CLEAR TEA/TEA WITHOUT MILK OR DAIRY PRODUCTS? | Water-based tea | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [F] ANY OTHER LIQUIDS? <br> (Specify) $\qquad$ | Other liquids | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| BD8. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT (OTHER) FOODS THAT (name) MAY HAVE HAD YESTERDAY during the day or the night. Again, I am interested to know whether (name) had the item EVEN IF COMBINED WITH OTHER FOODS. <br> PLEASE INCLUDE FOODS CONSUMED OUTSIDE OF YOUR HOME. <br> DID (name) EAT (name of food) YESTERDAY DURING THE DAY OR THE NIGHT: |  |  |  |  |
| [A] Yogurt or Kefir (GATYK)? | Yogurt or kefir (gatyk) | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| If yes: HOW MANY TIMES DID (name) DRINK OR EAT YOGURT OR KEFIR (GATYK)? <br> If 7 or more times, record ' 7 '. <br> If unknown, record ' 8 '. | Number of times drank/ate yogurt or kefir (gatyk) $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| [B] ANY COMMERCIALLY FORTIFIED BABY FOODS made from grains, such as Nestle, Nutrilac, Bellact, Semilac? | Nestle, Nutrilac, Bellact, Semilac | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [C] BREAD, RICE, NOODLES, SEMOLINA, PORRIDGE, OR OTHER FOODS MADE FROM GRAINS? | Foods made from grains | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [D] Pumpkin or carrots? | Pumpkin or carrots | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [E] Potatoes or any other foods made from ROOTS? | Potatoes or any food made from roots, etc. | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [F] ANY DARK GREEN, LEAFY VEGETABLES? | Dark green, leafy vegetables | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [G] RIPE PERSIMMON AND DRIED OR FRESH APRICOTS? | Ripe persimmon and dried or fresh apricot | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [H] ANY OTHER FRUITS OR VEGETABLES? | Other fruits or vegetables | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [I] LIVER, KIDNEY, HEART OR OTHER ORGAN MEATS? | Liver, kidney, heart or other organ meats | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [J] ANY meat, such as beef, camel, pork, LAmb, GOAT, CHICKEN, OR DUCK? | Meat, such as beef, lamb, camel, pork, , goat, chicken, duck etc. | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [K] EgGs? | Eggs | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [L] FRESH OR DRIED FISH? | Fresh or dried fish | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| [M] ANY FOODS MADE FROM BEANS, PEAS, LENTILS, MASH (MUNG BEAN) OR NUTS? | Foods made from beans, peas, etc. | 1 | 2 | 8 |



If an immunization passport/card available, copy the dates in IM3 for each type of immunization recorded on the passport/card. IM6-IM17 will only be asked if a card is not available.


IM5. IN ADDITION TO WHAT IS RECORDED ON THIS PASSPORT/CARD, DID (name) RECEIVE ANY OTHER VACCINATIONS INCLUDING VACCINATIONS RECEIVED IN IMMUNIZATION DAYS?
$\square$ Yes $\Rightarrow$ Go back to IM3 and probe for these vaccinations and write ' 66 ' in the corresponding day column for each vaccine mentioned. When finished, skip to IM19.
$\square N o / D K \Rightarrow$ Go to IM19.

| IM6. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED ANY VACCINATIONS TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING DISEASES, INCLUDING VACCINATIONS RECEIVED IN AN IMMUNIZATION DAY? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No..................................................................... 2 DK .................................................. 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 19 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IM7. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A BCG VACCINATION AGAINST TUBERCULOSIS - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE ARM OR SHOULDER THAT USUALLY CAUSES A SCAR? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No.................................................................... 2 DK .................................................. 8 |  |
| IM8. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED ANY VACCINATION DROPS IN THE MOUTH TO PROTECT HIM/HER FROM POLIO? | Yes .............................................................. 1 No................................................................... 2 DK .................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 10 A \\ & 8 \Rightarrow M 10 A \end{aligned}$ |
| IM9. WAS THE FIRST POLIO VACCINE RECEIVED IN THE FIRST TWO WEEKS AFTER BIRTH? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... No...... |  |
| IM10. How many times was the Polio vaccine RECEIVED? | Number of times... |  |
| IM10A. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A PENTA VACCINATION (DPT-HEPB-HIB) - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH TO PREVENT HIM/HER from getting Tetanus, Whooping cough, Diphtheria, Hepatitis b and Haemophilus INFLUENZAE TYPE B? <br> Probe by indicating that the pentavalent (DPT-HepB-Hib) vaccination is sometimes given at the same time as Polio. | Yes ............................................................ 1 No................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 11 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM10B. HOW MANY TIMES WAS THE PENTA (DPT-HepB-Hib) VACCINE RECEIVED? | Number of times. |  |
| IM11. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A DPT VACCINATION - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING TETANUS, WhOOPING COUGH, OR DIPHTHERIA? <br> Probe by indicating that the DPT vaccination is sometimes given at the same time as Polio. | Yes .............................................................. 1 No................................................................... 2 DK ................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 13 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM12. HOW MANY times was the DPT Vaccine RECEIVED? | Number of times ...................................-_ |  |


| IM13. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A HEPATITIS B VACCINATION - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING Hepatitis B? <br> Probe by indicating that the Hepatitis $B$ vaccine is sometimes given at the same time as Polio and DPT vaccines. | Yes ............................................................ 1 No................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 15 A \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 15 A \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IM14. Was the first Hepatitis B vaccine RECEIVED WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER BIRTH? |  |  |
| IM15. HOW MANY times was the Hepatitis B RECEIVED? | Number of times ... |  |
| IM15A. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A HIB VACCINATION - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING HAEMOPHILUS INFLUENZA TYPE B? <br> Probe by indicating that the Hib vaccine is sometimes given at the same time as Polio and DPT vaccines. | Yes ............................................................. 1 No................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2 } \Rightarrow I M 16 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM15B. How many times was the Hib vaccine RECEIVED? | Number of times...................................-_ |  |
| IM16. Has (name) EVER RECEIVED A MEASLES INJECTION (OR AN MMR OR MR) - THAT IS, A SHOT IN THE ARM AT THE AGE OF 12 MONTHS OR OLDER - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING MEASLES? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No.................................................................................................................................. |  |
| IM19. PLEASE TELL ME IF (name) HAS participated in any of the Polio CAMPAIGNS: <br> [A] $1^{\text {st }}$ POLIO VACCINATION CAMPAIGN (2013, SEPTEMBER, ОсTOBER)? <br> [B] $2^{\text {ND }}$ POLIO VACCINATION CAMPAIGN (2014, ApRIL, MAY)? |  |  |
| IM20. Issue a Questionnaire Form For Immuniz Information Panel on that Questionnaire and go | v Records At Health Facility for this child. Com Next Module. | te the |
| IM21. CAN YOU PLEASE TELL ME THE NAME OF THE (name)'S IMMUNIZATION RECORDS? | EALTH FACILITY WHERE WE CAN FIND A MEDICAL | D WITH |
| IM22. CAN YOU PLEASE TELL ME (name)'S FULL NA CARD IN THE HEALTH FACILITY? | AND SURNAME WITH WHICH WE CAN FIND HIS/HER | EDICAL |
| IM23. IS THE MEDICAL CARD WITH (name)'S IMMUNIZATION RECORDS KEPT IN THE HEALTH FACILITY THAT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS HOUSEHOLD ADDRESS? | Yes $\qquad$ 1 <br> No $\qquad$ $.2$ | $1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Next}$ Module |
| IM24. CAN YOU PLEASE TELL ME THE ADDRESS (F USED TO FIND THE MEDICAL CARD CONTAINING | NAME OF VELAYAT, ETRAP / CITY, SETTLEMENT) me)'S IMMUNIZATION RECORDS IN THE HEALTH F | Ch CAN BE ITY? |


| CARE OF ILLNESS |  | CA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA1. In THE LAST TWO WEEKS, HAS (name) HAD DIARRHOEA? | Yes................................................................................................................... 2 No 1 DK..................................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 6 A \\ & 8 \Leftrightarrow C A 6 A \end{aligned}$ |
| CA2. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW MUCH (name) WAS GIVEN TO DRINK DURING THE DIARRHOEA (INCLUDING BREASTMILK). <br> DURING THE TIME (name) hAD DIARRHOEA, WAS HE/SHE GIVEN LESS THAN USUAL TO drink, about the same amount, or more than usual? <br> If 'less', probe: <br> WAS HE/SHE GIVEN MUCH LESS THAN USUAL TO DRINK, OR SOMEWHAT LESS? |  |  |
| CA3. DURING THE TIME (name) hAD DIARRHOEA, WAS HE/SHE GIVEN LESS THAN USUAL TO EAT, about the same amount, more than USUAL, OR NOTHING TO EAT? <br> If 'less', probe: <br> WAS HE/SHE GIVEN MUCH LESS THAN USUAL TO EAT OR SOMEWHAT LESS? |  |  |
| CA3A. DID YOU SEEK ANY ADVICE OR TREATMENT FOR THE DIARRHOEA FROM ANY SOURCE? | Yes..................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 4 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA3B. FROM WHERE DID YOU SEEK ADVICE OR TREATMENT? <br> Probe: <br> ANYWHERE ELSE? <br> Circle all providers mentioned, but do NOT prompt with any suggestions. <br> Probe to identify each type of source. <br> If unable to determine if public or private sector, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) | Public sector <br> Government hospital / clinic ................... A <br> Health centre ........................................B <br> Government health post ........................C <br> Mobile / Outreach clinic $\qquad$ <br> State pharmacy $\qquad$ <br> Other public <br> (specify) $\qquad$ H <br> Private medical sector <br> Private hospital / clinic ............................I <br> Private physician. <br> Private pharmacy $\qquad$ <br> Mobile clinic $\qquad$ <br> Other private medical <br> (specify) $\qquad$ 0 <br> Other source <br> Relative / Friend $\qquad$ P <br> Shop $\qquad$ Q <br> Traditional practitioner $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |  |
| CA4. During the time (name) had diarrhoea, WAS (name) GIVEN TO DRINK A FLUID MADE FROM A SPECIAL ORS PACKET CALLED Regidron, Apektra or Regidrat? | Yes................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 4 C \\ & 8 \Leftrightarrow C A 4 C \end{aligned}$ |


| CA4B. WHERE DID YOU GET THE ORS? <br> Probe to identify the type of source. <br> If unable to determine whether public or private, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) | Public sector <br> Government hospital/clinic.................... 11 <br> Health centre ....................................... 12 <br> Government health post ........................ 13 <br> Mobile / Outreach clinic ........................ 15 <br> State pharmacy $\qquad$ 17 <br> Other public <br> (specify) $\qquad$ 16 <br> Private medical sector <br> Private hospital / clinic .......................... 21 <br> Private physician. $\qquad$ $\begin{array}{r}22 \\ .23 \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br> Mobile clinic $\qquad$ 24 <br> Other private medical (specify) $\qquad$ 26 <br> Other source <br> Relative / Friend................................... 31 <br> Shop ................................................... 32 <br> Traditional practitioner .......................... 33 <br> Already had at home ............................... 40 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA4C. DURING THE TIME (name) HAD DIARRHOEA, WAS (name) GIVEN: <br> [A] ZINC TABLETS? <br> [B] ZINC SYRUP? |  Y N DK   <br> Zinc tablets ..................................... 1 1 2 8 <br> Zinc syrup ......................................... 1 1 2 8 |  |
| CA4D. Check CA4C: Any zinc? Child given any zinc ('Yes' circled in ' $A$ ' Child was not given any zinc $\Rightarrow$ Go to $C$ | $\text { ' } B \text { ' in } C A 4 C) \Rightarrow \text { Continue with CA4E. }$ |  |
| CA4E. WHERE DID YOU GET THE ZINC? <br> Probe to identify the type of source. <br> If unable to determine whether public or private, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) |  |  |
| CA5. Was anything (ELSE) GIVEN to treat the DIARRHOEA? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 6 A \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 6 A \end{aligned}$ |


| CA6. What (ELSE) WAS GIVEN TO TREAT THE DIARRHOEA? <br> Probe: <br> ANYTHING ELSE? <br> Record all treatments given. Write brand name(s) of all medicines mentioned. <br> (Name) | Pill or Syrup <br> Antibiotic $\qquad$ <br> Antimotility $\qquad$ B <br> Other pill or syrup (Not antibiotic, antimotility or zinc) $\qquad$ <br> Unknown pill or syrup $\qquad$ <br> Injection <br> Antibiotic $\qquad$ <br> Non-antibiotic $\qquad$ L <br> Unknown injection $\qquad$ <br> Intravenous $\qquad$ <br> Home remedy / Herbal medicine $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA6A. IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS, HAS (name) BEEN ILL WITH A FEVER AT ANY TIME? |  |  |
| CA7. AT ANY TIME IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS, HAS (name) HAD AN ILLNESS WITH A COUGH? | Yes.......................................................................................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 9 A \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 9 A \end{aligned}$ |
| CA8. WHEN (name) HAD AN ILLNESS WITH A COUGH, DID HE/SHE BREATHE FASTER THAN USUAL WITH SHORT, RAPID BREATHS OR HAVE DIFFICULTY BREATHING? | Yes............................................................................................................................................................................................................ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 10 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA9. WAS THE FAST OR DIFFICULT BREATHING dUE TO A PROBLEM IN THE CHEST OR A BLOCKED OR RUNNY NOSE? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \text { CA10 } \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \text { CA10 } \\ & 3 \Rightarrow \text { CA10 } \\ & 6 \Rightarrow \text { CA10 } \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \text { CA10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| CA9A. Check CA6A: Had fever? Child had fever $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CA10. Child did not have fever $\Rightarrow$ Go to CA14. |  |  |
| CA10. DID YOU SEEK ANY ADVICE OR TREATMENT FOR THE ILLNESS FROM ANY SOURCE? | Yes........................................................................................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 12 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 12 \end{aligned}$ |


| CA11. FROM WHERE DID YOU SEEK ADVICE OR TREATMENT? <br> Probe: <br> Anywhere else? <br> Circle all providers mentioned, but do NOT prompt with any suggestions. <br> Probe to identify each type of source. <br> If unable to determine if public or private sector, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) | Public sector <br> Government hospital/clinic. $\qquad$ A <br> Health centre $\qquad$ B <br> Government health post <br> Mobile / Outreach clinic $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> State pharmacy $\qquad$ . F <br> Other public <br> (specify) $\qquad$ H <br> Private medical sector <br> Private hospita//clinic $\qquad$ <br> Private physician. $\qquad$ <br> Private pharmacy $\qquad$ <br> Mobile clinic $\qquad$ <br> Other private medical <br> (specify) $\qquad$ 0 <br> Other source <br> Relative / Friend. $\qquad$ P <br> Shop $\qquad$ Q <br> Traditional practitioner $\qquad$ R <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA12. At ANY TIME DURING THE ILLNESS, WAS (name) GIVEN ANY MEDICINE FOR THE ILLNESS? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \leftrightharpoons C A 14 \\ & 8 \Leftrightarrow C A 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA13. WHAT MEDICINE WAS (name) GIVEN? <br> Probe: <br> ANY OTHER MEDICINE? <br> Circle all medicines given. Write brand name(s) of all medicines mentioned. | Antibiotics: <br> Pill / Syrup. $\qquad$ . 1 <br> Injection. $\qquad$ <br> Other medications: <br> Paracetamol / Panadol $\qquad$ . P <br> Ibuprofen / Ibufen. $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ <br> DK. $\qquad$ x Z |  |


| CA13A. Check CA13: Antibiotic mentioned (codes I or J)?Yes $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CA13B.No $\Rightarrow$ Go to CA14 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA13B. WHERE DID YOU GET THE (name of medicine from (A13)? <br> Probe to identify the type of source. <br> If unable to determine whether public or private, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) |   <br> Already had at home ................................ 40 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 96 |  |
| CA14. Check AG2: Age of child.Child age 0, 1 or $2 \Rightarrow$ Continue with CA15.Child age 3 or $4 \Rightarrow$ Go to UF13. |  |  |
| CA15. THE LAST TIME (name) PASSED STOOLS, WHAT WAS DONE TO DISPOSE OF THE STOOLS? | Child used toilet / latrine .............................. 01 Put / Rinsed into toilet or latrine............. 02 Put / Rinsed into drain or ditch .............. 03 Thrown into garbage (solid waste) .......... 04 Buried ............................................ 05 Left in the open ........................................ 06 Other (specify) DK.......................................................... 98 |  |


| UF13. Record the time. | Hour and minutes...................__ $: \ldots$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

UF14. Check List of Household Members, columns HL7B and HL15.
Is the respondent the mother or caretaker of another child age 0-4 living in this household?
$\square$ Yes $\Rightarrow$ Indicate to the respondent that you will need to measure the weight and height of the child later. Go to the next QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE to be administered to the same respondent.
$\square N o \Rightarrow$ End the interview with this respondent by thanking her/him for her/his cooperation and tell her/him that you will need to measure the weight and height of the child before you leave the household.

Check to see if there are other woman's, or under-5 questionnaires to be administered in this household.

After questionnaires for all children are complete, the measurer weights and measures each child.
Record weight and length/height below, taking care to record the measurements on the correct questionnaire for each child. Check the child's name and line number in the List of Household Members before recording measurements.

| AN1. Measurer's name and number: | Name |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AN2. Result of height/length and weight measurement: | Either or both measured $\qquad$ 1 <br> Child not present $\qquad$ 2 <br> Child or mother/caretaker refused $\qquad$ 3 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { AN6 } \\ & 3 \Rightarrow \text { AN6 } \\ & 6 \Rightarrow \text { AN6 } \end{aligned}$ |
| AN3. Child's weight: | Kilograms (kg) <br> Weight not measured $\qquad$ 99.9 |  |

AN3A. Was the child undressed to the minimum?
$\square$ Yes.
$\square$ No, the child could not be undressed to the minimum.

AN3B. Check age of child in AG2:Child under 2 years old $\Rightarrow$ Measure length (lying down).Child age 2 or more years $\Rightarrow$ Measure height (standing up).

| AN4. Child's length or height: | Length / Height (cm)...............___ <br> Length / Height not measured.............999.9 | $\Rightarrow$ AN6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AN4A. How was the child actually measured? <br> Lying down or standing up? | Lying down ................................................. 1 <br> Standing up................................................ 2 |  |

AN6. Is there another child in the household who is eligible for measurement?Yes $\Rightarrow$ Record measurements for next child.No $\Rightarrow$ Check if there are any other individual questionnaires to be completed in the household.

## Interviewer's Observations

## Supervisor's Observations

## Measurer's Observations

## -IIMICS

## UNDER-FIVE CHILD INFORMATION PANEL

This questionnaire form is to be used at health facilities to record information on the immunization for children age 0 2 years. A separate questionnaire form should be used for each eligible child.

The Questionnaire for Children Under Five must be completed for the child prior to completing this form. This panel should be completed before visiting the health facility.

This questionnaire form must be appended to the QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE for each child.

| HF1. Cluster number: |
| :--- |
| HF3. Child's name: |
| Name_- |
| HF5. Mother's / Caretaker's name: |
| Name__ |

HF2. Household number:

HF4. Child's line number:

HF6. Mother's / Caretaker's line number:

HF9. Day, month and year of birth
HF7. Interviewer's name and number:
(from AG1 in Questionnaire for Children Under-5)

Name $\qquad$
$\qquad$ -

HF9A. Address required to find the child's vaccination record/form 63 in the health facility

| HF8. Day / Month / Year of facility visit: | HF8A. Supervisor's name and number: |
| :--- | :--- |
| HF10. Name of health facility: | HF10A. Address of health facility: |
| HF11. Result of health facility visit | Vaccination record seen ......................................... 01 <br> Vaccination record not seen ................................. 02 <br>  <br>  <br>  |


| IMMUNIZATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HF12. Record day, month and year of birth as written on immunization record. |  |  |  | 1 | $-\quad 1$ | $201$ | - |  |
| HF13. <br> (a) Copy dates for each vaccination from the card. <br> (b) Write '44' in day column if card shows that vaccination was given but no date recorded. |  | Date of Immunization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Day | Month |  |  | ear |  |  |
| BCG ( $\mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{ND}} 3^{\text {PD }} \mathrm{DAYS}$ OF LIFE) | BCG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PoLIo ( $\mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{ND}-3} 3^{\text {RD }}$ DAYS OF LIFE) | OPVO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polio 1 | OPV1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PoLio 2 | OPV2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polio 3 | OPV3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polio 4 | OPV4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pentavalent1 DPT1-HepB2-Hib1 | Pental |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pentavalent2 DPT2-HepB3-Hib2 | Pental |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pentavalent3 DPT3-HepB4-Hib3 | Penta3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DPT 1 | DPT1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DPT 2 | DPT2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DPT 3 | DPT3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DPT 4 | DPT4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEPB AT BIRTH | HEP1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEPB 2 | HEP2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEPB 3 | HEP3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEPB 4 | HEP4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| His 1 | HIB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hib 2 | HIB2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hib 3 | HIB3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measles (or MMR or MR) | Measles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table ED.3A: Primary school entry

| Percentage of children of primary school entry age entering grade 1 (net intake rate), Turkmenistan, 2015-2016 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of children of primary school entry age entering grade 1 | Number of children of primary school entry age |
| Total | 98.5 | 654 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 98.6 | 336 |
| Female | 98.5 | 318 |
| Region |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 95.0 | 67 |
| Ahal velayat | 100.0 | 89 |
| Balkan velayat | 99.1 | 38 |
| Dashoguz velayat | 100.0 | 153 |
| Lebap velayat | 98.3 | 136 |
| Mary velayat | 97.9 | 171 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 97.9 | 237 |
| Rural | 98.9 | 417 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| Secondary | 98.4 | 540 |
| Primary vocational | (98.4) | 43 |
| Secondary vocational | (100.0) | 43 |
| Higher | (100.0) | 28 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |
| Poorest | 97.9 | 167 |
| Second | 100.0 | 128 |
| Middle | 99.2 | 133 |
| Fourth | 99.7 | 117 |
| Richest | 95.8 | 109 |
| Language of household head |  |  |
| Turkmen | 98.7 | 554 |
| Uzbek | 98.9 | 78 |
| Russian | (*) | 15 |
| Other | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | 8 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Appendix E for a detailed description of MICS indicators

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Corresponds to HepB4 according to the national calendar as the birth dose is labeled as HepB1 in Turkmenistan.
    ${ }^{3}$ Full vaccination includes the following: one dose of BCG, four doses of the Polio and HepB vaccines (the birth dose and doses 1-3), three doses of the DPT and Hib vaccines by 12 months of age, and one dose of the measles vaccine (administered as MMR) by 24 months of age.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ The indicator numbering system \#.S\# denotes a survey specific indicator calculated by the introduction of a non-standard module or question(s) to this survey that is not part of the global MICS5 Questionnaires or by applying a non-standard calculation method that is not included in the global MICS5 Tabulation Plan.
    ${ }^{5}$ This is comparable to MICS Indicator 3.12 "Diarrhoea treatment with oral rehydration therapy (ORT) and continued feeding" with the exception that recommended homemade fluids are not included as part of the institutional approach in Turkmenistan.
    ${ }^{6}$ The indicators 3.13 and 3.14 are not presented in a table in the reporte because of low number of unweighted cases.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ The indicator name has been changed from the standard "MICS indicator 4.6 - Availability of soap or other cleansing agent" since other cleansing agents such as ash, mud or sand are not applicable for Turkmenistan.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ Education indicators, wherever applicable, are based on information on reported school attendance (at any time during the school year), as a proxy for enrolment.
    ${ }^{9}$ In accordance with the Law on Education of Turkmenistan from 4th May 2013, starting from the 2013/2014 school year school-entry age is 6 years (previously 7 years). When calculating this indicator the age of the child was calculated on the basis of the year of birth (without months) in order to reflect timely admission of children to school, in accordance with Article 21, Paragraph 3 of the Law.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ See the notes on the quality of child disciplining data in the corresponding chapter.

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ This indicator is not presented in a table in the report because of the low number of unweighted cases.

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ The final sample size was 6,101 households due to one additional household being found in a dwelling unit that was visited during fieldwork.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ Part of the Marriage/Union module and the modules on Contraception and Unmet Need were administered only to those women who have ever been married/in union.
    ${ }^{14}$ The terms "children under 5", "children age 0-4 years", and "children age 0-59 months" are used interchangeably in this report.
    ${ }^{15}$ The model MICS5 questionnaires can be found at http://mics.unicef.org/tools

[^9]:    ${ }^{16}$ A total target sample of 6,200 households from 310 enumeration areas were selected. Five of the selected enumeration areas were not visited because they were inaccessible due to demolition of buildings during the fieldwork period, leading to a sample size of 6,100 households.

[^10]:    Note: 3 househoid members with missing age are excluded

[^11]:    ${ }^{17}$ See Appendix A: Sample Design, for more details on sample weights.
    18 This was determined by asking: What is the mother tongue/native language of the head of this household?

[^12]:    ${ }^{19}$ Throughout this report, unless otherwise stated, "education" refers to highest educational level ever attended by the respondent when it is used as a background variable.
    ${ }^{20}$ The wealth index is a composite indicator of wealth. To construct the wealth index, principal components analysis is performed by using information on the ownership of consumer goods, dwelling characteristics, water and sanitation, and other characteristics that are related to the household's wealth, to generate weights (factor scores) for each of the items used. First, initial factor scores are calculated for the total sample. Then, separate factor scores are calculated for households in urban and rural areas. Finally, the urban and rural factor scores are regressed on the initial factor scores to obtain the combined, final factor scores for the total sample. This is carried out to minimize the urban bias in the wealth index values.

    Each household in the total sample is then assigned a wealth score based on the assets owned by that household and on the final factor scores obtained as described above. The survey household population is then ranked according to the wealth score of the household they are living in, and is finally divided into 5 equal parts (quintiles) from lowest (poorest) to highest (richest).

    In 2015-2016 Turkmenistan MICS, the following assets were used in these calculations: source of drinking water; location of water source; number of rooms used for sleeping; main material of dwelling roof and exterior walls; type of household fuel; presence in the household of radio, a television (not plasma and not monomorphic, and LCD), non-mobile phone, refrigerator, air conditioner, washing machine, vacuum cleaner, computer/notebook, video recorder or DVD, cassette player or CD player, sewing machine, factory carpet, handmade carpet (wool or silk), sofa, sideboard, embroidery machine; presence in the household of a watch, mobile phone, bicycle, motorcycle/scooter, passenger car, truck, tractor/combine harvester, tablet; possession of a bank account; ownership of livestock: cattle, mule, goats, sheep, chickens, other poultry, pigs, camels and rabbits; and water present at handwashing place in the dwelling.

    The wealth index is assumed to capture the underlying long-term wealth through information on the household assets, and is intended to produce a ranking of households by wealth, from poorest to richest. The wealth index does not provide information on absolute poverty, current income or expenditure levels. The wealth scores calculated are applicable for only the particular data set they are based on.

    Further information on the construction of the wealth index can be found in Filmer, D and Pritchett, L. 2001. Estimating wealth effects without expenditure data - or tears: An application to educational enrolments in states of India. Demography 38(1): 115-132; Rutstein, SO and Johnson, K. 2004. The DHS Wealth Index. DHS Comparative Reports No. 6; and Rutstein, SO. 2008. The DHS Wealth Index: Approaches for Rural and Urban Areas. DHS Working Papers No. 60. ${ }^{21}$ When describing survey results by wealth quintiles, appropriate terminology is used when referring to individual household members, such as for instance "women in the richest population quintile", which is used interchangeably with "women in the wealthiest survey population", "women living in households in the richest population wealth quintile", and similar.

[^13]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ In this table and throughout the report, mother's education refers to educational attainment of mothers as well as caretakers of children under 5 , who are the respondents to the under- 5 questionnaire if the mother is deceased or is living elsewhere.

[^14]:    22 For a detailed description of the methodology, see Boerma, JT et al. 1996. Data on Birth Weight in Developing Countries: Can Surveys Help? Bulletin of the World Health Organization 74(2): 209-16.

[^15]:    ${ }^{23}$ http://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/technical report

[^16]:    ${ }^{24}$ See MICS Supply Procurement Instructions: http://mics.unicef.org/tools

[^17]:    ${ }^{25}$ Bhuta, Z. et al. 2013. Evidence-based interventions for improvement of maternal and child nutrition: what can be done and at what cost? The Lancet June 6, 2013.
    ${ }^{26}$ WHO. 2003. Implementing the Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding. Meeting Report Geneva, 3-5 February, 2003.

    27 WHO. 2003. Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding.
    28 PAHO. 2003. Guiding principles for complementary feeding of the breastfed child.
    ${ }^{29}$ WHO. 2005. Guiding principles for feeding non-breastfed children 6-24 months of age.
    ${ }^{30}$ WHO. 2008. Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices. Part 1: Definitions.

[^18]:    ${ }^{31}$ Food groups used for assessment of this indicator are 1) Grains, roots and tubers, 2) legumes and nuts, 3) dairy products (milk, yogurt, cheese), 4) flesh foods (meat, fish, poultry and liver/organ meats), 5) eggs, 6) vitamin-A rich fruits and vegetables, and 7) other fruits and vegetables.

[^19]:    ${ }^{32}$ Prelacteal feed refers to the provision any liquid or food, other than breastmilk, to a newborn during the period when breastmilk flow is generally being established (estimated here as the first 3 days of life).

[^20]:    (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

[^21]:    ${ }^{33} \mathrm{http}: / / w w w . w h o . i n t / i m m u n i z a t i o n / p o l i c y / i m m u n i z a t i o n ~ t a b l e s / e n / . ~ T a b l e ~ 2 i n c l u d e s ~ r e c o m m e n d a t i o n s ~ f o r ~ a l l ~ c h i l d r e n ~ a n d ~$ additional antigens recommended only for children residing in certain regions of the world or living in certain high-risk population groups.

[^22]:    ${ }^{34}$ Campbell, H. et al. 2013. Measuring Coverage in MNCH: Challenges in Monitoring the Proportion of Young Children with Pneumonia Who Receive Antibiotic Treatment. PLoS Med 10(5): e1001421. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed. 1001421

[^23]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown
    "-" denotes 0 unweighted case in that cell or in the denominator.

[^24]:    ${ }^{35}$ WHO/UNICEF. 2012. Progress on Drinking water and Sanitation: 2012 update.
    ${ }^{36}$ Cairncross, S. 2010. Water, sanitation and hygiene for the prevention of diarrhoea. Int. J. Epidemiology 39: i193-i205.
    ${ }^{37}$ http://data.unicef.org/water-sanitation/water.html
    ${ }^{38}$ http:// www.wssinfo.org

[^25]:    ${ }^{39}$ Cairncross, S and Cliff, JL. 1987. Water use and Health in Mueda, Mozambique. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 81: 51-4.

[^26]:    ${ }^{40}$ Wolf, J et al. 2014. Systematic review: Assessing the impact of drinking water and sanitation on diarrhoeal disease in lowand middle-income settings: systematic review and meta-regression. Tropical Medicine and International Health 2014. DfID. 2013. Water, Sanitation and Hygiene: Evidence Paper. DfID:
    http://r4d.dfid.gov.uk/pdf/outputs/sanitation/WASH-evidence-paper-april2013.pdf
    ${ }^{41}$ WHO/UNICEF JMP. 2008. MDG assessment report.
    http://www.wssinfo.org/fileadmin/user upload/resources/1251794333-JMP 08 en.pdf

[^27]:    42 Those indicating bottled water as the main source of drinking water are distributed according to the water source used for other purposes such as cooking and handwashing.

[^28]:    ${ }^{43}$ Cairncross, S and Valdmanis, V. 2006. Water supply, sanitation and hygiene promotion Chapter 41 in Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Edition, Edt. Jameson et al. The World Bank.
    ${ }^{44}$ Ram, P et al. editors. 2008. Use of a novel method to detect reactivity to structured observation for measurement of handwashing behavior. American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene.

[^29]:    a Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education of household head" is not shown.

[^30]:    ${ }^{45}$ This figure is based on 125-249 unweighted person-years of exposure and should be interpreted with caution.

[^31]:    ${ }^{46}$ Childbearing is the process of giving birth to children. While early childbearing is defined as having had live births before specific young ages, for the purposes of Table RH.3, women age 15-19 years who have begun childbearing includes those who have had a live birth as well as those who have not had a live birth but are pregnant with their first child.

[^32]:    ${ }^{47}$ All references to "married women" in this chapter include women in marital union as well.

[^33]:    ${ }^{48}$ A woman is postpartum amenorrheic if she had a birth in last two years and is not currently pregnant, and her menstrual period has not returned since the birth of the last child
    ${ }^{49}$ A woman is considered infecund if she is neither pregnant nor postpartum amenorrheic, and
    (1a) has not had menstruation for at least six months, or (1b) never menstruated, or (1c) her last menstruation occurred before her last birth, or (1d) in menopause/has had hysterectomy OR
    (2) She declares that she has had hysterectomy, or that she has never menstruated, or that she is menopausal, or that she has been trying to get pregnant for 2 or more years without result in response to questions on why she thinks she is not physically able to get pregnant at the time of survey OR
    (3) She declares she cannot get pregnant when asked about desire for future birth OR
    (4) She has not had a birth in the preceding 5 years, is currently not using contraception and is currently married and was continuously married during the last 5 years preceding the survey.

[^34]:    ${ }^{50}$ In this chapter, whenever reference is made to the use of a contraceptive by a woman, this may refer to her partner using a contraceptive method (such as male condom).

[^35]:    a Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
    D) to the low number of unweighted cases, the cate
    

[^36]:    ${ }^{51}$ Say, L et al. 2014. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. The Lancet Global Health 2(6): e323-33. DOI: 10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70227-X

[^37]:    52 UN Interagency Group for Child Mortality Estimation. 2013. Levels and Trends in Child Mortality: Report 2013
    ${ }^{53}$ Lawn, JE et al. 2005. 4 million neonatal deaths: When? Where? Why? Lancet 2005; 365:891-900.
    54 WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, The World Bank. 2012. Trends in Maternal Mortality: 1990-2010. World Health Organization.
    ${ }^{55}$ HMN, UNICEF, WHO. 2008. Countdown to 2015: Tracking Progress in Maternal, Newborn \& Child Survival, The 2008 Report. UNICEF.

[^38]:    ${ }^{56}$ Grantham-McGregor, S et al. 2007. Developmental Potential in the First 5 Years for Children in Developing Countries. The Lancet 369: 60-70
    Belsky, J et al. 2006. Socioeconomic Risk, Parenting During the Preschool Years and Child Health Age 6 Years. European Journal of Public Health 17(5): 511-2.
    57 UNICEF. 2002. A World Fit For Children adopted by the UN General Assembly at the 27th Special Session, 10 May $2002: 2$.

[^39]:    ${ }^{58}$ Grossman, DC. 2000. The History of Injury Control and the Epidemiology of Child and Adolescent Injuries. The Future of Children, 10(1): 23-52.

[^40]:    ${ }^{59}$ Shonkoff, J and Phillips, D (eds). 2000. From neurons to neighborhoods: the science of early childhood development. Committee on Integrating the Science of Early Childhood Development, National Research Council, 2000.

[^41]:    ${ }^{60}$ The value of this child development indicator refers to children age 36-59 months.

[^42]:    ${ }^{61}$ The computation of the indicator does not exclude repeaters, and therefore is inclusive of both children who are attending primary school for the first time, as well as those who were in the first grade of primary school the previous school year and are repeating. Children repeating may have attended pre-school prior to the school year during which they attended the first grade of primary school for the first time; these children are not captured in the numerator of the indicator.

[^43]:    ${ }^{62}$ When calculating this indicator the age of the child was calculated on the basis of the year of birth (without months) in order to reflect timely admission of children to school, in accordance with Article 21, Paragraph 3 of the Law.

[^44]:    ${ }^{63}$ Ratios presented in this table are "adjusted" since they include not only primary school attendance, but also secondary school attendance in the numerator.

[^45]:    ${ }^{64}$ Ratios presented in this table are "adjusted" since they include not only secondary school attendance, but also attendance to higher levels in the numerator.

[^46]:    ${ }^{65}$ Since in 2013 the number of grades in primary school was changed from 3 to 4 grades, separate calculations were applied for children born in 2006 or earlier (3 grades of primary school) and those born afterwards (4 grades of primary school) to take into account the change in the number of primary school grades.

[^47]:    ${ }^{66}$ UNICEF. 2014. The State of the World's Children 2015. UNICEF.
    ${ }^{67}$ UNICEF. 2013. Every Child's Birth Right: Inequities and trends in birth registration. UNICEF.

[^48]:    ${ }^{68}$ UNICEF. 2012. How Sensitive Are Estimates of Child Labour to Definitions? MICS Methodological Paper No. 1. UNICEF.
    ${ }^{69}$ The Child Labour module and the Child Discipline module were administered using random selection of a single child in all households with one or more children age 1-17 (See Appendix F: Questionnaires). The Child Labour module was administered if the selected child was age 5-17 and the Child Discipline module if the child was age 1-14 years old. To account for the random selection, the household sample weight is multiplied by the total number of children age 1-17 in each household.

[^49]:    ${ }^{70}$ Straus, MA and Paschall MJ. 2009. Corporal Punishment by Mothers and Development of Children's Cognitive Ability: A longitudinal study of two nationally representative age cohorts. Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment \& Trauma 18(5): 459-83. Erickson, MF and Egeland, B. 1987. A Developmental View of the Psychological Consequences of Maltreatment. School Psychology Review 16: 156-68.
    Schneider, MW et al. 2005. Do Allegations of Emotional Maltreatment Predict Developmental Outcomes Beyond that of Other Forms of Maltreatment?. Child Abuse \& Neglect 29(5): 513-32.

[^50]:    ${ }^{71}$ All references to marriage in this chapter include marital union as well.
    ${ }^{72}$ Bajracharya, A ND Amin, S. 2010. Poverty, marriage timing, and transitions to adulthood in Nepal: A longitudinal analysis using the Nepal living standards survey. Poverty, Gender, and Youth Working Paper No. 19. Population Council. Godha, D et al. 2011. The influence of child marriage on fertility, fertility-control, and maternal health care utilization. MEASURE/Evaluation PRH Project Working paper 11-124.
    ${ }^{73}$ Clark, S et al. 2006. Protecting young women from HIV/AIDS: the case against child and adolescent marriage. International Family Planning Perspectives 32(2): 79-88.
    Raj, A et al. 2009. Prevalence of child marriage and its effect on fertility and fertility-control outcomes of young women in India: a cross-sectional, observational study. The Lancet 373(9678): 1883-9.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 8.12 - Attitudes towards domestic violence

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 10.1 - Exposure to mass media
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the low number of unweighted cases, the category "None" for the background characteristic "Education" is not shown.
    (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases. $_{\text {a }}$

[^53]:    ${ }^{74}$ CMRJack is a software developed by FAFO, an independent and multidisciplinary research foundation. CMRJack produces mortality estimates and standard errors for surveys with complete birth histories or summary birth histories.

[^54]:    na: not applicable
    () For mortality rates, figures that are based on 250-499 unweighted cases of children exposed; for the total fertility rate, figures that are based on $125-249$ unweighted person-years of exposure.

[^55]:    na: not applicable

[^56]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Those age 25 at the time of interview who were age 24 at beginning of school year are excluded as current attendance was only collected for those age $5-24$ at the time of interview
     children born in 2006 or earlier and those born afterwards to take into account this change in the age eligibility criteria.

[^57]:    ${ }^{75}$ Some indicators are constructed by using questions from several modules. In such cases, only the modules containing most of the necessary information are indicated.
    ${ }^{76}$ Millennium Development Goals (MDG) indicators, effective 15 January 2008 - http://mdgs.un.org/unsd/mdg/Host.aspx?Content=Indicators/OfficialList.htm, accessed 10 June 2013. ${ }^{77}$ When the Birth History module is used, mortality indicators are calculated for the last 5 -year period. When the indicators are estimated indirectly (using the Fertility module only), the rates refer to dates as estimated by the indirect technique.

[^58]:    ${ }^{78}$ Infants receiving breast milk, and not receiving any other fluids or foods, with the exception of oral rehydration solution, vitamins, mineral supplements and medicines.
    ${ }^{79}$ Infants who receive breast milk and certain fluids (water and water-based drinks, fruit juice, ritual fluids, oral rehydration solution, drops, vitamins, minerals, and medicines), but do not receive anything else (in particular, non-human milk and food-based fluids).
    ${ }^{80}$ Infants age 0-5 months who are exclusively breastfed, and children age 6-23 months who are breastfed and ate solid, semi-solid or soft foods.

[^59]:    ${ }^{81}$ Breastfeeding children: Solid, semi-solid, or soft foods, two times for infants age 6-8 months, and three times for children 9-23 months; Non-breastfeeding children: Solid, semi-solid, or soft foods, or milk feeds, four times for children age 6-23 months.
    82 The indicator is based on consumption of any amount of food from at least 4 out of the 7 following food groups: 1) grains, roots and tubers, 2) legumes and nuts, 3 ) dairy products (milk, yogurt, cheese), 4) flesh foods (meat, fish, poultry and liver/organ meats), 5) eggs, 6) vitamin-A rich fruits and vegetables, and 7) other fruits and vegetables.

[^60]:    ${ }^{83}$ In countries where measles vaccination is administered before 12 months of age according to the vaccination schedule, the indicator is calculated as the percentage of children age $12-23$ months who received the measles vaccine by 12 months of age.
    ${ }^{84}$ The DPT-HepB-Hib combination vaccine was introduced in 2010.
    ${ }^{85}$ The indicator numbering system \#.S\# denotes a survey specific indicator calculated by the introduction of a non-standard module or question(s) to this survey that is not part of the global MICS5
    Questionnaires or by applying a non-standard calculation method that is not included in the global MICS5 Tabulation Plan.

[^61]:    ${ }^{86}$ The indicator name has been changed from the standard "MICS indicator 4.6-Availability of soap or other cleansing agent" since other cleansing agents such as ash, mud or sand are not applicable for Turkmenistan.

[^62]:    ${ }^{87}$ When the Birth History module is used, the indicator is calculated for the last 3-year period. When estimated using the Fertility module only, the rate refers to the last one year.
    ${ }^{88}$ See the MICS tabulation plan for a detailed description.

[^63]:    ${ }^{89}$ Secondary school (grades 4-11), primary vocational, secondary vocational or higher education.
    ${ }^{90}$ Primary school comprises grades 1-3 of secondary education.

[^64]:    ${ }^{91}$ Children involved in child labour are defined as children involved in economic activities at or above the age-specific thresholds, children involved in household chores at or above the age-specific thresholds, and children involved in hazardous work. See the MICS tabulation plan for more detailed information on thresholds and classifications.

[^65]:    ${ }^{92}$ Using condoms and limiting sex to one faithful, uninfected partner.
    ${ }_{94}$ Transmission during pregnancy, during delivery, and by breastfeeding
    ${ }^{94}$ Women (1) who think that a female teacher with the AIDS virus should be allowed to teach in school, (2) who would buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor who has the AIDS virus, (3) who would not want to keep it as a secret if a family member became infected with the AIDS virus, and (4) who would be willing to care for a family member who became sick with the AIDS virus.

